



Dr. Jerry Scheidbach

Brain Massage®: Show No. 1106

IS GOD NON-BINARY? BAPHOMET IS / WAR WITH SHIA OCCUPIED
IRAN: WHAT'S THE END GAME?

Original Air Date: 08.29.26

Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA, and your brain masseur. Get ready for your brain massage.

Is God non-binary?

What's the end-game for our war with Shia Occupied Iran?

It's time for your BrainMassage®:

[TRUTH]



Is God non-binary? Is that what the Bible says? Warning: The only “god” I know of that is non-binary is Baphomet, a representation of Satan as a goat, with both human male and female features, the androgynous god, seated on his “throne,” beneath a pentagram, with children gathered to his sides.¹ Don't sacrifice the children to Baphomet.

¹ AI (ChatGPT) combined the original, created by Eliphas Levi, in the 1850's, and the one created by the Satanic Temple, unveiled in Detroit, in 2015. See 1106.2.5-File_Baphomet by Éliphas Lévi.jpg - Wikimedia Commons.pdf https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File%3ABaphomet_by_%C3%89liphas_L%C3%A9vi.jpg?utm_source=chatgpt.com See also 1106.2.4-‘Come Dance With the Devil’_ Hundreds Turn Out for Satanic Statue in Detroit https://www.vice.com/en/article/come-dance-with-the-devil-hundreds-turn-out-for-satanic-statue-in-detroit/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Schumer-endorsed James Talarico² is running for a Texas Senate seat against Trump-endorsed Ken Paxton. I guess Joe Rogan encouraged the guy to run for President?³ That's weird! Probably the most controversial endorsement is from Hasan Piker, an avowed Socialist who admits that Communism is the end goal of Socialism, and that Communism is something he is fine with.⁴ He says he doesn't believe it is achievable unless it's done worldwide; it's too utopian to achieve otherwise. [IBID] Something like that. Anyway, he sounds like a dedicated Nimrodian. (Might be a bit too inside for my newer listeners. But, hang around.)

This guy's claim on our attention is questionable, after all, we are only talking about him because he beat out Jasmine Crocket—not an impressive feat in and of itself—but he is connected with some very bizarre beliefs, which we'll examine, and he won in a Texas primary. So, let me say that before I explain why I'm bothering to even talk about this crazy creeper. While I hope and pray this man will never sit in the U.S. Senate chamber, the fact that he got this far in a Red State is deeply disturbing.

Don't get me wrong, I am well aware that Texas has its enclaves of whacked-out woke libs (San Antonio, as one example), and it has not gone unnoticed that Texas has been turning purple since former Texas Governor Rick Perry campaigned here in

² 1106.27.1-DSCC Statement On Texas Senate Race - DSCC https://www.dsc.org/article/dcc-statement-on-texas-senate-race-2/?utm_source=chatgpt.com Also, Obama, has not: https://www.michiganpublic.org/politics-government/2026-07-20/fact-check-where-does-barack-obama-stand-on-michigans-senate-race?utm_source=chatgpt.com Kamala has: 1106.31.2-Kamala Harris endorses James Talarico for U.S. Senate.pdf https://www.texastribune.org/2026/03/04/texas-kamala-harris-james-talarico-endorsement-us-senate/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

³ 1106.31.3-Rogan- Talarico brings progressive view of religion to Senate bid https://www.texastribune.org/2025/09/16/james-talarico-texas-senate-democrat-religion-christianity-viral/?utm_source=chatgpt.com That was before the Hasan Piker connection was made, but, still!

⁴ 1106.31.4-Piker On Communism — https://singjupost.com/transcript-ive-got-no-problem-with-communism-hasan-piker-on-triggernometry-podcast/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

California to encourage migration to Texas—just sayin'. Nevertheless, Texas still has a reputation as a reliable Red state, with deep roots in evangelical Christianity. So, what's with the Commie dude running for a Texas Senate seat?

I have a complete report on Talarico's beliefs and public statements here.⁵ Everything is documented, and premised on his public statements.

He studied at the Austin Presbyterian Theological Seminary, and earned a Masters in Theological Studies in 2025. Apparently, he has not been officially ordained; he identifies himself as a Presbyterian seminarian. Therefore, we expect he holds to some of the rudiments of Christian faith: the resurrection as an historical event, love God and your neighbor as the essence of Christian expression, and so on. But it's where he departs from the faith that is concerning, and worth examining.

In that light, here is an in-brief overview:

"I've learned as much about my faith from ... Islamic mystics ... as I have from Christian authors."⁶ In my examination of Talarico's faith document, I include a summary of Mystical Islamism - here I'll say, there is nothing in Islamic mysticism that corresponds to Paul's descriptions of Christian experience that comes anywhere near the sublimity or clarity of thought in his descriptions of the spiritual life. On the other hand, there is much in Islamic mysticism that not only falls short, and not only distorts, but actually contradicts Christian belief about Jesus as the Christ of God.

⁵ 1106.31.1-Talarico and Biblical Faith. To obtain a PDF of this report, go to brainmassage.net, and use the Contact Us form to request a copy of Talarico's Faith Examined. Please write "Talarico's Faith Examined" in your note.

⁶ 1106.12.1-U.S. Candidate Says 'Islamic Mystics' Shaped His Christian Faith.pdf https://billygraham.org/decision-magazine/articles/u-s-senate-candidate-says-islamic-mystics-shaped-his-christian-faith?utm_source=chatgpt.com

The concern is that he elevates Islamic mysticism to a level equivalent to Biblical revelation.

His superficial understanding of the Bible accounts for statements like, “The Bible is silent on abortion,” based almost entirely upon the observation that the word *abortion* does not appear. John the Baptist recognized Jesus the Christ while both of these babies were in the wombs of their mothers, and, right now, some states would allow a child at that stage of development to be cut to pieces, or scorched to death by saline injection in their mother’s wombs. Talarico emphatically agrees with the so-called right of a mother to destroy her child through abortion.⁷

Let’s look at one more, for now, at least, and, again, get my document that provides a thorough examination of his beliefs by going to brainmassage.net, use the Contact menu link to open an email form you can use to send me an email, and make sure you write in the notes field Talarico’s beliefs, or simply his name, and I’ll email the PDF document to you free.

Talarico says God is non-binary. October 14, 2021, while serving as a Texas state representative, Talarico made this statement in connection with his strong objections to a Bill that prohibited biological males from participating in sports programs in grades K-12 (HB 25).⁸ He said, “God is both masculine and feminine, and everything in between. God is nonbinary.”⁹ (He alluded to a technical argument to support his statement; it is included in my

⁷ https://reproductivefreedomforall.org/news/reproductive-freedom-for-all-endorses-reproductive-freedom-champions-for-u-s-senate/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

⁸ 1106.2.1-Gov. Greg Abbott signs Texas sports bill targeting transgender students.pdf <https://www.texastribune.org/2021/10/25/texas-transgender-students-sports/>

⁹ 1106.2.3-Thursday, October 14, 2021 — 5th Day (cont'd) https://journals.house.texas.gov/HJRNL/873/HTML/87C3DAY05CAFINAL.HTM?utm_source=chatgpt.com

footnote—here.¹⁰ Also, see my rebuttal in the Appendix to these notes.)

I've linked the video of his statement here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EJ_qoRXLpws. (About 2:20).

Talarico's theology about the nature of God doesn't stand up to scrutiny, and he knows it. When a fellow representative in the Texas assembly asked to respond, to ask him some questions about his statement, and to show him some other things that are said in Genesis 1, Talarico refused, and proceeded to accuse him and all members who voted in favor of HB 25, which prohibits biological males from participating in sports programs intended for biological females. In this system of theology, it's cruel and hateful to protect girls from being forced to compete with boys, and kind to encourage children to hate their natural God-given gender and live in the cruel delusion that they can change their bodies' genetic structure.

He concluded his statement by saying, "Trans children are God's children, made in God's own image. There's nothing wrong with them. Nothing at all. They are perfect, they are beautiful, and they are sacred. Bullying children is immoral. It's a sin. A special

¹⁰ Talarico: "I listened to 15 hours of testimony on this bill. The worst part, for me, was the number of Christians who used scripture to justify hurting children. Even on this floor today, a member tried to justify a hateful amendment in the name of "God's Law." So let's talk about that. The first two lines of the Bible, the first two lines in Genesis, use two different Hebrew words to describe God. One is the masculine Hebrew noun for divinity. The second is the feminine Hebrew noun for spirit. God is both masculine and feminine and everything in between. God is nonbinary. In Genesis 1:26, God speaks of God's self in the plural, saying, "Let us make human beings in our image to be like us." That's the infinite multitude of God. The masculine, the feminine, and everything in between. Trans children are God's children, made in God's own image. There's nothing wrong with them. Nothing at all. They are perfect, they are beautiful, and they are sacred. Bullying children is immoral. It's a sin. A special kind of sin." When representative Schaffer requested an opportunity to ask Talarico questions about his statement, and to show him some "other things that are said in Genesis" (we expect he had in mind to remind the "Seminarian" that God made them male and female), Talarico refused and turned the request into another attack. This man attacked foundational Christian theology and refused to answer questions about his assertions. See full video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EJ_qoRXLpws See Appendix No. 1.

kind of sin.”¹¹ When God created man, he differentiated man into two immutable biological categories: male and female (Genesis 1:27). These are biologically immutable. Females have XX chromosomes, and males have XY. The first X in both males and females is the same. But the second X in the female has 155 million DNA base pairs, while the Y in the male includes 59 million base pairs. There is no surgery or hormone therapy that can change the DNA structure set by God. No matter how you mutilate a boy, he will never be a she; no matter what you cut off or sew on to a girl, she will be a he. Talarico, and those who agree with this nonsense are the vicious, mean-spirited, and hateful ones in this debate.

He claims to get his theology about God from the Bible. His superficiality in Bible exegesis shows up in his views on abortion, and in this too. He claims God is both male and female. He presents an argument from Genesis 1:1-2 that God is both male and female because in one verse, the word GOD is masculine, and in verse 2 the word Spirit is feminine. Feminine words are used to speak of certain body parts of men, but that does not make the male a female — genetic makeup does that. You see, language does not make someone male or female.

Isaiah said, “I am a man of unclean lips” (Isaiah 6:5). The word *lips* is feminine. The fact that a man has lips does not make him both male and female. We could pull together many other examples where a word might function in a sentence in the feminine gender describing an activity or an attribute of a male, and it does not make the male a female. In some places, the word *Spirit* takes its gender from a feminine word used in the sentence; in others, it takes the masculine gender from a word in the sentence. The gender of a word does not create the gender of the noun — words don’t define gender. You can’t change your gender by changing the word you use to describe it.

¹¹ See Footnote No. 8

God created a binary system for the purpose of reproduction and for joining a man with his mate: male and female created He THEM. Not *Him*, *Them*. Let's keep our pronouns straight!

Finally, think about this! God created Adam in His image and likeness: "In the image of God created He him." Then He said, "male and female created He them" ("So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them" (Genesis 1:27).

Adam was an original creation, but Eve was not. She was created from man, as a second and separate act, but from man, not from earth. (This has implications related to God's connection with the Earth, and the connection man has with the land.) Anyway, a woman's share in the likeness of GOD comes from God through the man. Then God arranged for man, thereafter, to come into the world from the woman. (1 Corinthians 11:4-12).

Finally, consider Christ, Who is the image of the invisible GOD (2 Corinthians 4:4; Colossians 1:14). Since Christ, the Second Person of the Godhead, is the image of the invisible God, then He is the image in which man was created. Christ then came into the world through the woman, and was made in the fashion of man (Genesis 3:15-16; Galatians 4:4; Isaiah 7:14; Matthew 2; Philippians 2:5-11). This is called the incarnation (John 1:1, 14; 1 Timothy 3:16; 1 John 4:2-3; 2 John 7).

Now, look at Luke 2:21—"And when eight days were accomplished for the circumcising of the child, his name was called JESUS, which was so named of the angel before he was conceived in the womb." Do I need to describe circumcision?

The image of GOD is manifest in a male child. God created Adam, a male, out of whom was made the woman, who is designated the female. God is not female! His pronouns are He and Him.



Segment .02
Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling

the enemy is on the march—an enemy that would steal from us our liberty.

Update on our war against Shia Occupied Iran Leeches (SOIL):

SOIL — Continues to play their Arab neighbors as fools, allowing them to posture before the world as serious negotiators when in fact they are stalling. Qatar is the latest! Qatar's prime minister pressed the leaders of the Occupation force to "restore freedom of navigation through Hormuz." The occupying Islamist regime, represented by their IRGC foreign minister, Abbas Araqchi, thought the talks were "creative." Araqchi, a name to be added with the rest of the thugs who are continuing the Iranian hostage crisis that began in 1979, "again urged Washington to resume negotiations."¹² SOIL says it's preparing a "list of conditions" to allow traffic to flow through the Strait.

¹² 1106.6.1-IRAN Iran urges countries not to implement new U.S. sanctions as mediators focus on reopening strait _ Reuters.pdf <https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/iran-war-diplomacy-turns-toward-reopening-strait-hormuz-2026-08-28/>

U.S. answer: we have removed regime mines from the strait. We have assisted close to 1,500 commercial vessels carrying nearly 750 million barrels of crude through Hormuz. Admiral Brad Cooper reports that the International Shipping Lanes are physically open. Of course, Reuters' sources reporting from those who really know what's going on- sources within Iran, and from others hostile to the U.S., which they regard as more reliable, and so they report a more "cautionary tale."¹³

We continue to insist Islam must *islam* (means submit) to the Law of the Sea—Neither Iran nor Oman have legal authority to open or close the strait to commercial transit, and neither has the power to break the blockade. The reason neither can say their assertion over the Strait is strictly limited to America's military presence there is that, 1. They are targeting commercial transit, not military assets; and 2. They reject the proposition that they have no authority to impose fees, or otherwise interfere with the free transit of commercial ships through the Strait.

Aragchi represents the IRGC, not Iran; he represents the occupation force of the unwelcome Shia dictatorship, and calls the Trump Administration's Economic-D Day operation a "crime against humanity." Really? And murdering tens of thousands of the real people of the Land of Cyrus is not a crime against humanity?

We have an inalienable right to redress our grievances against any human government abuse—to deny that right to people peacefully protesting is a violation of human rights, and so a crime against HUMANITY. Starving out a parasitical oppressing regime is the necessary curative.

¹³ The expression is attributed to Hilaire Belloc's title: *Cautionary Tales for Children*, 1907. The term *cautionary* was coined earlier, probably in 1590-1600, and refers to a statement or action that serves as a warning.

This fool, Aragchi, says putting diplomacy back on track hinges on the U.S. understanding “one simple fact,” he said, are you ready for this? That simple fact is, “pressure doesn’t work.” [IBID]

[Laughing squirrel] Seriously, like setting a sharpshooter in position to target protesters to pick them off in a crowd, terrorizing the people. That sort of “pressure” doesn’t work? Or mining the strait to attack domestic transit through the Strait, that sort of “pressure”? Or maybe telling the world they’ll have to bow to their piracy, in violation of the International Law of the Sea, extract “protection money” from countries that use the Strait to transit from one body of water to another which is protected by INTERNATIONAL LAW — these Muslims are committing PIRACY in International waters, and doing it to create “pressure” they think they can use against the U.S. because they figure Trump won’t be there forever, and the Congress could flip to the control of Muslims and Communists and busy the Administration with impeachment terrorism — but what Trump is saying to them is your PRESSURE TACTICS WILL NOT WORK ON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ANY MORE. You are not dealing with Obama, or Biden. We will no longer cater to pirates.

You know that George Washington signed the Naval Act of March 27, 1794,¹⁴ “specifically in the context of protecting American commerce against the Barbary (Muslim) pirates.” These jokers have been doing this almost from the beginning of our Country.

Loath to enter another war, Washington opted to pay tribute to the pirates, while building up our Navy, but the character of the Muslims pirates was set on evil as early as 1794-1801 and they welched on every deal, ratcheting up the protection money, until Jefferson said, it’s enough, and deployed the Navy—this led to the

¹⁴ 1106.0.2-Barbary Wars https://www.history.navy.mil/browse-by-topic/wars-conflicts-and-operations/barbary-wars.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com

famous battle of Tripoli immortalized in the Marines' song: "From the halls of Montezuma to the shores of Tripoli • We fight our country's battles in the air, on land, and sea — First to fight for right and freedom, and to keep our honor clean, We are proud to claim the title • Of United States Marines."¹⁵

Don't mistake Trump's reluctance to put "boots on the ground" to clear out this latest Muslim piracy threat as responsive to any reluctance on the part of our Marines to engage this enemy. Right makes MIGHT! When evil is boldly resisted by good, evil lashes out but loses in the end. It's right that our Commander in Chief should be slow to commit our troops and seek every appropriate avenue of diplomacy and military buildup and perhaps some serious cannon shelling to encourage our enemy to do what is right, in hopes to secure our RIGHTS against those who would steal our liberties—but believe me when I say, our Marines have been trained specifically for such a time as this, and they are ready.

Trump is weaponizing economics against the god of these Barbary pirates that have occupied Iran and taken it hostage. He is taking aim at their god, Mammon. Every country aiding and abetting Iran's refusal to yield will face serious financial repercussions. Bessent announced the launch of economic D-Day, and it's already working. You can tell because all the pigs are squealing in panic. MSM is earning their Soros paychecks and running it down and attempting to make it out that we are in dire straits, but the fact is, oil has held pretty steadily—high, yes, but nothing like the doomers predicted.¹⁶ What these yahoos fail to reckon is Trump's ability to counter their narrative.

¹⁵ <https://genius.com/The-goldman-band-the-marines-hymn-lyrics>

¹⁶ 1106.8.1-9 Oil settles lower on clues about Fed policy, rumors of Hormuz deal _ Reuters <https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/oil-track-weekly-loss-even-iran-tensions-simmer-2026-08-28/>

It's ironic that Biden actually conditioned us to weather high fuel prices, and the difference is that we knew then Biden did it because he is evil and pursued evil policies. But now we know Iran is doing it, and that we have a commander at the wheel of this ship of state who knows what he is doing. It's easier to bear the burden when you know it's temporary and necessary.

So, what's the end game? It has to be regime change. What does that look like? Join me Saturday night, about 8 PM for BrainMassage® LIVE. Pray for the total collapse of Shia-occupied Iran, and for the People to rise up and restore the land of Cyrus.

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch. Now let me know if you see them.

You can email me from our website, branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and click send.

You can leave a message on our Listener Response Hotline: 805.314.2114.

God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

Appendix No. 1: Talarico's "Christianity" Examined

Talarico misused what little knowledge he has of the Hebrew language. It's true that the expression *Spirit of God moved* translates the phrase רוּחַ אֱלֹהִים מְרַחֵף, and that the word Spirit, which itself is neither feminine nor masculine, receives its gender from the verb or, in this case, the participle, *moved*, which is feminine. But this does not mean it would be proper to say "she moved" or "she moving." (Hebrew does not require the finite verb—*she moving* makes sense in Hebrew.) However, this construction does not apply femininity to the Spirit of God any more than saying the word *lip*, which is feminine in form, identifies a man as a woman or as part female when the Hebrew word *lip* is used in connection with a

man: “I am a man of unclean lips” (Isaiah 6:5), where the Hebrew word for *lips* is feminine. Depending on the function of the word *spirit* in a sentence, it might take the feminine, masculine, or neuter —“the Spirit itself,” etc. (Isaiah 34:16). The fact that a man has *lips*, and the word *lips* is feminine, does not make the man a female. The fact that God has, indeed is, a Spirit (John 4:24), and the word *Spirit* in Genesis 1:2 is feminine, does not make God a female. Hebrew grammatical gender describes a noun's grammatical behavior; it does not assign biological sex to its referent. “*Lip*” (שֶׁפָּה) is feminine Hebrew, but Isaiah's “unclean lips” were not therefore female. Likewise, the feminine grammatical treatment of רוּחַ (translated Spirit) in Genesis 1:2 does not make the Spirit of God female.

Besides all of this, even if we allowed Talarico's argument regarding Genesis 1:1-2, God created man in His image and likeness before He created woman. The image of God is masculine. The woman derives her likeness from man, whose likeness was from God, and so shares in the likeness of God through her connection with man. But God did not create Eve separately, or independently from Adam. He took part of Adam's body, and formed the woman; and she was made from man and for man (1 Corinthians 11:8-12).

Finally, Christ is the image of the invisible GOD, which means He is the image from which man was modeled (2 Corinthians 4:4). Christ was made flesh (John 1:1, 14; 1 John 4:2) in the incarnation. After eight days, in agreement with the Law, Jesus was circumcised (Luke 2:21). Jesus was a man: “For there is one God, and one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus” (1 Timothy 2:5).