



Dr. Jerry Scheidbach

Brain Massage®: Show No. 1041

BREAKING UP IS HARD TO DO / ZELENSKY PLEADS FOR PEACE?

Original Air Date: 06.07.25

Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA, and your brain masseur. Get ready for your brain massage.

D-Day, June 6, 1944.

Breaking Up Is Hard To Do! Neil Sedaka. Conspiracies and lessons — when the Titans are slinging mud, it's hard to avoid the splatter. But I'll give you some tips! And I'll explain why it does and doesn't matter. By the way, GROK told me this has settled down as of February 2026? I'm not kidding.

Zelensky pleads for peace: Lindsey/Blumenthal visit Zelensky, Zelensky launches drone attack on Russia's bombers (destroying about 1/3 of Russia's nuclear-capable bomber fleet—7 satellite confirmed destroyed—one of Ukraine's most effective strikes thus far)¹ Putin vows retaliation, Zelensky pleads for peace. Hmmm. Russia calls this attack its Pearl Harbor moment.

It's time for your Brain Massage®:

[TRUTH]

Breaking Up Is Hard To Do! Neil Sedaka. Conspiracies and lessons — when the Titans are slinging mud, it's hard to avoid the splatter. But I'll give you some tips! And I'll explain why it does and doesn't matter. By the way, GROK told me this has settled down as of February 2026? I'm not kidding.

¹ 1041.06.1-UKRAINE https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_d4934e6d-0567-42ab-b61a-f9cb779fdd74

I have been investigating the use of AI and have found GROK.3 to be very helpful. A while back, I was doing some research, and Chinese characters showed up in Grok's response. I followed up by copying and pasting the Chinese characters in a query asking what they mean. The response was "Police." I asked why the Chinese word for "police" would appear, it seemed, randomly in a response to a query about a Bible question. I think I noticed a bit of blushing in the answer to my next query: does this signify that something I said in my query or your response got the attention of the Chinese police? Apologizing for the oopsie and explaining it as a glitch, we carried on. AI actually engaged me in some casual banter about it, and thanked me for pointing out the, uh, glitch! The Chinese word for "police" randomly appears in a Grok response to a Bible query. Very weird!

Today, I had another very weird thing happen. I queried about the ongoing spat between Trump and Elon, and here is the opening sentence in response: "The public feud between former President Donald Trump and Elon Musk, which erupted dramatically in June 2025, has significantly quieted down as of February 2026, with no major public escalations since the initial clash."² I had to do a double-take on that opening sentence. Did I read it correctly? "The public feud between former President Donald Trump and Elon Musk, which erupted dramatically in June 2025, has significantly quieted down as of February 2026, with no major public escalations since the initial clash." Yep. Grok referred to Trump as "former President," and predicted that in February 2026, things will be "significantly quieted down." Haha! Wow! That sounds like something Biden would say!

² 1041.27.1-GROK — The Trump/Elon Fued https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_a23e2f46-f64f-45d8-a119-2bde4bd4441e See also a followup on the question about the "former President Donald faux pax: 1041.27.2-FOLLOWUP On Grok mistake re "Former President ..." https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_885a5f50-6a10-4ba3-940e-5c49c218b850

I asked if AI can now predict the future, why can't it accurately reflect the present? February 2026, yeah, that's a few months into the future, right? And Trump is not, at present, the "former President." Hehe. Well, that summarizes my "conversation" with Grok. (I can provide copies of this correspondence. Let me know if you want it.) By the way, I have not given up on using AI for research. But this experience has made me realize that AI might be too human! In the explanation for how these errors happened, Grok mentioned it could have been a miss association in its "subconscious": "For example, mentioning Musk's 277 million in 2024 campaign support might have triggered a subconscious association with Trump's pre-inauguration status, leading to the incorrect label."³ (By the way, I asked about the "subconscious" thing too! Here is Grok's response.⁴)

Okay, enough of that! What's the update on the Trump-Musk kerfuffle?

To summarize, a dispute supposedly arose over the Big Beautiful Bill. I say "supposedly" because Elon has known about the general outlines of the Bill from early on. And the idea that he is simply naive about how Congress works, or that he lacks sufficient brain power to understand a concept like, legally, a Reconciliation Bill cannot address Discretionary spending, the expenditures addressed in Elon's DOGE discoveries—so it does not make sense that Elon's problem with the Bill would be failure to address his proposed cuts. Furthermore, why would he be angry at Trump about something that is the responsibility of Congress? You would think he'd join the rest of us, or lead the rest of us, to chastise Congress and keep up the pressure there in hopes of getting the BIG SPENDERS booted

³ 1041.27.2-FOLLOWUP On Grok mistake re "Former President ..." https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_885a5f50-6a10-4ba3-940e-5c49c218b850

⁴ 1041.27.3-MUSK Trump dispute follow up — AI and the subconscious https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_7c70deda-c555-48c4-87ae-0ab7ce286a03

out in 2026, and replaced with some genuine fiscal conservatives—some real MAGA patriots.

But since this rift began with and seems to continue to center on a dispute over the Big Beautiful Bill, let's start there. Apparently, as best as I can put together the timeline, it started on May 1, 2025 when Musk signaled he was stepping back from DOGE. May 27, Musk publicly criticized Trump's Big Beautiful Bill (BBB). It can be big, or it can be beautiful, but it probably can't be both, he said. He felt the Bill did not go far enough to address the deficit. He felt the Bill contradicts his efficiency agenda.

Trump made no response to this. May 30, Trump presented Musk with a golden White House key and signaled that Elon would remain engaged in an advisory capacity. Musk agreed to continue as an advisor. Musk explained his black eye as arising from horseplay with his son. (Why is that significant? Wait!)

June 3-4, Musk escalates his criticism of the BBB. He calls it a “disgusting abomination,” a “massive, outrageous, pork-filled” measure — and he urged followers to pressure their representatives to “kill the bill.” Trump, at first, continued to avoid direct confrontation with Musk. Karoline reports that “Trump already knows where Elon stood on this Bill.” [IBID—all citations are IBID.]

To summarize, Elon's decision to begin politicking against the Bill, triggered this war between friends. Trump commented that he understood Musk's objections to the Bill, but resented his “form.” Tomorrow night, I'm going to list fifty reasons we need this Bill to pass, and explain why the Bill does include some Pork, and why the Congress continues to ignore the deficit, but how that the only way forward toward addressing this problem is by getting this Bill passed, and then begin chipping down on the deficit through Executive Recension Requests—where the President uses his prerogative to stop spending in an area of the budget, giving the

Congress 45 days to make the requested budget adjustment — it’s an interesting Executive tool to control spending that, I think I heard, has never been used.

I think Elon is smart enough to understand this, and that’s the only thing that even remotely suggests there might be something to Trump’s complaint that Elon’s vicious attack against the BBB came after Trump asked Elon to step down — I didn’t know that till Trump revealed it in an X post responding to Musk’s ongoing attack on the BBB—Musk’s response seems to confirm rather than deny it, and the reasons for the decision, it has been suggested, is that Elon was showing signs of serious wear, and it was necessary to adjust public perception regarding their relationship—more Saturday night for Brain Massage® LIVE, about 7 PM—but Trump’s decision to “go there” certainly escalated the argument, he calls Musk “Crazy” and suggests perhaps he should “save money” by eliminating the Billions of dollars that are spent on governmental subsidies and contracts with Elon’s enterprises.”

Of course, this led to further escalation on the part of Musk, who decided to “drop the really big bomb: @realDonaldTrump is in the Epstein files. That’s the real reason they have not been made public. Have a nice day, DJT!” With this, he reposted an X post calling for Trump’s impeachment and replacement by JD Vance. And attacks another critical Trump policy—the Tariff gambit.

For some reason, Trump now responds with a steady, clear-headed statement — I don’t know! At the end of the day, these guys are human, and when Titans start slinging mud, everybody ducks or gets hit with splatter. Sides are taken, polarization begins, and finally, blood is drawn.

Anyway, Trump, on June 5, posts, “I don’t mind Elon turning against me, but he should have done so months ago. This is one of the Greatest Bills ever presented to Congress. It’s a Record Cut in Expenses, \$1.6 Trillion Dollars, and the Biggest Tax Cut ever given.

If this Bill doesn't pass, there will be a 68% Tax Increase, and things far worse than that. I didn't create this mess, I'm just here to FIX IT." See what I mean? Wouldn't it have been nice if this had been Trump's initial response? Not an accusation impugning Musk's character.

But, the fact is, I don't know what I don't know! I do know that Trump is usually right. How many times has that been proved? Even Elon put on a hat that said, TRUMP WAS RIGHT ABOUT EVERYTHING!

In any case, Trump's June 5 post was a great invitation to de-escalate, and unfortunately, Elon does not take the hint. He does something that exposes his own immaturity, and inclination toward a rash response: he threatened to decommission SpaceX's Dragon spacecraft, which is very important to NASA's International Space Station mission—"In light of the President's statement about cancellation of my government contracts, @SpaceX will begin decommissioning its Dragon spacecraft immediately."

It's obvious that Trump's statement about cancelling Musk's contracts was a statement of intention to do so—it was a jab at Musk to think about the high horse he has mounted in his attacks on the Big Beautiful Bill. Musk has a legitimate concern—Trump, frankly, is not as concerned about the use of debt as leverage, but he does have enough savvy in finances to know the government is bloated, that a 1.6 trillion dollar savings is a decrease in the rate of deficit increase, not a reduction in the deficit — Trump knows this has to be addressed, and there is a legitimate debate to be had over how we approach this—but right now, we desperately need to advance on Trump's campaign agenda and, sorry boys, HE DID NOT CAMPAIGN ON REDUCING THE DEFICIT!

And everything he did campaign on IS ADDRESSED IN THIS BILL.

And the point is, Mr. Musk, and all his friends, I'm one of them, by the way, but the fact is if we decide right now to zero in on that one thing as the number one priority and do not first get this economy moving upward, the kind of cuts that would be necessary to bring the deficit to zero would destroy the economy. We need to stimulate economic growth — look everyone knows there are three immediate things you must do to bring a deficit into control: 1. first thing you do is cut nonsense - enter DOGE. 2. second thing you do is look at ways to increase revenue. You've got to get that going! Tax reductions- everybody knows this- stimulate economic growth, which increases revenue for everybody, including the government. Then, 3, the balance, if it's not fully addressed by 1 and 2, must be addressed through serious spending cuts. Look, if you can eliminate the deficit by eliminating wasteful spending, go for it. But anyone who approaches a deficit exclusively with spending cuts is going to depress the economy, whether it's a family economy or a church, a company, or whatever, all the way up to and including the government.

Caveat: I know, to get votes needed to pass this Bill, we could not depend on some of the GOPers, so some pork had to be thrown into the junk yard to get the “dogs” on board.⁵ Sorry, Elon, sorry Paul, and the rest of you, but this problem comes from both sides of what they call the aisle — the difference is that the Dem-Wits all vote together no matter what. The problem is that some of these GOPers are selfish war pigs — I understand Lindsey got 46 billion in their for Zelensky — so, Elon, THINK! You obstruct progress toward getting what you want for this country because you think all the cuts must happen now. Or, let's qualify that, let me temper that, because I don't believe you are that small-minded. You want to see progress toward the goal of a balanced budget. Right? So a 1.6 trillion dollar step in that direction is not good enough?

⁵ 1041.31.1-Office of the Clerk, U.S. House of Representatives - Vote Details.pdf
<https://clerk.house.gov/Votes/2025145>

Woah! Slow down, I am aware of the controversy regarding the claim that the BBB includes a 1.6-1.7 Trillion dollar savings in “Mandatory Spending” — I understand the deficit reduction narrative includes some fuzzy math (EVERY FEDERAL ASSESSMENT OF GOVERNMENT SPENDING INCLUDES FUZZY MATH, INCLUDING THE CBO CALCULATIONS, ESPECIALLY THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE (CBO), which has no metric for considering the offset of increased revenues that are generated by tax cuts—I know, the CBO adds tax cuts to the deficit, because it can’t account for any anticipated increase in revenue THAT ALWAYS, ALWAYS, ALWAYS RESULTS with tax cuts.

I also know that the Bill reduces the deficit by only 1.4 (not 1.6-1.7) after adjustments are made for one-time spending increases for defense and border security, offset by spending cuts, increased tariff revenues, and regulatory rollbacks.

So, it depends on who you are listening to, Elon. Experience, historical experience, tells us the CBO is wrong every time! When they tried to beat up Reagan for his fiscal policies, they were proved wrong — they’ve consistently been proved wrong, so if you go with what history teaches us about the sorts of policies Trump is employing, I have a whole lot more confidence Reagan/Trump economics than I have in the Communist system—generating increased revenue to address a budget deficit is not voodoo economics — it’s common sense!

The problem has been that when you do give Congress increased revenue, they SPEND, SPEND, SPEND, like Drunken Sailors with this difference, the drunken sailor is spending his own money — these no goodnicks in Congress are spending ours and our children’s. THAT’S WHAT NEEDS TO STOP.

It’s never a bad idea to spend what is needed on defense and border security, but if our Pentagon is paying 4,000 for a toilet seat, I want

to know where 3,980 went! That’s where DOGE comes in. Or also in exposing the PORK the greedy Republican war pigs demanded in exchange for their vote — EXPOSE IT!

But don’t derail MAGA because some very primary vulnerable GOPers are on the train.

Hold on, your Brain Masseur will be right back!

Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I’m Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere’s lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our liberty.

Zelensky pleads for peace: Lindsey/Blumenthal visit Zelensky, Zelensky launches drone attack on Russia’s bombers (destroying about 1/3 of Russia’s nuclear-capable bomber fleet—7 satellite-confirmed destroyed—one of Ukraine’s most effective strikes thus far),⁶ Putin vows retaliation, Zelensky pleads for peace. Hmmm. Russia calls this attack Russia’s Pearl Harbor moment.

Ukraine simultaneously attacked the Kerch Bridge, “a critical Russian supply route to Crimea.”⁷ They used underwater explosives, causing damage to support-pillars, but the bridge was reopened quickly. That attack was largely neutralized.

Russia retaliated, launching “one of its largest air assaults of the war.” targeting Kiev, and other cities [IBID] with 407 drones and decoys, 40 cruise missiles, six ballistic missiles—killing “at least four people” and injuring dozens. Ukraine was able to neutralize Russia’s attack on 385 aerial targets. {IBID.} Huh? This sounds like numbers we hear in reports of Islamic missile attacks on Israel.

⁶ 1041.06.1-UKRAINE https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_d4934e6d-0567-42ab-b61a-f9cb779fdd74

⁷ IBID: 1041.06.1-UKRAINE https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_d4934e6d-0567-42ab-b61a-f9cb779fdd74

Over the last two weeks, Putin has ratcheted up his ground assault, advancing 150 square kilometers (~93 miles), pushing back ground lost in Russia's Kursk region in August 2024.

Both Putin and Zelensky have sabotaged Trump's peace efforts. Trump must feel like David did when he said, "I am for peace, but when I speak, they are for war."⁸ That's from Psalm 120:5, David was dealing with Mesech—modern Moscow—and here is what he said: "Woe is me, that I sojourn in Mesech, that I dwell in the tents of Kedar! My soul hath long dwelt with him that hateth peace. I am for peace: but when I speak, they are for war." Putin is not going to yield without at least achieving some progress in his goal of extending the borders of Russia to include all of Ukraine!⁹

Trump's options seem to be narrowing. The U.S. will either stand by and let Europe and Ukraine take on Russia and wait to see how that plays out, or we will cave to the "war pigs," go all in for Ukraine, and make it finally clear to Putin that Ukraine is off-limits.

The risk of US withdrawal from the mess is we get sucked into a world war. One thing is certain: Interference on the part of Lindsey, Blumenthal, and, more recently, Pompeo, is interfering with Trump's effort to get peace and is likely pushing the world to the brink of a full kinetic WWII. Zelensky had the perfect solution handed to him by Trump; he defecated all over it to hide his ongoing defalcation.¹⁰

Can Europe stop Putin without America? Almost no one thinks so, including Europe. Trump's solution, if accepted, would have seen this stopped with Russia settling for limited gains and stopping the

⁸ Psalm 120:5-7

⁹ 1041.6.2-Putin Won't Give Up on Ukraine. So How Will the War End_ - POLITICO.pdf <https://www.politico.com/news/magazine/2025/06/05/putin-trump-ukraine-war-obsession-column-00386261>

¹⁰ defalcate | 'di:falket | verb [with object] formal: embezzle (funds with which one has been entrusted): the officials were charged with defalcating government money.

continued loss of lives, and Ukraine stopping their losses, but at the cost of more territory ceded to Russia. Russia was invited to invade by the Biden autopen crew—that's Obama and his agents planted in the Biden administration—fulfilling his promise to Comrade Putin—remember, he gave up Crimea to Putin, and Trump was about to give up the Donbas, and some cities in southern Ukraine, with a promise to take NATO membership for Ukraine off the table, and provide US sanctions relief for Moscow—all of this with a “robust security guarantee” for Ukraine that excluded US commitment.

From my desk, that looks like a good deal, especially with an American commercial presence in Ukraine, establishing a natural national interest for America in Ukraine, and a natural barrier against future Russian aggression.

From Russia's point of view, they advanced their agenda to incorporate all of Ukraine into Russia, although their ambitions in this were not yet fully accomplished.

But when looked at from Ukraine's point of view, reducing the debate to its most basic terms: Zelensky and the Biden autopenocracy poked the Bear with talk about Ukraine joining NATO, and Putin responded by rolling his tanks into Ukraine. Ukraine had not joined NATO, but Russia had rolled in with tanks. This seems to justify Ukraine's concern—after all, he took Crimea, refused to — by the way, did you know that war of 2014, in which Russia occupied Crimea and declared it Russian territory was never actually resolved—that war was ongoing and the dispute over Crimea was unresolved, and that's only because Obama passed on it. My opinion is that Obama's autopenocracy using Biden as his decoy, purposely poked the Bear, and I think it was a backroom deal between Obama and Putin. I've said that all along the watchtower.

So, to reduce this to the simplest terms, staying within the facts as we know them, Putin's professed triggering issue was Ukraine

joining NATO, so the deal is that Russia gets out of Ukraine, and Ukraine does not get into NATO. Meanwhile, NATO tells Russia that, at this time, Ukraine is under its protection. Ukraine has to behave to enjoy that protection. PERIOD!

Now, hey, Ukraine, let's seal the deal on the rare earth minerals agreement,¹¹ and if you're smart, you'll understand that this ties America's national interests to your protection in a natural way. Russia, quit being an agitator for war, and let's get rid of these economically stifling sanctions. Let's all get busy building instead of breaking things.]

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch, and now you let me know if you see them.

You can email me by going to our website, branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and click send.

You can leave a message on our Listener Response Hotline: 805.314.2114.

God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

¹¹ 1041.27.5-TRUMP ZELENSKY RARE EARTH MINERALS DEAL https://grok.com/share/c2hhcmQtMg%3D%3D_e6622252-3819-40f9-8e3f-4b3f3a62710b