

Brain Massage®: Show No. 864 SCOTUS Misses the POINT In the Mandate Case / The New Biden TERROSISM Plan Puts Conservatives In The Crosshairs

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 01.15.22

1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the  
2 Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA—and your brain  
3 masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

4 The SCOTUS ruling on mandates — a huge disappointment, and  
5 other COVID related news.

6 The new Biden TERRORISM PLAN — behind the smoke screen of  
7 COVID overreaction something much more ominous is in the  
8 works. You should know about it.

9 Breaking — Today, Stewart Rhodes, Oath Keeper's leader, was  
10 arrested on charges of sedition conspiracy, adding to the long and  
11 growing list of POLITICAL PRISONERS held by the Biden  
12 Administration.

13 What must be done?

14 Okay, my dear friends — it's time for your BRAIN MASSAGE.

15 [TRUTH]

16 The SCOTUS ruling on mandates — and it seems to me everyone  
17 is very happy with the ruling. So, I went to the SCOTUS website  
18 and downloaded, then read the case arguments. Frankly, I was  
19 appalled. But I should say at the outset that I understand the court  
20 must address the case set before it. I'll explain why that is  
21 significant after I offer my analysis of the ruling.

22 Quickly, remember the present make up of the court: on the liberal  
23 side of the court — Breyer, Sotomayor, and Kagan— the  
24 conservatives are Thomas, Alito, Gorsuch, and Barrett — then you  
25 have the Deep State spoilers: Roberts and Kavanaugh — I base that  
26 on my observation that when a matter before the court has serious

27 implications contrary to the interests of the DS they will vote  
28 contrary to the interests of the American.

29 The case set before the National Federation of Independent  
30 Businesses (or NFIB—the PLAINTIFF) brought forward the case  
31 against OSHA (DEFENDANT) opposing OSHA's vaccine  
32 mandates.

33 The defendants supporting Biden's mandate essentially argued that  
34 OSHA has received from Congress a mandate to act in the interests  
35 of the health and safety of employees in the work place — and that  
36 Biden's executive order directing OSHA to mandate vaccines for  
37 employees in businesses with over 100 employees was consistent  
38 with that authority.

39 The plaintiffs argued that OSHA was not given the power to  
40 require companies to mandate medical treatment for its employees  
41 and that Biden's mandate extends the powers of OSHA beyond its  
42 original scope.

43 The conservatives on the court prevailed, and argued essentially,  
44 that the question comes down to this, who has the power to  
45 respond to the pandemic, and their answer came down to this,  
46 "That power rests with the States and the Congress, not OSHA."

47 In other words, the plaintiff approached their case by arguing  
48 OSHA was not given the power to compel businesses to require  
49 medical treatment for employees even in a pandemic scenario. The  
50 State and the Congress, according to the court, has the power to  
51 direct how the public will respond to a pandemic.

52 And I say — THIS TOTALLY MISSES THE POINT.

53 The point is not whether OSHA has the power to direct  
54 government response to a pandemic — the question is WHETHER  
55 GOVERNMENT, WHETHER LEGISLATIVE, OR EXECUTIVE,  
56 OR THE JUDICIARY, has the power to OVERTURN THE  
57 PERSONAL LIBERTIES of Americans.

58 I was appalled that neither the plaintiff, nor even one of the Jurists,  
59 thought to question the premise of the case presented by the  
60 defendants — the government lawyers arguing in defense of  
61 Biden's mandate — or raise the ESSENTIAL QUESTION that  
62 underlies this case.

63 First, the PREMISE — it is assumed that there is a PANDEMIC  
64 that is extraordinarily dangerous to the lives of all Americans — so,  
65 let's review the FACTS, shall we? 99.998% chance of recovery with  
66 no hospital intervention for persons 4-45, above a 99% chance of  
67 recovery for those 45-65, and above a 95% chance of recovery for  
68 those over 65. Recovery rates are improved for those receiving  
69 early treatment—treatment that is opposed by Fauci and friends.

70 The point I'm making here is that the COURT proceeded to rule  
71 on a case that rests on a faulty premise and did not venture to  
72 challenge the premise.

73 Second, the court totally MISSED the central question.

74 “The central question we face today is: Who decides? No one  
75 doubts that the COVID–19 pandemic has posed challenges for  
76 every American. Or that our state, local, and national governments  
77 all have roles to play in combating the disease. The only question is  
78 whether an administrative agency in Washington, one charged  
79 with overseeing workplace safety, may mandate the vaccination or  
80 regular testing of 84 million people. Or whether, as 27 States before  
81 us submit, that work belongs to state and local governments across  
82 the country and the people’s elected representatives in Congress.  
83 This Court is not a public health authority. But it is charged with  
84 resolving disputes about which authorities possess the power to  
85 make the laws that govern us under the Constitution and the laws  
86 of the land.”<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/21pdf/21a244\\_hgci.pdf](https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/21pdf/21a244_hgci.pdf)

87 Okay, so the court did not want to posture themselves as ruling on  
88 the question that lay at the foundation of the case: is there in fact a  
89 serious threat to the public that OSHA is charged to address,  
90 because "this court is not a public health authority."

91 So the court proceeded to rule within the following assumption:  
92 "There is no question that state and local authorities possess  
93 considerable power to regulate public health. They enjoy the  
94 "general power of governing," including all sovereign powers  
95 envisioned by the Constitution and not specifically vested in the  
96 federal government." — quoting ROBERTS.

97 And they followed this with a caveat: "The federal government's  
98 powers, however, are not general but limited and divided" citing  
99 *McCullough v. Maryland*, 1819.

100 Stay with me!

101 Therefore, the court reasoned, "Not only must the federal  
102 government properly invoke a constitutionally enumerated source  
103 of authority to regulate in this area or any other, it must also act  
104 consistently with the Constitution's separation of powers."<sup>2</sup>

105 And because the defendant could not present any "enumerated  
106 source of authority" in the Constitution to support allowing OSHA  
107 to mandate vaccines for employees of businesses with over 100  
108 employees, the Court referred them to the power of the State  
109 and/or the Federal Legislative body — and I say WHAT?

110 The Congress *can* require businesses to mandate vaccines for their  
111 employees? Excuse me!!!!

112 Where in the Constitution do we find this power given to the  
113 Legislators?

114 You see, they have conceded the major premise without  
115 challenging it. They grant to state and local authorities

---

<sup>2</sup> IBID.

116 "considerable power" to regulate public health. BUT, as they argue  
117 in this case, "the federal government's powers ... are not general  
118 but limited and divided."

119 How about we address some of those LIMITATIONS? Is not the  
120 government's power restrained by the NATURAL RIGHTS of  
121 citizens, the inalienable right, for example, TO DECIDE WHAT  
122 GETS JABBED INTO OUR BODY?

123 Did not Nuremberg address this issue, and conclude to  
124 EVERYONE's assent, that NO PERSON SHALL BE COERCED,  
125 OR COMPELLED, TO PARTICIPATE IN A MEDICAL  
126 EXPERIEMENT?

127 How could the court have not at least mentioned this  
128 LIMITATION of government — Congress cannot make a law that  
129 violates our basic human rights.

130 What is wrong with these people?

131 You don't have to forage out into the practice of medicine, dear  
132 CONSERVATIVES on the COURT — to rule that THE  
133 NUREMBERG CODE has application here. This is not an  
134 APPROVED vaccine — it's being administered under  
135 EMERGENCY USE AUTHORIZATION — there is NO way  
136 anyone has the right to force you to stick something into your body  
137 over your objections — nor can they coerce you do so by  
138 threatening to take away your livelihood if you refuse — TO  
139 PARTICIPATE IN A MEDICAL EXPERIMENT.

140 The premise must be challenged: not only must Biden, et al,  
141 establish Constitutional authority to impose this regulation on  
142 Americans, but Congress also, and any State, cannot COMPEL, of  
143 COERCE, any human being to take a shot against their objections.

144 And, furthermore, they don't even provide fully INFORMED  
145 CONSENT —

146 Listen, the COURT missed this and I'm telling you these guys are  
147 not dull witted. There was one moment during the oral arguments  
148 that I thought I noticed Clarence Thomas stick a toe across the line  
149 I think they were trying to avoid — I think they were purposely  
150 avoiding getting tangled up in the REAL QUESTION of this matter  
151 — and purposely navigated their course of enquiry away from what  
152 I have to believe these guys KNOW is the REAL CORE  
153 QUESTION here — can the government, under emergency  
154 powers, suspend the INALIENABLE RIGHTS of citizens protected  
155 by GOD and our Constitution?

156 They don't want to open that box for fear where it will lead them.

157 In summary, the Court turned the case into a separation of powers  
158 case that is not entirely without merit. But it MISSES the real point  
159 of this case. Does the government have the power to compel  
160 citizens to participate in a medical experiment?

161 Becky and I were talking about this and she reflected that it sounds  
162 similar to what the COURT did with what we have come to call  
163 Obamacare. They decided that the legislative branch had the power  
164 to suspend the Constitution that would ordinarily prohibit the  
165 government from mandating what the American people purchase  
166 — and decided Congress could mandate every American purchase  
167 health insurance. Now they are doing it with vaccines.

168 You see, you are happy because the court stopped Biden's vaccine  
169 mandate — but when you read their decision you discover all they  
170 did is punt it to the Congress. This ruling essentially says  
171 CONGRESS can pass a LAW that requires all American's to be  
172 vaccinated.

173 More and more, the Constitution is being supplanted by the will  
174 and whim of men in power.

175 And you know that the Court's decision left the medical  
176 professionals susceptible to Biden's vaccine mandate. Let's look at  
177 that and then we'll go to break.

178 The premise of this decision is that since the Federal government  
179 funds Medicare and jointly, with each state, also funds Medicaid,  
180 that therefore, the Federal government has a legitimate interest in  
181 regulating the doctors that provide medical services to Medicare  
182 and Medicaid patients. On this ground, the Court decided the  
183 Federal government could mandate vaccines for all medical  
184 professionals who treat Medicare and Medicaid patients.

185 Once again, the court is totally missing the point — or hiding from  
186 it. Do you want to know what the answer is to this situation? It's  
187 really very simple. Give the decision to the patient! If a patient does  
188 not want someone unvaccinated treating them, let them say so, and  
189 let them have a physician that is vaccinated. And vice versa! You  
190 see how SIMPLE it is? There is nothing at all hard about this  
191 situation.

192 BUT THE IDEA THAT GOVERNMENT PURCHASES THE  
193 RIGHT TO INTRUDE UPON THE INALIENABLE RIGHTS OF  
194 AMERICAN CITIZENS BY FUNDING THEIR MEDICAL  
195 TREATMENT SHOULD SEND A COLD SHIVER DOWN THE  
196 SPINE OF EVERY AMERICAN —

197 There is no way the Constitution provides power to the  
198 government to intrude upon the rights, right down to the right of  
199 bodily autonomy, there is NO WAY the conservatives on that  
200 court don't see this — I can't believe it! What is GOING ON?

201 The conservatives on the court, it seems to me, purposely evaded  
202 the central question — IS THERE ANY SCENARIO IN WHICH  
203 IT IS OKAY FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO SUSPEND OUR  
204 NATURAL, AND CONSTITUTIONALLY GUARANTEED  
205 RIGHTS against our will?

206 Okay, let's take a short break. I'll be right back.

207 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor  
208 at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern  
209 lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the  
210 enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our  
211 liberty.

212 Biden had hardly shuffled from the stage where he was inaugurated  
213 before he directed his national security team to review US  
214 government efforts to address domestic terrorism.<sup>3</sup> First thing he  
215 did! The report was released in June and I've read through it, and  
216 it's very alarming. It's titled National Strategy for Countering  
217 Domestic Terrorism —

218 The behavior of the Demoncrats since November 3 suggests they  
219 are expecting some sort of massive American "Revolution" to break  
220 out — they are looking over their shoulder — like the Proverb,  
221 "The wicked flee when no man pursueth: but the righteous are bold  
222 as a lion" (Proverbs 28:1). It's like they have been waiting and even  
223 hoping Americans will rise up against Biden the way they did  
224 against King George — they egged us out into the streets to  
225 confront the real terrorists — BLM and ANTIFA — but we did not  
226 buy it. They staged a "riot" on Jan. 6, but with the exception of  
227 something like two out of the million Protesters there that day; the  
228 Patriots there did not supply the large-scale "insurrection" they  
229 planned. So they are making do with what they got!

230 The Demoncrats together with their Judas-Republicans  
231 perpetrated a coup on November 3, and they've been looking over  
232 their shoulder ever since.

233 So, what is the first thing Biden does as acting president? He tasks  
234 the national security team to lead a 100 day comprehensive review

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/06/15/fact-sheet-national-strategy-for-countering-domestic-terrorism/>



235 of US efforts to address domestic terrorism. Why would you do  
236 that unless you had reason to believe you had just done something  
237 that was going to totally tweak the American PATRIOTS?

238 As I read through this doc, I noticed some of the old propaganda  
239 tricks of Goebbels — connect what would seem a benign and  
240 irrelevant concern to one that is recognized by everyone as a  
241 serious problem. This way people begin seeing them as related and  
242 as equal in concern. So you connect hate crimes motivated by  
243 racial bias (which almost NEVER HAPPENS in America today—  
244 unless you are talking about the line backer sized black thug that  
245 jumped on a 75 year old Korean-American and knocked her  
246 unconscious—anyway!) connect that concern to patriots agitated  
247 about government overreach. THINK!

248 So, as I'm reading this, I'm thinking, what are these guys up to  
249 here? It's written in a way to point almost exclusively to white  
250 supremacists as the number one major threat of domestic  
251 terrorism, but also uses language that would suggest to any  
252 reasonable mind that any American that is disgruntled with the  
253 present government is a potential terrorist.

254 It's a strategy to eliminate dissidents.

255 Here are some takeaways and some of this illustrates my point.

256 First, do you notice sense of urgency on the left regarding an  
257 expectation of some uprising from "white militia" — it's like white  
258 supremacists are lurking behind every tree, and around every  
259 corner, just looking for their opportunity — uh, to take their rifles  
260 and go to a baseball game played by democrats and start shooting  
261 them — oh, wait, no, that was James Hodgkinson, the guy who  
262 asked whether those playing on the baseball diamond were  
263 Republican or Democrat — and when he learned they were  
264 Republican, opened fire almost killing Scalise, and seriously  
265 injuring two US Capitol Police officers —. It happened in 2017,

266 soon after Trump took office, and it took the FBI almost three  
267 years to admit this was an act of "domestic terrorism."<sup>4</sup>

268 The fact is, as odious and offensive as White Supremacy is, we  
269 really have not seen a lot of that sort of thing since Byrd took off  
270 his hood and join the Demoncrats pretending to be a defender of  
271 the blacks. There have been no Democrat slave owners since they  
272 lost the Civil War—and blacks have not been required to sit at  
273 separate tables from whites since the Democrats lost their Jim  
274 Crow laws, and the Civil Rights Act was passed — I mean, ever  
275 since Biden tried to stop the integration of our public schools and  
276 failed — you know, the Democrat White Supremacists have pretty  
277 much gone underground — and now they carry out their  
278 oppression of the black community from underground — behind  
279 the facade of racial equality.

280 The fact is, the White Supremacists in this country have always  
281 been the Democrats, and they are forced to hide their racial hatred  
282 and sense of superiority over all others — so who are these people  
283 really trying to place in the cross hairs of their TERRORISM  
284 PLAN?

285 I've referenced the document in my notes — the link to the official  
286 WH document called National Strategy for Countering Domestic  
287 Terrorism — let me give you some of the highlights.

288 It broadly defines identifies Domestic Violent Extremists (DVEs)  
289 as "persons motivated by a range of ideologies and galvanized by  
290 *recent political and societal events*. Catch that: recent political and  
291 societal events? They have specifically in view the concerns about  
292 the Nov. 3 election. That's their focus. You'll see it come up  
293 repeatedly in the document.

---

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/fbi-quietly-admits-2017-gop-baseball-shooting-was-domestic-terrorism-after-all/ar-BB1gKBsn>

294 The National Security Council assessment of the present danger of  
295 domestic terrorists seem to be fixated on anticipation of reaction to  
296 the Biden Presidency.

297 They say these recent events "pose an ELEVATED THREAT to the  
298 Homeland in 2021." In 2021 — they clearly expected to see some  
299 sort of armed retaliation from the American people against them  
300 for stealing the election in 2020.

301 Here are some of the things the National Security Council  
302 identified as issues to watch: biases against minority populations,  
303 and PERCEIVED GOVERNMENT OVERREACH which they said  
304 would "almost certainly continue to drive Domestic Violent  
305 Extremist radicalization and mobilization to violence."<sup>5</sup>

306 Along with that, they warn that "newer sociopolitical  
307 developments-such as narratives of fraud in the recent general  
308 election.

309 You've got to be kidding me. Tell me you remember how the  
310 Democrats and Judas Republicans reacted to Hillary's loss. They  
311 cried foul, and protested the election was rigged, they did all of that  
312 in 2016 and continued this all the way through Trump's first term.  
313 Now they say questioning the legitimacy of an election marks  
314 someone as a POTENTIAL DOMESTIC TERRORIST? Hmm.  
315 Perhaps we should consider who is saying this and go with the old  
316 yarn about it takes one to know one — or something!

317 Only in this case, it takes one to suspect everyone else of being one.

318 What else — oh, and "THE EMBOLDENING IMPACT OF THE  
319 VIOLENT BREACH OF THE US CAPITOL."

320 So, if you question the legitimacy of Biden's election — and/or if  
321 you participated in the J6 protests — or deny their hyped up claims  
322 about that protest and point to Pelosi, Schumer, and others who

---

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/National-Strategy-for-Countering-Domestic-Terrorism.pdf>  
<https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/fbi-quietly-admits-2017-gop-baseball-shooting-was-domestic-terrorism-after-all/ar-BB1gKBsn>

323 obviously conspired to agitate a riot they could use as a pretext to,  
324 well, to do what they are doing. Holding 700 + political prisoners,  
325 without bail, without any right to speedy trial, without benefit of a  
326 trial before a court of law, without the right to counsel — they are  
327 being treated like "terrorists." Get it?

328 What's the third thing that marks you as a potential DOMESTIC  
329 TERRORIST? Kicking back against the government's handling of  
330 the COVID-19 pandemic — so if you are resistant to succumbing  
331 to the way over the top pressure to take a vaccine that is effectively  
332 ineffective and UNNECESSARY — and you resist the CCP MASK  
333 MANDATE and effort to isolate Americans from one another,  
334 carried out by their American puppets, Fauci and friends — and if  
335 you question the election and call out the FRAUD, and point out  
336 the Dominion machine manipulation of our elections turning  
337 them into SELECTIONS by the Deep State rather than  
338 ELECTIONS by the PEOPLE — if you are a critical thinker, and  
339 can see what is OBVIOUS and are willing to SEE what is right in  
340 front of your face — Biden's Admin has MARKED YOU as a  
341 potential TERRORIST.

342 Okay, I'm coming up on my time and I want you to see the context  
343 in which this "National Strategy for Countering Domestic  
344 Terrorism" is being implemented.

345 Haven't you noticed how many times in the course of a week  
346 American Patriots concerned about the direction of this country  
347 and about election integrity, and so on, have you noticed how  
348 many times during the course of a single week we are called  
349 WHITE SUPREMACISTS, and TERRORISTS?

350 The President himself, in a recent speech, effectively called  
351 everyone a white supremacist racist that objected to his parties Bill  
352 crafted to PERMANENTLY TAKE AWAY OUR RIGHT TO  
353 VOTE — by making the illegal activity that took place in 2020 the  
354 law of the land and a permanent fixture of our elections. He said if

355 we disagree with his wicked plan we are on the side of George  
356 Wallace — whose endorsement, by the way, Biden relished not too  
357 long ago. He said we are on the side of Jefferson Davis against  
358 Abraham Lincoln — when in fact the Jefferson Democrats fought  
359 to continue slavery —

360 This national strategy for dealing with domestic terrorism is  
361 written at a time when parents who object to CRT and masks in  
362 their schools are called WHITE SUPREMACISTS and  
363 TERRORISTS — and I mean, officially designated potential  
364 Terrorists by the Biden DOJ.

365 This strategy, and we did not even get into the provisions —  
366 essentially, they want to take all the provisions in the Patriot Act  
367 and apply them domestically, to American citizens. And they are  
368 already doing it — 700 plus political prisoners held in DC and  
369 today the arrest of Stewart Rhodes, leader of Oath Keepers, on  
370 bogus charges of sedition conspiracy. They have been sitting on  
371 this since J-6 2021, they have obtained NO NEW EVIDENCE —  
372 but they run out to make the arrest after they were challenged if  
373 there was an insurrection, why have there been no arrests —  
374 POLITICAL.

375 It is in this environment, when almost daily someone in MSM is  
376 calling white conservatives white supremacists, and terrorists. One  
377 Democrat political pundit recently declared the Republican  
378 PARTY is a TERRORIST ORGANIZATION. It's Jason something  
379 or other, sorry, can't take time to run down the name right now.  
380 But I heard him say it, right in front of GOD and everybody —  
381 AND INSIST ON IT, THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IS NOT A  
382 POLITICAL PARTY, IT'S A TERRORIST ORGANIZATION.

383 Where is this headed?

384 It's obvious! The DS is a cornered black mamba — one of the most  
385 deadly snakes in the world — someone will certainly try to make

386 something racial out of the choice of black mamba — you know  
387 what, scratch that. The Deep State hydra-headed RED SERPENT is  
388 cornered — and it is RAGING in seething hatred of America and  
389 friend, this KIND will not GO out peacefully, it won't whimper off,  
390 it will CAST to the ground, and hiss, and strike — the ONE  
391 WORLDERS have never gotten so close to completing their  
392 TOWER — they will not yield willingly — they will try to bring the  
393 entire country down with them into destruction and chaos —

394 But, tune in to my COMFORT AND COUNSEL tomorrow night,  
395 around 8 pm, on our church Facebook page and learn what we are  
396 doing to prepare for the coming WAR!

397 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me  
398 know if you see them. It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE  
399 ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning,  
400 (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say  
401 LIGHTS OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and  
402 disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or  
403 lights off?

404 Email me by going to our web site at [branmassage.net](http://branmassage.net). That's  
405 [brainmassage.net](http://brainmassage.net). Find the CONTACT button and send me an  
406 email.

407 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.

408 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.