

Brain Massage®: Show No. 859 Breaking The Tyrant's Grip, One Finger At A Time — Masks: The Science & the Bible

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 12.11.21

1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the
2 Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA—and your brain
3 masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

4 The news comes at us today like a hoard of Zombies — so let's start
5 with a shotgun approach, and indiscriminately hit the flesh eaters
6 beating our doors —

7 Durham indictments are breaking through the lies and paving a
8 road that leads directly to Hillary and Obama, et al.

9 Election Fraud exposure is building momentum — lately WI has
10 received a major blow and is wobbling, AZ is getting increasing
11 pressure to break away from the grip of our CIA and DS —
12 Purdue, in GA, has filed suit against FULTON CO over voter fraud
13 activity — Lindel is moving forward with his suits against voter
14 fraud and included in his suit is a complaint that these states
15 VIOLATED THE CONSITUTION —

16 The sexcapades of our CIA are being revealed as Maxwell's case
17 continues moving forward —

18 We are watching and praying over the SCOTUS decision regarding
19 the MISSISSIPPI abortion law — pray the stronghold of abortion
20 will be finally broken.

21 You don't hear about it if you are living trapped within the MSM
22 propaganda machine—but TRUTH is MARCHING On and
23 beginning to break through the barriers put in place by Satan
24 through his children of disobedience — keep praying!

25 See the wind vane that long pointed in the direction of LIES — see
26 it turning as the WIND builds force and pushes it TOWARD
27 TRUTH.

28 A few puffs of TRUTH blew across the Rittenhouse and Jussie
29 cases — truth is blowing hard in the Maxwell case — showing us
30 there is a wind change happening right now — TRUTH, taken up
31 from the street and set again upon the PILLAR Jesus set up in the
32 earth for it — beginning to MOVE — chaff is being lifted up and
33 cast about in the winds —

34 And it's becoming clear that so much of what is going on is
35 diversion from what these children of Satan do in the darkness —
36 the exploitation of our children, boys and girls, and vulnerable
37 women, the human trafficking, sex trafficking — the truth blowing
38 through the Maxwell case blowing out into the open some surprises
39 — it is beginning to appear Maxwell was the driving force behind
40 Epstein and not the other way around — it appears Mossad and
41 our own CIA was involved in setting up the honey-pot operation.

42 What is a honey-pot operation? Maxwell introduced to Epstein to
43 coop his "FANTASY" Island and turn it into a trap — seducing
44 powerful men and women appealing to their perverted prurient
45 predilections — seducing them to his mansion, or his Island,
46 servicing their perverse pleasures — in pedophilia, orgies,
47 bestiality, sadomasochism, and capturing them by videos created
48 from hidden cameras, and then later, approaching these powerful
49 perverts and showing them the pictures and take OWNERSHIP of
50 their lives and through them their office and influence and using it
51 to advance their private agendas — like conducting a coup against
52 a sitting president and stealing from the American people their
53 right to choose their leaders —

54 Documents recently surfaced revealing the CIA covered up acts of
55 sexual violence against children, little boys and girls some as young
56 as 7 through teens — CIA operatives committed acts of sexual
57 violence against multiple children and it was covered up by the
58 CIA — these monsters were not turned over to justice, but released

59 into the world to go on feeding their perverse appetites with our
60 children — WHAT?

61 And the desperation to keep all this hidden is growing—much of
62 the mask and vaccine nonsense and the beating of the drums of
63 war against Russia — is pushing forward the over all evil agenda of
64 the Schwab/Gates one world, antichrist cabal — but a lot of what is
65 driving this forward at such a break neck pace is the need to keep
66 what these people do in the darkness from coming into the LIGHT.

67 Because that will turn the general population against them and
68 bring upon them the VENGEANCE of the SWORD of the LORD.

69 Because then the PEOPLE will WREST the Sword of ROMANS 13
70 from the hands of the wicked — and it will be turned upon the
71 necks of these wicked and unreasonable perverts.

72 Well, that will have to serve as my opener — and actually, my
73 intention today is to talk to you about the MASK — and to show
74 you how vital the mask mandate is to the agenda of the WICKED
75 who are attempting to break American independence and drive
76 this nation to its knees to the one worlders.

77 Okay, my friends — it's time for your Brain Massage!

78 [TRUTH]

79 It was at least seven months ago that I perceived there was much
80 more to this mask mandate than — well, than met the eye.

81 I became increasingly convinced it was our line of first defense and
82 first point of resistance against the rising tyranny.

83 I'm not saying the mask Nazis are the most notorious of the threats
84 that have risen against us. By no means! The rising threat of CCP,
85 the Pandemic fraud, and the use of this to steal our ELECTIONS,
86 these things are the ultimate threat to our liberties — but the masks
87 represent what you might call the Achilles' heel of this massive

88 attack on our liberties — or another way to put it, it is the little
89 finger of the hand of tyranny that is throttling America —

90 The hand of tyranny has wrapped its fingers around the neck of
91 our liberties — and I say the mask is it's little finger — the weakest
92 finger in the GRIP — and so the first to pry loose in the effort to
93 break it's grip.

94 And the analogy is fitting, since the grip essentially is upon our
95 throats to choke the breath of life out of our nation.

96 So I thought to create a booklet, a tract, addressing the science and
97 the Bible on the issue of mask mandates and encourage our folks,
98 and you, to distribute these all over our city, and among our friends
99 in other churches throughout our state and across our nation.

100 Just door to door — or wherever we are, get the TRUTH out there
101 regarding these masks.

102 Putting all our businesses on notice that if they elect to become
103 ENFORCERS of public policy they will pay the political price for
104 their decision. If they side with the government in its effort to steal
105 from us our liberties, we the PEOPLE will not forget that they took
106 their place in this fight for freedom on the side of tyranny. They
107 did not side with the PEOPLE but they sided with the
108 GOVERNMENT — and so when the PEOPLE breaks the
109 government tyranny these businesses will be remembered and they
110 will also be BROKEN.

111 Medical establishments that decided to become enforcers of
112 nonsense mask mandates will be exposed as frauds. I walked into
113 my Dentists office for an appointment and they told me I had to
114 wear a mask — I looked at them — here are people of medicine
115 who are so uninformed they don't know the masks are virtually
116 worthless to protect from the transmission or contagion of a virus.
117 These are "medical" people — and they don't know this? I dropped
118 the sign in clip board on their desk and walked out.

119 I'm going to trust a medical person who does not know that masks
120 do not protect from the contagion or transmission of a virus. More
121 on this in a moment — just setting it up.

122 It's going to be hard to get it all in to one show. I would like to go
123 over this report that pulls together all of Fauci's statements
124 regarding masks and show what a liar this man is. He has flipped
125 and flopped on this issue — I used to go fishing with my dad — we
126 would reel in a bass, or a barracuda — and these are fighters, when
127 we landed them they would flip and flop violently — much better
128 to bring them in with a net, but you know, sometimes in all the
129 excitement it just gets ahead of you — Fauci has been caught, and
130 he is flipping about like a barracuda. Best thing to do with a
131 barracuda is cut it up for bait. I'm just sayin'!

132 Okay, so, here is the plan. I've just about completed my booklet
133 exposing the mask mandate — it will be part one. Or I might
134 provide a brief pamphlet overviewing the issue along with the
135 booklet that provides documentation to support it — we'll see —
136 but I think I'll just discuss the SCIENCE and the BIBLE on the
137 question of mask mandates — and I'll have to summarize that
138 information — but we will take it easy, go over the science
139 carefully, and in my notes I provide all the documents supporting
140 all the facts I bring out in this study.

141 So, before I go to break, and come back to go over the SCIENCE
142 and the BIBLE on the question of Masks — allow me to offer my
143 disclosures.

144 First, I am not a medical doctor; I am a doctor of Theology
145 (Summa Cum Laude, Bethany Seminary, AL under Dr. Shoemaker,
146 with Dr. Carl Warden as my proctor). I also hold a Masters degree
147 from Liberty University (Magna Cum Laude), and a BA and Thg.
148 (graduate in theology—equivalent to an AA degree) from
149 Heartland Baptist College in OK. My life labor is in the "word of
150 God and doctrine." Of course, I have done extensive research all

151 my life and have extended my study into other fields, such as
152 mathematics, history, especially, science, astronomy, you should
153 see my telescope, it's awesome — a ten inch lens and, well, anyway,
154 my interests are very broad. So what I'm saying is that while I am
155 not a medical doctor, I do know something about research, and I
156 put these skills and this experience into working through the
157 science, and the BIBLE on the question: are mask mandates a
158 legitimate answer to a virus pandemic? The subtext of the study
159 raises another question: since it is clear from science and the Bible
160 that masks are not a proper strategy for providing protection
161 against a virus, and since all of those in power know this to be true,
162 what is the actual agenda of those pushing the masks mandates?

163 In this show, I will have only enough time to look at the science
164 and the Bible on the question, and perhaps in a follow-up I can
165 explore the subtext of this issue.

166 I will be summarizing my own work on the subject, quoting from
167 my little booklet, but since these are all my own words, I'll not
168 weary your ears with quote, end quote, throughout, except, of
169 course, when I'm quoting a third party.

170 Okay, all set? Hold on through the break, I'll be right back and we'll
171 get started.

172 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor
173 at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern
174 lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the
175 enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
176 liberty. Would you like to know the TRUTH about masks? Here is
177 a synopsis of a book I wrote exposing the truth about masks: the
178 Science and the BIBLE on the question of mask mandates. There is
179 much information here, so I'll be offering a synopsis. Here we go.

180 **THE SCIENCE**

181 When are we going to FOLLOW THE SCIENCE? Many medical
182 doctors with credentials equivalent to and even greater than those
183 of FAUCI tell us there is not one scientific study that supports
184 mask mandates. In fact, some very reputable doctors of medicine
185 warn that wearing masks can be harmful. Even more surprising, no
186 western medical professional recommended masks as public policy
187 for controlling a pandemic before the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak.
188 None! Not even Mr. Fauci.

189 As late as February, 2020, in an email addressed to Obama's
190 former Health and Human Services Secretary, Sylvia Bruwell,
191 Fauci recommended against wearing a mask, writing, "The typical
192 mask you buy in the drug store is not really effective in keeping
193 out virus, which is small enough to pass through the material."¹
194 Perhaps this explains why every box of masks you buy has a
195 disclaimer printed on the box. Here is one of many examples:
196 "Masks are not designed or intended to prevent, mitigate, treat,
197 diagnose or cure any disease or health condition, including
198 COVID-19/Coronavirus."²

199 In the beginning of the outbreak, Fauci scoffed at the suggestion
200 that Americans would be wearing masks.³ After he changed his

¹ <https://nationalfile.com/fauci-told-former-obama-admin-official-in-a-private-email-dont-wear-a-mask/> Here is the full text of Dr. Fauci's email referenced in this article: "Sylvia: Masks are really for infected people to prevent them from spreading infection to people who are not infected rather than protecting uninfected people from acquiring infection. The typical mask you buy in the drug store is not really effective in keeping out virus, which is small enough to pass through the material. It might, however, provide some slight benefit in keep [sic] out gross droplets if someone coughs or sneezes on you. I do not recommend that you wear a mask, particularly since you are going to a very low risk location. Your instincts are correct, money is best spent on medical countermeasures such as diagnostics and vaccines. Safe travels."

² <https://teepublic.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/360047284753-Masks-Legal-Disclaimer-for-Customers> Note: the N95 is the only mask rated to actually help block a particle small as a virion (a complete infective form of a virus particle), but CDC expressly recommends against its use by the general public: see <https://www.canopusgroup.us/pages/disclaimer-for-face-mask-n95>. The reason is 1. These need to be fitted professionally to provide the protection they offer, and 2. Medical professionals need these masks and there is concern about general use creating a shortage.

³ In fact, April 2, 2020, the US Surgeon General Jerome Adams, warned that wearing masks could "actually increase a person's risk of contracting COVID-19." Earlier, February 29, Vice

201 mind about the value of masks to protect from a virus, he was
 202 pressed to explain. He admitted masks are "largely symbolic."⁴
 203 Maybe that's why we have so many pictures of Fauci, and other
 204 leaders, unmasked in public and around others. Maybe that's why
 205 Hollywood elites get a pass when attending the Emmys and San
 206 Francisco Mayor London Breed spurns wearing masks when
 207 attending a "monumental" nightclub appearance of one of her
 208 favorite groups.⁵ She said, "We don't need fun police to come in
 209 and micromanage and tell us what we should or shouldn't be
 210 doing." I think many of us agree whole-heartedly with the Mayor.
 211 But her example tells us she does not believe mask mandates are
 212 necessary, while at the same time she imposes them on everyone
 213 else. Beyond the hypocrisy, what does this tell you about these
 214 mandates? They are NOT about protecting anyone from a disease.

President Mike Pence, said the "average American" does not need to buy a mask to protect from the virus. Fauci also "warned against wearing face masks for the coronavirus" (Online: <https://www.businessinsider.com/americans-dont-need-masks-pence-says-as-demand-increases-2020-2> and <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/fauci-says-he-doesnt-regret-telling-americans-not-to-wear-masks-at-the-beginning-of-the-pandemic/ar-BB16P84e>). When asked why they changed their mind, the official explanation was at that time they did not realize how long the disease was latent—the time between contagion and manifestation of symptoms—when patients are asymptomatic. However, as early as January 2020, alarms about asymptomatic spread were raised: "People showing no symptoms appear to be able to spread the novel coronavirus ..." (Scientific American, Study Reports First Case of Coronavirus Spread by Asymptomatic Person, by Andrew Joseph, January 31, 2020 — Online: <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/study-reports-first-case-of-coronavirus-spread-by-asymptomatic-person/>. (February 04, 2020, Scientific American added an Editor's Note explaining that this story was based on faulty information. The faulty information, however, was not that asymptomatic spread was not actually a concern. See <https://www.statnews.com/2020/02/04/high-profile-report-on-asymptomatic-spread-of-coronavirus-based-on-faulty-information-health-officials-say/>.) Warnings about "GHOST CARRIERS" were circulating publicly during the period these professionals were telling Americans they did not need to wear masks (Online: <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/11281433/asymptomatic-coronavirus-without-symptoms/>). Another reason given for warning the general public of the dangers of using a mask for protection against a virus and advising the general public not to "buy a mask" was to protect the supply. Of course this means they were lying to the public in order to manipulate them, which, according to their present position on masks, means they were knowingly advancing a policy that threatened public health.

⁴ Cape Charles Mirror, Fouchi says Masks largely symbolic, 2nd wave of corona may not happen, by Wayne Creed, May 31, 2020.

⁵ <https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2021/09/20/san-francisco-mayor-london-breed-defends-criticism-after-video-dancing-maskless-night-club/> (the hypocrisy of the "elites" is a clear proof they know the masks are useless.

215 So what are they for? But let's stick with what does the science
 216 actually say about masks.

217 Science is the accumulation of knowledge based on conclusions
 218 derived from observations that are tested through carefully
 219 controlled experiments. These experiments must be made public so
 220 anyone could verify the results by repeating the experiment.
 221 *Science* is not the best guesses of scientists.

222 For example, the following is not a *scientific* statement: "As
 223 SARS-CoV-2 continues its global spread, it's *possible* that one of
 224 the pillars of Covid-19 pandemic control — universal facial
 225 masking — *might* help reduce the severity of disease and ensure
 226 that a greater proportion of new infections are asymptomatic."⁶

⁶ The New England Journal of Medicine, Perspective, October 29, 2020, Facial Masking for Covid-19 — Potential for "Variolation" as We Await a Vaccine, Monica Gahnhi, M.D., M.P.H., and George W. Rutherford, M.D. (Online: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMp2026913?listPDF=true>) 4/15/21 (Read through this entire article and note the use of such expressions as the following: "If this hypothesis is borne out," "masking seemed to be a possible way," "suggested ... a strong relationship between public masking and pandemic control," "facial masking may also reduce ... ," and "The possibility is consistent with a long-standing theory of viral pathogenesis," "If the viral inoculum (— volume of virions present, ed.) matters ... an additional hypothesized reason ... ," "masking might reduce the (— volume of virions, ed.) that an exposed person inhales," and "might contribute," and "if this theory bears out," and the idea that if something could and might reduce infection and transmission it should therefore be tried is very short sighted. No consideration is given to the number of deaths by suicide arising from despair caused by the lockdowns, which are associated with the masking strategy, together with the sometimes severe reactions of some vulnerable persons to wearing masks for extended periods, such as is addressed in the respected Journal of Primay Care & Community Health article titled, The Effects of the Face Mask on the Skin Underneath: A Prospective Survey During the COVID-19 Pandemic, first published October 21, 2020, authors and contributors are identified by initials only. Also, notice the more positive claims: "Past evidence ... can also protect the wearer ... by blocking viral particles from entering the nose and mouth" and follow up by reading the supporting documentation, which turns out to be another opinion piece written by the primary contributor to this article, Gandhi M. with Beyrer C and Goosby E. published in J. Gen Intern Med 2020 July 31 (Epub ahead of print). These doctors turned to Asian studies that were unsupported in Western medicine until Covid-19, which we now know originated in Wuhan, China, which country is highly interested in controlling information about this virus, and is the chief influence presently dominating our establishment medicine community. A study involving hamsters used to model simulated masking offered some hopeful results. Unfortunately, this study is not referenced. And then there are the statements that are challenged by more current data: "asymptomatic infection rates are reported to be higher than 80% in settings with universal facial masking," followed by "Countries that have adopted population-wide masking have fared better ..." are challenged by more up to date data that informs us States that have removed lockdowns and mandated masking are faring much better than those that continue those extreme mitigation strategies. All observations depending on

227 (Italics added for emphasis.) This statement reflects an opinion of a
 228 scientist. But this is a far cry from being a statement of *science*.

229 True, honest scientists will use qualifying words when they are
 230 offering an untested, unproved opinion. And, while it is reasonable
 231 to expect the opinions of persons trained in medical science would
 232 be more dependable than those of someone untrained in medicine,
 233 the fact remains, such statements are not *science*, they are
 234 unproved opinions.

235 In today's politically charged environment, where so much political
 236 pressure is at play in this debate, it is reasonable to question
 237 whether the opinions expressed by the medical establishment
 238 scientists are unbiased.

239 One dramatic example of political bias was revealed when the
 240 World Health Organization (WHO) admitted they used the now
 241 proven fake Lancet Study to discourage use of
 242 Hydroxychloroquine.⁷ Add to this the fact that no reputable
 243 scientist before Covid-19 recommended masks as public policy to
 244 control the spread of any disease, much less one so small as a
 245 virus. If masks were known by scientists to provide insufficient
 246 protection from a coronavirus before Covid-19, what changed that
 247 makes them effective now?

248 No science journal has reported the SARS-CoV-2 virus responsible
 249 for the disease we call Covid-19 is larger than any prior
 250 coronavirus particles (0.12 microns, or 120 nanometers).⁸ While

asymptomatic infectious rates as proof only supports the more broad truth that this disease was simply not so lethal as was first believed, or reported.)

⁷ Yahoo news, June 4, 2020 (Online: <https://in.news.yahoo.com/controversial-lancet-study-linking-hcq-063453924.html> — printed — 4/15/21)

⁸ <https://www.ajc.com/news/health-med-fit-science/mayo-clinic-expert-answers-questions-about-masks-after-cdc-recommendation/V9iFFvbtBpDwHrVF5cxemM/> NOTE: This article was prepared by the editors of The Atlanta Journal-Constitution, April 7, 2020 and promotes the medical establishment propaganda about masks. Nevertheless, it is revealing that, according to this article "the coronavirus is about 0.12 microns in diameter." This is confirmed also by Loma Linda Health, infectious disease physician, Jennifer Veltman, MD. This is another article prepared by a medical establishment doctor who is following the new "orthodoxy" on masks. Notice that when advocating for the efficacy of masks, she speaks only about the N95. There is no mention in this

251 the N95 is rated to provide protection from about 95% of particles
252 as small as 100 nanometers, the CDC and Fauci do not recommend
253 the N95 for public use. This is partly because they have to be fitted
254 professionally, can't be worn for extended periods of time, and
255 must be replaced or refitted after every use. Oh, and Fauci does not
256 want the general public to create a shortage of these masks for
257 professionals. The ONLY mask that actually provides meaningful
258 protection is the one mask Fauci, the CDC, and the WHO tells the
259 public NOT TO USE. Why not ramp up production? Why not
260 teach everyone how to fit them? What is really going on here?

261 So, this leaves us with your typical *surgeons* mask or homemade or
262 store bought cloth masks. Studies, called RCTs or Randomized
263 Controlled Trials, the gold standard of scientific research, show
264 that medical masks are somewhat effective to block particles that
265 are .3 micrometers (300 nanometers) or larger, and cloth masks
266 range from being effective at blocking particles anywhere from .3-
267 .7 micrometers.

268 The fact is from before COVID to now, nothing has changed in the
269 science. Nothing has changed that makes masks an effective
270 protection against any virus. But what has changed are the
271 opinions of *some* medical professionals.

272 Perhaps this is the reason a May 2020 study published by the CDC
273 concluded: "In our systematic review, we identified 10 RCTs
274 [Randomized Controlled Trials] that reported estimates of the
275 effectiveness of face masks in reducing laboratory-confirmed
276 influenza virus infections in the community from literature
277 published during 1946-July 27, 2018. In pooled analysis, we found
278 NO SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN INFLUENZA

article of what are called surgical masks, or cloth masks. In this article, the coronavirus is stipulated to be 120 nanometers: "Coronavirus particles are 120 nanometers." 120 nanometers converts to .12 micrometers. (See <https://www.unitconverters.net/length/nanometer-to-micrometer.htm>).

279 TRANSMISSION WITH THE USE OF FACE MASKS.⁹ This is
280 because the COVID virus is 120 nanometers (.12 microns) and the
281 "surgical mask" openings are about .3 microns, or 300
282 nanometers.¹⁰ The mesh size of the mask is more than twice the
283 size of the particle it is supposed to block.

284 In these notes, I provide links to several RCTs studies. I have
285 examined them thoroughly. If you will take the time to study these,
286 and the other documentation I provide in these notes, here is what
287 you are going to find.

288 First, the only masks that do provide protection are the very masks
289 all professionals are telling the public not to wear—the N95.¹¹ The
290 N95 only provides protection if it is worn correctly. It must seal to
291 the wearer's face, and most medical professionals require
292 assistance. Also, the seal is easily compromised. N95s are
293 recommended for one use, and if the seal is broken, or the mask
294 becomes soiled, or wet through exhalation, it is compromised and
295 should be removed and cleaned, or replaced. For these reasons,
296 CDC, Fauci, et al, do not recommend the N95 for general public
297 use.

298 Second, you will find that surgical masks do not provide an
299 effective barrier for virus infection. Much is said about droplets.
300 But what you learn as you research is that while the surgical masks

⁹ https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article Note: This article explains that "Disposable medical masks (also known as surgical masks) are loose-fitting devices that were designed to be worn by medical personnel to protect accidental contamination of patient wounds, and to protect the wearer against splashes or sprays of bodily fluids."

¹⁰ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258525804_Testing_the_Efficacy_of_Homemade_Masks_Would_They_Protect_in_an_Influenza_Pandemic Note: This study purports to help readers determine what is the best mask to wear to protect against an influenza pandemic: "Testing the Efficacy of Homemade Masks: Would They Protect in an Influenza Pandemic." It was prepared in 2013, before the COVID pandemic. The study stipulates it tested for microorganism from 0.95-1.25 mm, or micrometers. One micrometer is 1000 nanometers. They also tested for Bacteriophage MS2, which is 23 nanometers

¹¹ Eyewitness News, ABC, CDC does not recommend general public wear N95's, here's why, by TJ Parker, January 28, 2021 (Online: <https://abc7ny.com/n95-mask-cdc-recommendation-dr-rochelle-walensky-what-type-of-is-the-best/10092451/>)

301 can be depended upon to block something the size of a typical
302 droplet, the virus carried in the droplet easily escapes through the
303 mask, a. when the droplet dries, and this occurs within seconds,
304 and b. when the wearer draws the virus out of the droplet through
305 the mask into his or her lungs by respiration—or breathing!

306 Third, you will discover that cloth masks are virtually worthless
307 for protection. In fact, they are likely to become a veritable petri
308 dish of diseases of all sorts.

309 Fourth, when you compare pre-COVID, COVID and post-COVID
310 studies, as I have done, you will find that the science in all the
311 RCTs is virtually the same. By *the science* I mean the methods
312 used and documented test results are all virtually the same.

313 However, you will notice a change occurs in the recommendations
314 and conclusions of the scientists. Before COVID-19, no Western
315 scientist concluded in favor of masks as public policy. During the
316 early stages of the COVID outbreak, scientists concluded similarly.
317 But a change occurs a few months into the declared pandemic. The
318 science remained virtually identical, but in the conclusions
319 scientists began making such statements as masks *could* help,
320 *might* help, and they *possibly provide some mitigation value*, and
321 so on. As Fauci and others began ramping up insistence we all use
322 masks and as politicians started calling for mask mandates, the
323 scientists included in their concluding remarks statements such as
324 *masks are required by ... and CDC says*, and so on. Finally, studies
325 began appearing that showed no appreciable change in the methods
326 or the results of the tests but concluded with firm recommendations
327 for use of masks as a public policy. Also, I noticed increasing
328 dependency on the opinions of CCP scientists and their studies that
329 favor masks.

330 In other words, on the question of the efficacy of masks as public
331 policy to control the spread of a virus, there is a discernible
332 transition from traditional Western medical science recommending
333 against masks toward Eastern medical science, particularly the

334 studies created by CCP (Chinese Communist Party), in favor.
 335 Medicine in a free society is practiced very differently from the
 336 way it is practiced in controlled societies, like the CCP.¹²

337 [SKIP FOR THE SHOW] Fifth, as you continue your research,
 338 you will understand why medical professionals, such as those
 339 associated with America's Frontline Doctors, are growing
 340 increasingly concerned about the politicization of medicine. You
 341 will learn that Hydroxychloroquine, and Ivermectin have been in
 342 use for many decades, are harmless to patients when prescribed
 343 appropriately, and show remarkable therapeutic efficacy against
 344 the COVID-19 disease when administered early. But for what can
 345 only be explained as political reasons the establishment doctors
 346 and politicians continue to object to the general public receiving
 347 these treatments and even attempt to keep this information from
 348 the people. Some in the establishment scientific community went
 349 so far as to contrive a fake scientific study to justify passing laws
 350 against use of these therapeutics. The number of lives that could
 351 have been saved if doctors had been encouraged to treat their
 352 patients with these remedies has been estimated to be into the
 353 hundreds of thousands by reputable, knowledgeable doctors.¹³
 354 Instead, the government medical establishment, functioning as
 355 shills for the pharmaceutical companies involved in this scam,
 356 accepted a faked scientific study, called the *Lancet Study*,¹⁴

¹² <https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/group-of-doctors-masks-are-completely-irrelevant-to-blocking-covid-19>

¹³ Generally accepted and highly respected AMA now admits, early treatment would have saved lives: <https://www.chattanooga.com/2021/1/30/422431/Doctors-Weren-t-Allowed-To-Use-Drug.aspx> <https://www.bizpacreview.com/2021/05/18/report-early-covid-treatments-could-have-saved-thousands-of-lives-but-research-was-suppressed-1075996/> <https://stuartbramhall.wordpress.com/2021/07/11/early-at-home-treatments-could-save-85-of-covid-deaths/> <https://www.ronjohnson.senate.gov/2021/9/sen-johnson-develop-and-offer-early-treatment-for-covid-rather-than-slandering-those-who-advocate-it> <https://www.biznews.com/health/2021/10/06/early-treatment>

¹⁴ This is not an opinion, it is a fact: <https://ahrp.org/the-lancet-published-a-fraudulent-study-editor-calls-it-department-of-error/> See the following for documentation that Lancet retracted this study when it was exposed as fraudulent: <https://thefederalist.com/2020/06/04/lancet-formally->

357 promoting the false narrative that Hydroxychloroquine is
358 ineffective for use against COVID-19 and even dangerous.

359 A LOADED STATEMENT: "The government medical
360 establishment, functioning as shills for the pharmaceutical
361 companies involved in this ..." is admittedly a *loaded* statement. I
362 have space for only one example of several available. Consider the
363 following and you decide.

364 The FDA sent a letter to Pfizer CEO advising her regarding the
365 status of FDA approval of the BioNTech vaccine, presently in use,
366 and a new vaccine called COMIRNATY.¹⁵ Read the letter, I linked
367 it below. The letter does not inform Pfizer that their BioNTech
368 vaccine (currently the COVID-19 vaccine) is fully approved. In
369 fact, the letter expressly states its use continues under Emergency
370 Use Authorization. Read the letter. The vaccine that the FDA
371 declared to be fully approved is called COMERNITY, and in the
372 letter it is made clear this is not yet available in the US.

373 Furthermore, the letter contains very explicit trials that must be
374 completed before the vaccine can be used in the US. To use this
375 letter as evidence FDA approved the Pfizer vaccine is outrageous
376 fraud. Where does the FDA come in to this fraud? The FDA has
377 refused to go public and expose the lie being told by MSM, et al
378 that the Pfizer shot is fully FDA approved. This is a matter of such
379 importance, it is impossible to believe the FDA is unaware of how
380 their letters are being used, and equally impossible to believe their
381 silence in the matter means anything else that that the FDA is
382 complicit in the fraud. Let's go back to the main argument.

383 This study that was created to show Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)
384 was ineffective and unsafe against COVID-19 was proven to be

retracts-fake-hydroxychloroquine-study-used-by-media-to-attack-trump-inbox/ See also
<https://in.news.yahoo.com/controversial-lancet-study-linking-hcq-063453924.html>

¹⁵ <https://www.fda.gov/media/151710/download> — This is the actual letter sent from FDA to Pfizer. Also see this examination of the two letters used to support the lie that the FDA has approved the Pfizer shot: <https://miningawareness.wordpress.com/2021/08/25/fda-only-renewed-emergency-use-authorization-for-pfizer-approval-was-for-biontechs-comirnaty-with-years-of-additional-safety-studies-required-thru-2027/>

385 fake science. In fact, HCQ and other remedies have proved to be
 386 helpful. And now we know that many lives could have been saved
 387 if the politicians had not interfered, and if the establishment
 388 government connected big-pharma doctors had not allowed
 389 themselves to become advocates for corporate Pharmaceutical
 390 Corporations and for profit violate their oath to above all else "do
 391 no harm."

392 Go here to view a random collection of RCTs on the efficacy of
 393 masks.¹⁶

394 Go here to view a document published by the respected Journal of
 395 Primary Care & Community Health showing concerns about skin
 396 rashes and other problems caused by masks.¹⁷

¹⁶ Individual document links: <https://swprs.org/face-masks-evidence/> // See also:
<https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/5/4/e006577>

¹⁷ <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2150132720966167> See also: Face masks can increase vulnerability to COVID-19 <https://www.businessinsider.com/americans-dont-need-masks-pence-says-as-demand-increases-2020-2> See Masks Are Neither Effective Nor Safe: A Summary of the Science (<https://www.technocracy.news/masks-are-neither-effective-nor-safe-a-summary-of-the-science/>), offers the following documentation showing the ineffectiveness and dangers of masks as a public strategy to mitigate a virus pandemic: (42 citations)

1. T Jefferson, M Jones, et al. Physical interventions to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses. MedRxiv. 2020 Apr 7.
<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.03.30.20047217v2>

2. J Xiao, E Shiu, et al. Nonpharmaceutical measures for pandemic influenza in non-healthcare settings – personal protective and environmental measures. Centers for Disease Control. 26(5); 2020 May.

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article

3 J Brainard, N Jones, et al. Facemasks and similar barriers to prevent respiratory illness such as COVID19: A rapid systematic review. MedRxiv. 2020 Apr 1.
<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.01.20049528v1.full.pdf>

4. L Radonovich M Simberkoff, et al. N95 respirators vs medical masks for preventing influenza among health care personnel: a randomized clinic trial. JAMA. 2019 Sep 3. 322(9): 824-833.

<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2749214>

5. J Smith, C MacDougall. CMAJ. 2016 May 17. 188(8); 567-574.
<https://www.cmaj.ca/content/188/8/567>

6. F bin-Reza, V Lopez, et al. The use of masks and respirators to prevent transmission of influenza: a systematic review of the scientific evidence. 2012 Jul; 6(4): 257-267.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5779801/>

7. J Jacobs, S Ohde, et al. Use of surgical face masks to reduce the incidence of the common cold among health care workers in Japan: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Infect Control*. 2009 Jun; 37(5):

417-419. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19216002/>

8. M Viola, B Peterson, et al. Face coverings, aerosol dispersion and mitigation of virus transmission risk.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.10720>, <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/2005/>

2005.10720.pdf

9. S Grinshpun, H Haruta, et al. Performance of an N95 filtering facepiece particular respirator and a surgical mask during human breathing: two pathways for particle penetration. *J Occup Env Hygiene*.

2009; 6(10):593-603. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/15459620903120086>

10. H Jung, J Kim, et al. Comparison of filtration efficiency and pressure drop in anti-yellow sand masks, quarantine masks, medical masks, general masks, and handkerchiefs. *Aerosol Air Qual Res*. 2013 Jun.

14:991-1002. <https://aaqr.org/articles/aaqr-13-06-0a-0201.pdf>

11. C MacIntyre, H Seale, et al. A cluster randomized trial of cloth masks compared with medical masks in healthcare workers. *BMJ Open*. 2015; 5(4)

<https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/5/4/e006577.long>

12. N 9 5 m a s k s explained. <https://www.honeywell.com/en-us/newsroom/news/2020/03/n9>

5-masks-explained

13. V Offeddu, C Yung, et al. Effectiveness of masks and respirators against infections in healthcare workers: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Clin Inf Dis*. 65(11), 2017 Dec 1; 1934-1942.

<https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/65/11/1934/4068747>

14. C MacIntyre, Q Wang, et al. A cluster randomized clinical trial comparing fit-tested and non-fit-tested N95 respirators to medical masks to prevent respiratory virus infection in health care workers. *Influenza J*.

2010 Dec 3. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00198.x?fbclid=IwAR3kRYVYDKb0aR-su9_me9_vY6a8KVR4HZ17J2A_80f_fXUABRQdhQlc8Wo

15. M Walker. Study casts doubt on N95 masks for the public. *MedPage Today*. 2020 May 20.

<https://www.medpagetoday.com/infectiousdisease/publichealth/86601>

16. C MacIntyre, Q Wang, et al. A cluster randomized clinical trial comparing fit-tested and non-fit-tested N95 respirators to medical masks to prevent respiratory virus infection in health care workers. *Influenza J*.

2010 Dec 3. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00198.x?fbclid=IwAR3kRYVYDKb0aR-su9_me9_vY6a8KVR4HZ17J2A_80f_fXUABRQdhQlc8Wo

17. N Shimasaki, A Okaue, et al. Comparison of the filter efficiency of medical nonwoven fabrics against three different microbe aerosols. *Biocontrol Sci*. 2018; 23(2). 61-69.

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/bio/23/2/23_61/_pdf-char/en

-
18. T Tunevall. Postoperative wound infections and surgical face masks: A controlled study. *World J Surg.* 1991 May; 15: 383-387. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF01658736>
19. N Orr. Is a mask necessary in the operating theatre? *Ann Royal Coll Surg Eng* 1981; 63: 390-392.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2493952/pdf/annrcse015_09-0009.pdf
20. N Mitchell, S Hunt. Surgical face masks in modern operating rooms – a costly and unnecessary ritual? *J Hosp Infection.* 18(3); 1991 Jul 1. 239-242.
[https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/article/0195-6701\(91\)90148-2/pdf](https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/article/0195-6701(91)90148-2/pdf)
21. C DaZhou, P Sivathondan, et al. Unmasking the surgeons: the evidence base behind the use of facemasks in surgery. *JR Soc Med.* 2015 Jun; 108(6): 223-228.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4480558/>
22. L Brosseau, M Sietsema. Commentary: Masks for all for Covid-19 not based on sound data. *U Minn Ctr Inf Dis Res Pol.* 2020 Apr 1. <https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2020/04/commentary-masks-all-covid-19-not-based-sound-data>
23. N Leung, D Chu, et al. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks *Nature Research.* 2020 Mar 7. 26,676-680 (2020).
<https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-16836/v1>
24. S Rengasamy, B Eimer, et al. Simple respiratory protection –evaluation of the filtration performance of cloth masks and common fabric materials against 20-1000 nm size particles. *Ann Occup Hyg.* 2010 Oct; 54(7): 789-798. <https://academic.oup.com/annweh/article/54/7/789/202744>
25. S Bae, M Kim, et al. Effectiveness of surgical and cotton masks in blocking SARS-CoV-2: A controlled comparison in 4 patients. *Ann Int Med.* 2020 Apr 6.
<https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-1342>
26. S Rengasamy, B Eimer, et al. Simple respiratory protection – evaluation of the filtration performance of cloth masks and common fabric materials against 20-1000 nm size particles. *Ann Occup Hyg.* 2010 Oct; 54(7): 789-798. <https://academic.oup.com/annweh/article/54/7/789/202744>
27. C MacIntyre, H Seale, et al. A cluster randomized trial of cloth masks compared with medical masks in healthcare workers. *BMJ Open.* 2015; 5(4)
<https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/5/4/e006577.long>
- 28 W Kellogg. An experimental study of the efficacy of gauze face masks. *Am J Pub Health.* 1920. 34-42. <https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/pdf/10.2105/AJPH.10.1.34>
29. M Klompas, C Morris, et al. Universal masking in hospitals in the Covid-19 era. *N Eng J Med.* 2020; 382 e63. <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2006372>
30. E Person, C Lemercier et al. Effect of a surgical mask on six minute walking distance. *Rev Mal Respir.* 2018 Mar; 35(3):264-268. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29395560/>
31. B Chandrasekaran, S Fernandes. Exercise with facemask; are we handling a devil’s sword – a physiological hypothesis. *Med Hypotheses.* 2020 Jun 22. 144:110002.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32590322/>

397 **RETURN TO TRUTH / RETURN TO FREEDOM: Jesus said**
 398 **the truth shall make you free. — John 8:32**

399 **Science is about the pursuit of *truth* — what really corresponds to**
 400 **what is. The fact that the Bible refers to a species of science it calls**
 401 ***science falsely so called* is an affirmation of science *rightly so***
 402 ***called*. God affirms science! Biblical Christianity has never been at**
 403 **war with *science*. But in fact, *science* has developed a very hostile**
 404 **bias against biblical Christianity. Many would say this began with**
 405 **Darwinian Evolution and the controversy that arose over the**

32. P Shuang Ye Tong, A Sugam Kale, et al. Respiratory consequences of N95-type mask usage in pregnant healthcare workers – A controlled clinical study. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2015 Nov 16; 4:48. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26579222/>

33. T Kao, K Huang, et al. The physiological impact of wearing an N95 mask during hemodialysis as a precaution against SARS in patients with end-stage renal disease. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2004 Aug; 103(8):624-628. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15340662/>

34. F Blachere, W Lindsley et al. Assessment of influenza virus exposure and recovery from contaminated surgical masks and N95 respirators. *JViro Methods*. 2018 Oct; 260:98-106. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30029810/>

35. A Rule, O Apau, et al. Healthcare personnel exposure in an emergency department during influenza season. *PLoS One*. 2018 Aug 31; 13(8): e0203223. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30169507/>

36. F Blachere, W Lindsley et al. Assessment of influenza virus exposure and recovery from contaminated surgical masks and N95 respirators. *JViro Methods*. 2018 Oct; 260:98-106. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30029810/>

37. A Chughtai, S Stelzer-Braid, et al. Contamination by respiratory viruses on our surface of medical masks used by hospital healthcare workers. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019 Jun 3; 19(1): 491. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31159777/>

38. L Zhiqing, C Yongyun, et al. *J Orthop Translat*. 2018 Jun 27; 14:57-62. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30035033/>

39. C MacIntyre, H Seale, et al. A cluster randomized trial of cloth masks compared with medical masks in healthcare workers. *BMJ Open*. 2015; 5(4) <https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/5/4/e006577>

40. A Beder, U Buyukkocak, et al. Preliminary report on surgical mask induced deoxygenation during major surgery. *Neurocirugia*. 2008; 19: 121-126. <http://scielo.isciii.es/pdf/neuro/v19n2/3.pdf>

41. D Lukashov, B Klebanov, et al. Cutting edge: Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha and its activation inducible short isoform negatively regulate functions of CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes. *J Immunol*. 2006 Oct 15; 177(8) 4962-4965. <https://www.jimmunol.org/content/177/8/4962>

42. A Sant, A McMichael. Revealing the role of CD4+ T-cells in viral immunity. *J Exper Med*. 2012 Jun 30; 209(8):1391-1395. <https://europepmc.org/article/PMC/3420330>

406 introduction of that theory into our classrooms. Academic freedom,
407 it was argued, demanded our children should be exposed to this
408 new theory of origins. But where is "academic freedom" now? A
409 great body of scientific evidence supporting Intelligent Design
410 (Creation) has been gathered and is available but because this is
411 deemed "religious" instruction it is forbidden. Biblical Christians
412 actually have not demanded the many variants of Evolutionary
413 theory be forbidden, but we ask only that alternative points of view
414 be allowed free expression. Who actually believes in academic
415 freedom today?

416 Amazingly, well-respected news sources, such as Forbes, advocate
417 against people doing their own research: "You Must Not 'Do Your
418 Own Research' When It Comes To Science."¹⁸ What? This hails
419 back to the days of the Roman Catholic Dark Ages, when the
420 people were told they should not read the Bible for themselves, but
421 let the priest caste tell them what it says. We threw off this yoke of
422 bondage long ago and will we turn around and put ourselves under
423 a new "priest caste" — the *Scientist*?

424 I grew up in a home where two things were very highly
425 revered: the Bible and Science. Some are surprised to discover
426 that the Bible is not contrary to science. One of the greatest
427 prophets, Daniel, was reputed to have been a man knowledgeable
428 of science (Daniel 1:4). Job was famous for his advanced
429 knowledge of science: a millennia before the "modern age" Job
430 spoke of the earth as hanging in space upon nothing (Job 26:7).
431 Another prophet, Isaiah, spoke of the earth as being spherical
432 (Isaiah 40:22)—700 years before the birth of Christ. Moses wrote
433 that the life of the flesh is in the blood millennia before this was
434 discovered by modern science (Leviticus 17:11). However, as I
435 pointed out above, the Bible also warns about science *falsely so*
436 *called* (I Timothy 6:20).

¹⁸ <https://www.forbes.com/sites/startswithabang/2020/07/30/you-must-not-do-your-own-research-when-it-comes-to-science/?sh=4ecd5b21535e>

437 Science falsely so called is *fake science*. Like *fake news* has
 438 nothing to do with news and everything to do with propaganda,
 439 fake science is not about science at all. Fake science is all about
 440 pushing some agenda. Fake news spins news into a narrative that
 441 advances some ideological agenda. Fake science serves the same
 442 end. Today, fake science is advanced via statements of "persons of
 443 science." Like the Priests of the Dark Ages, their pronouncements
 444 are to be accepted without question. But, as pointed out already,
 445 the statements of scientists is not science—the rigorous collection
 446 of data, forming a theory, and then using experimentation to
 447 confirm the theory, leaving to peers all the information needed to
 448 replicate the experiment and confirm the conclusion — that's
 449 science.

450 I say, let's return to true science. And true science clearly tells us
 451 that masks are not helpful in controlling the spread of any virus,
 452 and in fact, they do more harm than good.]

453 OKAY - let's skip to ...

454 **THE BIBLICAL PERSPECTIVE**

455 The American perspective on questions of human rights that has
 456 shaped our culture and guided our civil institutions was shaped by
 457 biblical principles and precepts.¹⁹ Some of the most basic
 458 American values arise from biblical principles, such as innocent
 459 until proven guilty, and the great truth that serves as the foundation
 460 of our liberties in America: "All men are created equal and
 461 endowed by their creator with certain inalienable rights." Other
 462 notions, like bodily autonomy, and freedom of thought, and
 463 speech, also are rooted in our Christian heritage. As our nation
 464 moves "out from under God" we are losing these values, and the

¹⁹ This is indisputable. Dr. Benjamin F. Morris collected in one major resource over 1000 pages of documentation attesting to this fact: *The Christian Life and Character of the Civil Institutions of the United States*, Benjamin F. Morris, American Vision, Powder Springs, GA, www.AmericanVision.org. See also, *The Bible and the Bill of Rights*, Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, www.booksatdbp.com, and

465 protection they have provided against tyranny. Let's take a moment
466 to consider a biblical perspective on the issue of mask mandates.

467 First, God gave us breath! God breathed into Adam's nostrils and
468 man "became a living soul" (Genesis 2:7). Upon the same principle
469 that the origin of man's life is from GOD and therefore every man
470 has a natural, inalienable "right to life," so likewise man's breath
471 originates with GOD and so every man has a natural, inalienable
472 right to breathe. For any other man or entity to take by force
473 control of that right, and attempt to exercise an authority over it
474 and regulate and restrict it, is a violation of our natural and
475 inalienable rights under GOD. Every man has a right to breathe.
476 Forcing a "mask mandate" that restricts our natural right to breathe
477 is a violation of human rights.

478 Second, our health, ultimately, comes from GOD. The Bible
479 instructs us what to do if any are sick among us (James 5:14). The
480 instruction of the Bible is that we are to turn to GOD in prayer, and
481 to the elders of the church for anointing. The Bible also affirms the
482 use of physicians (Luke—Colossians 4:14), and natural remedies (I
483 Timothy 5:23). However, we are also warned against shifting our
484 ultimate dependency from the LORD to physicians (II Chronicles
485 16:12) — where we read God's rebuke against king Asa because
486 "in his disease he sought not to the LORD, but to the physicians."
487 A balanced approach to addressing our sicknesses includes
488 spiritual and physical measures: we pray, and seek the LORD for
489 healing, and discreetly make use of doctors and medicine. We
490 "trust the LORD" with all our heart, and man only so far as we are
491 convinced he is trustworthy. We cannot give away our personal
492 responsibility to exercise autonomy and discernment in the matter
493 of managing our health. If government moves in to take over
494 management of our health, and by coercion or compulsion
495 encroaches upon this right, we cannot yield without forfeiting our
496 personal freedom and removing our body out from under the
497 Sovereign rule of our Creator and yielding it to the control of men.

498 Jesus taught us to yield to Caesar what is his, but reserve to GOD
499 what is HIS. Our health, and our body, belongs to GOD.

500 Third, our body belongs to GOD and not to men. This is attested to
501 in the account of Creation (Genesis 1-2) and from hundreds of
502 other references where GOD clearly holds each individual
503 responsible for what they do in their body, and with it, and in the
504 hundreds of references indicating each person is personally
505 responsible for its care. Furthermore, God has appointed each
506 individual as steward of his or her body, and has not given this
507 power to any other person. Therefore it is the right of each person
508 to decide what measures they will take to answer their physical
509 needs, trusting to GOD for the outcome they desire. This is
510 especially true of Christians, whose body is made the temple of
511 GOD and is bought with the price of Christ's blood that was shed
512 on Calvary, and so belongs peculiarly to the LORD (I Corinthians
513 6:19-20; 7:23; Romans 12:1-2). The believer cannot be forced
514 (compelled, coerced) to surrender control over his or her body to
515 any other person without violating his or her conscience and
516 betraying a sacred Trust God has given to mankind generally, and
517 to His own particularly.

518 Please understand what is truly at issue here. Freedom! Personal
519 bodily autonomy, and our rights of conscience are at risk—our
520 right to think for ourselves, to decide what is best for ourselves in
521 our pursuit of happiness, freedom from the coercion of men to
522 control our lives, right down to one of the most fundamental of
523 personal rights — the right to breathe freely.

524 **TO CONCLUDE: ON THE QUESTION OF PERSONAL**
525 **RESPONSIBILITY FOR OTHERS**

526 Finally, I must address the false notion that we have a
527 responsibility as Christians to allow the government to direct us in
528 the matter of fulfilling Christ's command to *love our neighbor as*
529 *ourselves*, and to *do unto others as we would have them do unto us*
530 (Luke 10:27; Galatians 5:14; Matthew 7:12).

531 The argument goes something like this—you *have an obligation to*
532 *wear a mask in order to protect others; or at the very least, out of*
533 *respect and compassion for their fears you should accommodate*
534 *them.* Another form of the same argument will be taken up
535 below—the *Bible says we are to obey every ordinance of man, and*
536 *that governments are "ordained of GOD" and so you are obliged*
537 *as a Christian to obey mask mandates.* Let's take up the first.

538 We do indeed have a responsibility to *do unto others as we would*
539 *have them do unto us.* But of course, this might be quickly
540 dismissed in our present case since those who would insist upon
541 the exercise of their right to breathe freely would freely yield the
542 same to others. However, dismissing the argument in that way
543 would be disingenuous, since the point of the argument is that
544 Christians ought to exercise charity in their behavior toward others
545 and in the case of masks, they should yield to the mandates out of
546 love for their neighbor.

547 First, Christ charges this responsibility to every believer
548 individually, and each person is responsible to Him for the
549 discharge of this duty. Like every other commandment from Christ
550 directing our personal responsibility to others, the individual
551 believer is individually responsible to Christ alone for obedience to
552 the commandment. In other words, we are not responsible to the
553 government for the discharge of this duty, nor are we responsible
554 to any other man for it—we are responsible to GOD, and to His
555 CHRIST for how we *love our neighbor.* Any other person
556 presuming to coerce, or compel another to obey Christ's
557 commandment in this matter by conformity to their idea about how
558 it should be done is presuming to take Christ's place in our lives.

559 For many reasons, governments or other persons cannot be given
560 the sole power to direct how we discharge our personal
561 responsibilities. Among the most concerning is doing so would put
562 our conscience under the power and control of government, or of
563 others. Give government the power to decide for the individual

564 what is the right way for every other person to discharge their
565 responsibility to Christ for loving their neighbor, and you have
566 given government the power of tyranny over every person in the
567 land. And our present case offers a perfect illustration of the folly
568 of doing so.

569 Today, government is attempting to direct in what manner we
570 should love our neighbor in response to this pandemic. Since we
571 are concentrating on the issue of mask mandates, consider the folly
572 of granting government the power to decide how we are to love our
573 neighbor with regard to the wearing of masks. We are told first,
574 masks are not effective to protect against something so small as a
575 virus (see above, Dr. Fauci's email instructing government officials
576 against wearing masks to protect against a virus because, as he
577 stated, a virus particle is so small it passes through your standard
578 masks.) Then the same voice of government "authority" says we
579 must all wear masks, then two masks, then back to one mask is
580 sufficient, then masks indoors only, then masks indoors and
581 outdoors, then no masks if vaccinated, then masks even if
582 vaccinated, and on it goes. And all of this is further compromised
583 by multiple examples of the same "leaders" who are pontificating
584 on the necessity of masks repeatedly caught in public, and in
585 private meetings flaunting their own disdain for the mandates they
586 put on everyone else. It's an old problem: "Woe unto you also, ye
587 lawyers! for ye lade men with burdens grievous to be borne, and ye
588 yourselves touch not the burdens with one of your fingers" (Luke
589 11:46). This perfectly illustrates why it is impossible for us to give
590 to government the power to decide how we practice Jesus'
591 instruction to love our neighbor as our self.

592 Besides all that, those knowledgeable about the mask issue might
593 rightly argue they do not wear a mask precisely because they love
594 their neighbor.

595 Finally, on this point at least, it comes down to this. Only GOD is
596 omnipotent, omnipresent, and omniscient and able to know every

597 individual case intimately. Government cannot do this. When
 598 Fauci makes pronouncements like he did recently that the vaccines
 599 are good for you, he is lying. As Dan Bongino lately observed, the
 600 fact is Fauci has no idea whether the vaccine is good for you
 601 individually because he has never met you, he knows nothing
 602 about your personal needs or health concerns.²⁰ Another reason we
 603 cannot yield to the government the power to decide these things for
 604 us is because it is impossible for the government to be GOD. These
 605 decisions of necessity must be with the individual.

606 To summarize this point: our responsibility to love our neighbor is
 607 to GOD and not to any government or other person. Government
 608 cannot be given the power to decide in what way we discharge our
 609 responsibility to love our neighbor without grossly surrendering
 610 our own freedom of thought and conscience. Government cannot
 611 know what is best for everyone. Allowing government, or some
 612 other entity, take from us the power to make decisions regarding
 613 how we discharge our duty to love our neighbor robs us of our
 614 liberty and gives government tyrannical power over the people.
 615 Every tyrannical government in history has been introduced behind
 616 the slogan — it's for your own good. When government arbitrarily
 617 decides what is for the public good, tyranny always follows, and it
 618 never turns out to be for the good of the People.

619 I said there are two arguments I need to address in conclusion. The
 620 second is the argument from divine authority: *the Bible says we*
 621 *are to obey every ordinance of man, and that governments are*
 622 *"ordained of GOD" and so you are obliged as a Christian to obey*
 623 *mask mandates.*

624 No human authority is unlimited. When the magistrates in the days
 625 of the early church passed an ordinance against preaching in the
 626 name of Jesus Christ, the Apostles rightly challenged the law
 627 saying: "We ought to obey God rather than men" (Acts 5:29). Any

²⁰ Dan Bongino made this excellent observation on his show — 12/9/21

628 ordinance that encroaches upon our inalienable rights must be
629 resisted. To yield to such ordinances necessarily removes us from
630 under God. No human authority is without limitations, and the
631 boundary of those limitations is drawn at individual liberty,
632 inalienable rights, and personal sovereignty under GOD.

633 Romans 13:1-6 declares God's ordained limits and purpose of
634 government.

635 First, Romans 13 declares, "All authority is ordained of GOD."
636 The word *authority* translates *exousia*, which is a Greek word
637 meaning authority, or right to rule. This verse does not say all who
638 hold *power* are ordained by God to hold that power. Hosea 8:4
639 clears this up for us: "They have set up kings, but not by me: they
640 have made princes, and I knew it not." Israel had put persons in
641 positions of authority in their kingdom that God did not choose for
642 those positions. God ordained the *power*, but not necessarily the
643 *person* holding it. And if God ordained the *power*, it is under God's
644 Sovereign authority. Since this verse declares, "All power is
645 ordained of God," there is no power that is not ordained of GOD.
646 In other words, any *power* exercised in this world that is not under
647 the ordaining authority of GOD is by this statement declared null
648 and void and without divine authority in the world.

649 Second, God made clear what are the limits of His divinely
650 ordained government power.

651 The *power* is directed by Him to represent His justice in the earth.
652 God ordained, "Rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the
653 evil." The categories of *good* and *evil* works must be understood
654 within the context of this statement — that is, the Scriptures.
655 Rulers are appointed by God to be a terror to evil works, and not a
656 terror to good works. Since Scripture defines these categories, no
657 ruler who is a terror to what the Bible calls good works is ordained
658 of GOD. Likewise, no ruler who refuses to be a terror to what the
659 Bible would call an evil work is ordained of GOD. Such a person

660 has usurped the *power* and by force taken it out from under the
661 ordaining authority of GOD.

662 The task of the divinely appointed *power* is limited to the
663 execution of wrath upon those that do evil, and the giving of praise
664 those that do good. Once again, these categories are defined within
665 the context of this statement. GOD ordained the sword of civil
666 justice to execute wrath upon evildoers, and, of course, to protect
667 the rights and liberties of those that do good. When governments
668 become subversive to this purpose, they have forfeit all divine
669 authority and are acting rogue against the rule of Christ in this
670 earth. The people have no obligation to submit to such rogue
671 governments.

672 Coming back to our specific issue, mask mandates: we recognize
673 no right of government to enforce any mandate that violates our
674 basic human rights. The government has no legitimate power to
675 deprive its citizens of the free exercise of their inalienable rights,
676 including the natural right to breathe freely.

677 A short note on the use of fear to manipulate people into
678 surrendering their inalienable rights: God has not given us the
679 spirit of fear, but of power, love and of a sound mind (II Timothy
680 1:7).

681 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me
682 know if you see them. It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE
683 ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning,
684 (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say
685 LIGHTS OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and
686 disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or
687 lights off?

688 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's
689 brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and send me an
690 email.

691 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.

692 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.