

Brain Massage®: Show No. 786 Unmasking The Maskers! / The COVID Numbers Shell Game!

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 07.11.20

1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the
2 Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA—and your brain
3 masseur—get ready for your brain massage.
4 Unmasking the belligerent maskers!
5 The COVID numbers shell game!
6 Because I am not likely to get past those two items in the show
7 today, let me do some touch and goes on a few bits of interesting
8 news.
9 I understand the NFL is going to present the Black National
10 Anthem before the National Anthem — how about it folks. Are we
11 done yet? Can we just agree that a political party has hijacked the
12 NFL and being used as that party's patsies (hmmm, interesting
13 choice of words—someone easily taken advantage of by being
14 blamed for something)? And the longer we put up with it the more
15 like patsies we become. Look, the National Anthem is for ALL
16 AMERICANS and I'm done with dividing this country—we are
17 ONE NATION UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, aren't we? Yielding
18 to this contradicts our pledge—we are letting them divide US.
19 The Supreme Court once again supported school choice—ruling
20 that if a State allows parents to choose a school for their children
21 they cannot discriminate against a religious school. The
22 government is not choosing it for them—it's a decision left to the
23 parents. But, John Roberts said States don't have to provide such a
24 choice to parents. Is he suggesting they call for this wicked tree to
25 be removed and cast into the sea! Let him that hath ears to hear,
26 hear! I mean, why not? It's their own money they are spending.

27 I love it! Judicial Watch has sued Washington DC. The district
28 allowed BLM to write their slogans on their streets to be seen by a
29 half blind Martian from Mars with a pair of binoculars. Along with
30 Black Lives Matter they scrawled DEFUND POLICE across the
31 streets — so, Judicial Watch wants to write BECAUSE NO ONE IS
32 ABOVE THE LAW. They want to make certain Hillary and
33 Obama can see it from their "high tower" — didn't catch the
34 allusion — it's a TV series depicting an alternate reality in which
35 Hitler won and the world came under the goose stepping heels of
36 Socialist Nazis—Obama's and Hillary's personal *imagine!*

37 Keep up, class! :)

38 And then, in the shameless hypocrisy department: on the same day
39 LA Mayor instructs all Angelinos to "avoid gatherings" for July 4,
40 the LAPD posts a photo of thousands gathered for protest in the
41 streets of LA. Oh, and in a related item: the priceless FB clip of an
42 officer of the law quipping with a grin: *interesting that the Defund*
43 *the Police protest in his town petitioned the city for POLICE*
44 *PROTECTION for their protest!!!!* Ironic!

45 Speaking of LA Mayor — Garcetti admits there just might be a
46 connection between the coronavirus cases uptick and the rioters
47 out in force breathing on, singing, and screaming at each other. Ya
48 think? And the point here is not that I think their behavior
49 contributed to the uptick—whether or not it did is, as I shall show,
50 irrelevant. The point is that this whole thing is POLITICAL — and
51 in the worst way! Right? Destroy the economy, I mean bring it to
52 near collapse by a COVID scare, then riots start, so let's forget
53 about that COVID thing, then Seattle Mayor takes the lead on
54 switching sides and decides to side with the peaceful neighbors and
55 business owners in her town that are being destroyed by her lack of
56 due diligence—and when? when they attack her personal
57 property—and now it's all *don't look over there, hey, let's look back*
58 *at this COVID thing* — OH REALLY? That old news?

59 The voter fraud by mail in ballots is a real thing! 1 in 5 ballots were
60 rejected as FRAUD in a case charged in a recent New Jersey Mail-
61 In-Election. Voter intimidation, and ballot theft, drew attention of
62 officials and it is believed 20% of the votes were fraudulent.

63 Understand that the Dems game plan is to make the election close
64 enough to politically justify a cry for a contested election, or at least
65 to use it as a way to undermine the integrity of Trump's second
66 term. I mean, they have run out of Russia scams, and they can't
67 manipulate the FBI to spy on Trump or his campaign this time, so,
68 you know, they are running out of options here.

69 And Newsome has decided he has the power not only to restrict
70 our first amendment right of religious liberty and peaceable
71 assembly—unless it's a protest or a riot—but now he arrogantly
72 assumes he can tell Christians they cannot sing in their houses of
73 worship. So far as I'm concerned, he crossed the line at restricting
74 the exercise of our religious liberty to congregate in the House of
75 God, but now he *imagines* he has the authority to dictate in what
76 way we worship in the House of God! *To your churches, O people of*
77 *God, you have not part under Newsome's Governorship!*

78 Okay folks — let's look at unmasking the maskers and exposing the
79 COVID numbers shell game! It's time for your brain massage!

80 [TRUTH]

81 I'm producing this show on July 4, 2020. It will not be distributed
82 to my podcast audience, at least not generally, until July 11. (I'll be
83 on vacation next week.) I feel somewhat as Jude must have when
84 he sat down to write of the common salvation, something very dear
85 to him, but found it needful to exhort the churches to earnestly
86 contend for the faith (Jude 3). On such a day, when we celebrate
87 the declaration of our Independence, I desire to write of our
88 glorious beginnings—but instead I must warn all within the sound
89 of my voice against those who have crept in to take away our

90 INDEPENDENCE—"For there are certain men crept in unawares,
91 who were before of old ordained to this condemnation, ungodly
92 men, turning the grace of our God into lasciviousness, and denying
93 the only LORD GOD, and our LORD Jesus Christ" (Jude 4). Men
94 who would steal from us our liberties have crept into our country,
95 ungodly men, who despise our country—and we have made them
96 our rulers.

97 Coming from a Christian conservative perspective—how dumb
98 was it that we put Communists and Socialists in control of our
99 education, and made them rulers over us? The Bible says Satan
100 takes them captive at his will—we have literally turned this nation
101 over to the hands of the Devil—and we have been dragged to the
102 maw of hell, and we are staring down its throat at the fires in it's
103 belly!

104 What I relate today will seem trivial to those who don't understand
105 the significance of these things. But remember, mighty storms are
106 announced by only a few drops and a breeze. So, listen then watch,
107 and pray!

108 First, unmasking the maskers. A new way to divide the country—
109 the maskers and the anti-maskers. On the last leg of my flight
110 home from Louisiana, a militant masker assaulted me. Haha. But,
111 really! The flight attendant aggressively poked me on my shoulder
112 and demanded—"Sir, put your mask on!" With a tone, and a poke,
113 she expressed her sour 'tude toward me — not sure what provoked
114 her. Some of these maskers are militant!

115 A quick background to the story: I hate these masks, and even
116 more, I hate it that these little dictator wannabes, like Newsome,
117 think they can demand we wear them. Let them get away with
118 demanding your wear a mask, and soon they will be demanding
119 that you take the Gates/Fauci vaccine—and a little kick-back to
120 their campaigns. When they can strap you down against your will
121 and inject their vaccine into your veins, they can gather you into

122 acid showers—agreed, the jump from vaccines to acid showers
123 might be a leap—but from the mask to the vaccine is only a step.
124 And the step from forced masking to forced vaccination sets a
125 trajectory that leads to acid showers. I can't take the time required
126 to show all the steps intervening—let me say here, however, there
127 are not so many as you might think. It's not a long leap!

128 Anyway, I have a medical exemption from wearing the masks—a
129 bronchial issue that I developed running in severely cold weather.
130 But it's a hassle to have to hassle with everyone about it all the time,
131 so when traveling, I go along with the mask thing. I board the plane
132 dutifully wearing my cloth mask. Once served the water and bread
133 of our affliction, I remove the mask to eat and sip water. I also
134 dehydrate easily—not severely—but I do require a steady intake of
135 water, especially when flying. So I always bring a bottle of water
136 and sip water throughout the flight.

137 I admit that I'm grateful this affords me the privilege to breathe
138 freely for most of the flight since it's not against the laws of maskers
139 to remove it when eating or drinking.

140 Along the way, I needed to use the restroom, and when I left the
141 confining box to take my seat, I forgot to put my mask on. The
142 unhappy flight attendant noticed and demanded that I put the
143 mask on. She was the sort that needed to demand things—I smiled
144 and said thank you and placed the mask on to return to my seat. Of
145 course, once at my seat, I removed the mask and resumed sipping
146 my water while I worked on my laptop. In the course of doing her
147 duties, she passed by me several times, each time, I noticed, she
148 eyed me, and it was clear she wanted to say something, and I
149 figured it had to do with masking! Finally, she walked by, looked at
150 my glass and it was almost empty—I was about to refill it when she
151 poked me on the shoulder, aggressively, by the way, and demanded
152 that I put my mask on. I complied. Upon departure from the plane,
153 I explained to her that she had no business touching me; she

154 squawked about having to tell me to put my mask on, and after a
155 short talk with her supervisor, I hope we were able to help her
156 stand down from any future assaults on customers, especially those
157 who are compliant and in within the rules.

158 I tell you this story because it illustrates how this sort of thing gets
159 started, the sort of thing that leads to acid showers. Unreasonable
160 and wicked rulers set policies that turn citizens against one
161 another. You've been hearing the public service announcements
162 about "see something, say something." Remember when that was
163 about suspicions of terrorist activity? Now it's about seeing
164 someone without a mask. How long before they start telling us
165 anyone without a mask is a terrorist?

166 And then there are always those personalities that take pleasure in
167 terrorizing others, the politically correct types who are energized
168 by the prospect of being an enforcer of the State's mask-mandate. It
169 brings out the tyrant in certain people. It invites the closet bullies
170 out to play! Those, and the bullied too—their time for revenge! So
171 neighbors start snitching on one another over crimes against the
172 State—crimes like, disobeying an order to wear a mask—even if
173 you don't for medical reasons—even if you happen to know the
174 mask does nothing to protect you or anyone else—even if it's an
175 intrusion against your personal right to breathe. Yes! We have a
176 right to breathe. Wearing a mask is unnatural; it inhibits breathing!

177 So, when I got home, I decided I would restudy this whole mask
178 thing, and go deeper into the question: to mask, or not to mask.
179 And more importantly, whose decision is it? Is it my decision, or
180 the State's? So I prayed about it first, and asked the LORD to guide
181 me to truth on this question. And He did! And I'll tell you all about
182 it after the break. Hold on! I'll be right back.

183 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor
184 at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern
185 lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the

186 enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
187 liberty.

188 Remember when Fauci mocked the suggestion that Americans
189 would have to go around wearing masks? Remember when later he
190 questioned Americans unwillingness to heed the "science" refusing
191 to wear the mask? Remember being a bit confused by that?
192 Remember when he finally explained it to us? Remember him
193 explaining that the reason he said masks were not helpful to
194 prevent infection from a virus was because there was a shortage of
195 masks and he did not want a run on masks ala toilet paper, but
196 now that there is no shortage, he is telling us masks are an
197 important part of curbing the spread of what by all accounts is a
198 horrible killing disease ravaging the world? Right? Remember
199 thinking, what? The guy is an admitted liar? Remember thinking,
200 what can I believe from this fellow! He claims masks save lives
201 now, but before said they are virtually worthless to prevent
202 infection by virus. So, at the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic, he
203 was telling people masks are unnecessary, so, what, let them die, we
204 need the masks for Health Care Workers. Why not tell the truth—
205 and ask people to make their own, or wear bandanas? Not buying it
206 phony Fauci. We see you pulling your mask down to make or take
207 your calls, then quickly pulling it up when you notice the camera.
208 We saw you taking a break after a news media event with your
209 mask pulled down under your chin. And we understand—you
210 want to BREATHE! Well, so do we!

211 So, the first time I looked at the question of masks, I prayed the
212 LORD would help me see through to the truth about this—I've
213 learned over the years that when you find people lying to advance
214 their agenda, you have found the Devil at work! He advances his
215 program by lies. Well, it was like the next day that Fauci came out
216 and admitted before God and everybody that he purposely LIED
217 about the masks—but he had a good reason to lie. Right? It was to

218 manipulate the population—to control them—to deceive them so
219 they would not start a run on masks. He could not simply say cover
220 your face with a bandana or scarf, or make your own mask, and
221 provide instructions? (He never thought of such a ridiculous thing
222 because he knows such devices are virtually worthless to protect
223 against transmission or reception of a virus.) He had to LIE! Either
224 way! He chose to lie because he is a LIAR. That's how he does his
225 business, it's how he operates—he is like his father the Devil—a
226 LIAR.




227 Don't know about you, but I don't believe liars.

228 So I wondered whether Fauci's first statement was the lie, or his
229 second? Then I did a cursory study of the efficacy of masks to
230 prevent a virus contagion and learned Fauci was actually telling the
231 truth the first time.

232 Masks are virtually worthless to protect anyone from receiving or
233 transmitting a virus because the particles are so small they pass
234 easily through anything but the most restrictive face coverings.
235 However, as I brought out in the first segment, the militant
236 maskers amongst us have provoked me to take a closer look, and so
237 I did a more thorough study of the matter.

238 I found this really cool chart on FB, and since there were no
239 disclaimers on it from FB censors, I was sure it could be trusted as
240 genuine. (Uh huh!). It depicted a COVID carrier without a mask
241 on one side, and a masked healthy contact on the other side. In this
242 really neat arrow pointing from the carrier to the healthy contact, it
243 said there was a 70% chance the carrier would transmit the virus to
244 the healthy contact. 70% Yikes!

245 Here is a picture of the FB post:



PLEASE REFUSE TO RELATE CLOSELY WITH ANYONE NOT WEARING A FACE MASK.

246

247 The next picture shows the carrier wearing a mask, and the healthy
248 contact without a mask: now the chance of transmission was 5%.
249 Whew! Much better. The third showed both the carrier and the
250 contact wearing a mask, and now the chance of transmission is
251 1.5%. Neat, simple, clear! Right?

252 So I posted a response thanking the individual for his neat, simple,
253 and clear illustration of the effectiveness of masks—and asked him
254 for the scientific studies that verified his numbers. I mean, where
255 did he get this information? Well, he or she did not respond.
256 Unfortunately, I would have to go in search of the scientific data to
257 support this very neat chart.

258 Turned out, this chart is like the diagrams used to illustrate
259 evolution—you know the ones I mean—they are in every textbook
260 used to cram this foolishness down the throats of our children. *See*
261 *this neat colorful line that goes from the pollywog to the Man—there*
262 *you have it. Proof of evolution! Yeah!*

263 Anyway, I read an article published in TownHall by Scott
264 Morefield, a contributor to the DailyCaller, titled *The Most*
265 *Powerful Argument Against Forced Universal Masking That You*
266 *Won't Read In The Establishment Media.*¹ (His argument is
267 essentially one I presented a few weeks back—all these mitigation
268 efforts could retard the development of herd immunity and
269 prolong the agony of this disease. God has designed a natural
270 vaccination system in which as a virus moves through the
271 population it becomes increasingly weak as our body builds
272 antibodies to resist it.) I strongly recommend you read his article.
273 But, hey, he is a journalist, right? So, what does he know about
274 masking? Okay, fair enough. So let's go to the doctors. Let's look at
275 the medical journals where this question has been explored at
276 length.

277 Here is a PhD, Dr. Rancourt, who reviews physics and biology
278 papers. He gathered the relevant scientific research on this
279 question and concludes: "There have been extensive randomized
280 controlled trial (RCT) studies, and meta-analysis reviews of RCT
281 studies, which all show that masks and respirators do not work to

¹ Morefield, Scott, *Townhall*, July 3, 2020 [ONLINE] <https://townhall.com/columnists/scottmorefield/2020/07/03/the-most-p...asking-that-you-wont-read-in-the-establishment-media-n2571794/print> (786.17.5)

282 prevent respiratory influenza-like illnesses, or respiratory illnesses
283 believed to be transmitted by droplets and aerosol particles."²

284 After offering seven scientific studies on the question, each of
285 which I read to confirm they did indeed reach the conclusion
286 summarized by this doctor, the author concluded, consistently
287 with the data presented in the studies he cited, the following:

288 "No RCT study with verified outcome shows a benefit for HCW or
289 community members in households to wearing a mask or
290 respirator. There is no such study. There are no exceptions.

291 Likewise, no study exists that shows a benefit from a broad policy
292 to wear masks in public (more on this below)."³

293 Let's look at the data.

294 From the American Journal for Infection Control, *Use of Surgical*
295 *Face Masks to Reduce the Incidence of the Common Cold Among*
296 *Health Care Workers in Japan: A Randomized Controlled Trial.*⁴
297 Conclusion: "Face mask use in health care workers has not been
298 demonstrated to provide benefit in terms of cold symptoms or
299 getting colds. A larger study is needed to definitively establish
300 noninferiority of no mask use." The significant thing about this
301 study is the well known fact any mask that cannot protect from the
302 common cold is not going to protect anyone from any coronavirus.

303 Here is a Cambridge study, from Cambridge Core, under
304 *Epidemiology & Infection*, titled *Face masks to prevent*
305 *transmission of influenza virus: a systematic review.*⁵

² <https://www.rcreader.com/commentary/masks-dont-work-covid-a-review-of-science-relevant-to-covide-19-social-policy> (786.17.6)
June 11, 2020

³ Ibid.

⁴ Jacobs, Joshua L., Ohde, Sachiko, Takahashi, Osamu, Tokuda, Yasuharu, Omata, Fumio, and Fukui, Tsuguya *see title in text above* —
Epublished: February 12, 2009. (786.17.7)

⁵ Cowling, B.J., Zhou, Y., D.K.M. IP, Leung, G. M., published by Cambridge University Press, January 22, 2010. (786.17.8) *See title in text above.*

306 This publication lists 42 sources, including from WHO and CDC, I
 307 looked at and perused twelve that had some particular relevance to
 308 the issue of wearing masks.⁶

309 Here is a sample that is representative of those articles that argued
 310 in favor of using masks, and it illustrates what I found consistently
 311 in all the articles I read: "Many countries would use nonpharma-
 312 ceutical interventions, including facemasks, improved hand
 313 hygiene, cough etiquette, isolation of sick and quarantine of
 314 exposed individuals, social distancing measures, and travel
 315 restrictions, as their primary means to mitigate an influenza
 316 pandemic, particularly at its beginning (3–10). However, data are
 317 scarce on the effectiveness of simple personal protective measures,
 318 such as facemasks and hand hygiene, against pandemic or
 319 interpandemic influenza and on the modes of influenza virus
 320 transmission among people (5, 11)."⁷

321 Here is another example: "However, comprehensive assessments of
 322 the literature to date recognize the generally poor quality of
 323 evidence on which to base non-pharmaceutical pandemic planning
 324 decisions."⁸ In the scales where pro mask articles are put on one
 325 side and effectiveness questioning articles are put on the other, this
 326 illustrates a summary of the tip of the scales—in other words,

⁶ ISDA (Infectious Disease Society of America) [ONLINE] <https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/65/11/1934/4068747>; CDC Centers For Disease Control and Prevention, *Nonpharmaceutical Interventions for Pandemic Influenza, International Measures* [ONLINE] https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/12/1/05-1370_article; World Health Organization, Emerging Infectious Diseases, *Nonpharmaceutical Interventions for Pandemic Influenza, National and Community Measures* [ONLINE] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3291415/>; BMJ (British Medical Journal) Intended for Healthcare Professionals: Physical interventions to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses: systematic review [ONLINE] <https://www.bmj.com/content/336/7635/777>; Clinical Infectious Diseases, vol. 49, Issue 2, July 15, 2009, *A Quantitative Assessment of the Efficacy of Surgical and N95 Masks to Filter Influenza Virus in Patients with Acute Influenza Infection* [ONLINE] <https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/49/2/275/405108>; JAMA (Journal of the American Medical Association), November 4, 2009, *Surgical Mask vs N95 respirator for Preventing Influenza Among Health Care Workers: A Randomized Trial*, [ONLINE] <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/184819>; Annals of Internal Medicine, *Facemasks and Hand Hygiene to Prevent Influenza Transmission in Households: A Cluster Randomized Trial*, [ONLINE] <https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/0003-4819-151-7-200910060-00142>; Oxford Academic, IDSA (Infectious Diseases Society of America) and himva (hiv medicine association) *Mask use, hand hygiene, and seasonal influenza-like illness among young adults: A randomized intervention trial* [ONLINE] <https://academic.oup.com/jid/article/201/4/491/861190>; National Library of Medicine: National Center for Biotechnology Information, *Wearing Masks in a Pediatric Hospital: Developing Practical Guidelines* [ONLINE] <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15362465/>; Springer Link (publishes research papers) *Effects of wearing N95 and surgical facemasks on heart rate, thermal stress and subjective sensations* [ONLINE] <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00420-004-0584-4>; Wiley Online Library: *A review of medical masks and respirators for use during an influenza pandemic* [ONLINE] <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2009.00101.x>

⁷ Cowling, Benjamin J., DSc, PhD, et al. Annals of Internal Medicine: Facemasks and Hand Hygiene to Prevent Influenza Transmission in Households. October 6, 2009. <https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/0003-4819-151-7-200910060-00142> (786.17.18).

⁸ Insights Immunology: Wiley Online Library: Seale, Holly, Dwyer, Dominic E., Cowling, J., Wang, Quanyi, Yang, Peng, Macintyre, Raina C. *A review of medical masks and respirators for use during an influenza pandemic* (786.17.23).

327 overall, the consensus lands on the side of questioning the
328 effectiveness of masks to in any significant way mitigate the spread
329 of viruses in the general community.

330 Here is an article from the Health Agency, London, England: "In
331 conclusion, there is a limited evidence base to support the use of
332 masks and/or respirators [e.g., the N95] in healthcare or
333 community settings. Mask use is best undertaken as part of a
334 package of personal protection, especially including hand hygiene
335 in both home and healthcare settings. Early initiation and correct
336 and consistent wearing of masks/respirators may improve their
337 effectiveness. However, this remains a major challenge—both in
338 the context of a formal study and in everyday practice."⁹

339 There does seem to be somewhat of a consensus that the N95 if
340 worn correctly and consistently can mitigate transmission of virus
341 at least somewhat. Here is an example of what doctors say about
342 this.

343 "It was not surprising to find that N95 respirators were generally
344 more efficient filters with better face-seal characteristics than
345 surgical masks when tested in the laboratory. However,
346 transmission of acute respiratory infections is a complex process
347 that may not be appropriately replicated by surrogate exposure
348 studies. Because the face seal is important for the efficiency of the
349 N95 respirator, fit-testing is recommended for health care
350 workers.² N95 respirators are often considered uncomfortable for
351 regular use, and improper wearing or adjustment of the respirator
352 because of discomfort could lead to inadvertent face
353 contamination, thus negating the potential protective benefit.^{54,55}
354 Furthermore, we do not have an adequate understanding of the
355 number, size and dispersion of the droplets that contain live,

⁹ bin-Reza, Faisal, Chavarrias, Vicente Lopez, Nicoll, Angus, Champerland, Mary E. *The use of masks and respirators to prevent transmission of influenza: a systematic review of the scientific evidence*, December 21, 2011. [ONLINE] <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00307.x>

356 infectious particles produced by infected patients.⁵⁶ A laboratory-
357 based study reported data that humans infected with influenza
358 rarely produce aerosols that contain infectious viral particles.⁵⁷ In 2
359 other laboratory studies, participants infected with influenza
360 produced droplets containing viral RNA, but viral RNA could not
361 be detected on manikin head-forms or on filters of breathing
362 manikins at distances as close as 0.1 m following participants
363 breathing, counting, coughing or laughing."¹⁰ [Uh huh! How about
364 singing? This study is very interesting in light of the current
365 prohibition decreed by Governor Newsome against singing in
366 Church. Notice that science says humans infected with influenza
367 "rarely produce aerosols that contain infectious viral particles."]

368 But several studies confirm there are significant problems caused
369 by prolonged use of these masks: breathing difficulties, accelerated
370 heart rate and headaches, for examples. Seven doctors looking at
371 this concluded: "Therefore, it can be concluded that N95 and
372 surgical facemasks can induce significantly different temperatures
373 and humidity in the microclimates of facemasks, which have
374 profound influences on heart rate and thermal stress and subjective
375 perception of discomfort."¹¹

376 Add to this the doctors and nurses that have come out, bravely,
377 and essentially called the Democrat reaction to COVID-19 a whole
378 lot of bunk! You know, brainless nonsense, ludicrous and fatuous.

379 What about the COVID Numbers Shell Game? Our CDPH
380 (California Department of Public Health) has issued a decree—
381 creating laws without the benefit of legislation—forcing a health
382 measure on the general public. The rationale is that compelling all

¹⁰ CMAJ (Canada Medical Association-Journal), Smith, Jeffrey D., MacDougall, Colin C., Johnstone, Jennie, Copes, Ray A., Schwartz, Brian, and Garber, Gary E., May 17, 2016. [ONLINE] <https://www.cmaj.ca/content/188/8/567>

¹¹ Li, Y, Tokura, H., Guo, Y.P., Wong, A.S.W., Wong, T., Chung, J., & Newton, E. *Effects of wearing N95 and surgical facemasks on heart rate, thermal stress and subjective sensations.* May 26, 2005. (786.17.21) [ONLINE] <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00420-004-0584-4>. See also Lim, E.C.H., Seet, R.C.S., Lee, K.-H., Wilder-Smith, E.P.V., Chuah, B.Y.S., Ong, B.K.C., *Neurologica, Headaches and the N95 face-mask amongst healthcare providers* (786.17.22) [ONLINE] <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2005.00560.x>

383 to wear a mask is necessary to the public health. If we allow this to
384 stand, we have created a monster—and that monster will rise up
385 one day, perhaps one day very soon, and argue that because they
386 deem it to be in the interest of public health for every citizen to
387 receive a Gates/Fauci vaccination they decree a LAW that requires
388 all citizens to be vaccinated. To avoid exposing their controllers
389 (Gates/Fauci), they will allow you to receive any of the approved
390 vaccinations—then, behind the veil of secret back room deals,
391 regulations require these alternative sources get their vaccine from
392 Gates/Fauci—you know how it works. Liars must be expected to
393 lie. It's what they are, so it's what they do. And then they can use
394 the power of the Health Department to argue removing firearms
395 from citizens is in the interest of public health, and so—remember
396 when they wanted your doctor to ask you if you owned any
397 firearms?

398 Look, 37,461 people were killed in 2016 in auto accidents in the
399 US. That's an average of 102 per day.¹² From 18,000 to 26,000 have
400 died of the common flu this year already, let's take the higher
401 number, and that gives us 140.5 per day.¹³ Combined, that's 243 per
402 day. It's impossible to really know how many are dying of COVID
403 because of the way they have manipulated the way the count
404 COVID deaths.¹⁴ But by what logic do we destroy the economy,
405 infringe upon the liberties of our citizens, all to stop a disease from
406 which 99.5% recover, and yet we allow people to drive cars? Isn't it
407 true that if no one was allowed to drive a car, we could save 102

¹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Motor_vehicle_fatality_rate_in_U.S._by_year

¹³ <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/burden/preliminary-in-season-estimates.htm>

¹⁴ Of course, this is disputed by CDC, et al. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/cases-in-us.html> [The change in counting cases and deaths have created confusion over whether we are receiving accurate data from CDC. Even Dr. Birx complained she could not depend on numbers coming from CDC—that in her estimation they were inflated by as much as 25%. And that does not take into consideration her own announcement earlier that CDC, et al, would change the way COVID deaths are counted, including any who tested positive from COVID before or after they died, whether or not the coroner found their cause of death to be from COVID. Reports are rampant that persons who die and qualify as "probable" case are also being counted as COVID deaths. These "probable" and "confirmed" cases are being combined in reporting, and these inflated numbers are being used as basis for public policy decisions. So, the fact is, frankly, it's anyone's best guess what the daily death count is and my remark above is based on the combined average deaths per day from auto accidents and common flu to be 245 deaths per day. The truth is, we don't know how many are dying from COVID-19 as the primary causes of death. And what is remarkable is that in spite of the inflated numbers, the death rate is below 1% of those infected.]

408 lives per day? And, furthermore, isn't it true that since we don't
409 know which person will get into their car and cause an accident,
410 that we should take away everyone's license to drive? How do you
411 know you won't be the cause of an accident? You don't leave the
412 house intending to do so, but, accidents happen, and you might get
413 negligent, you might become distracted, you might cause an
414 accident. You are a murderer if you leave your home in an
415 automobile because you *might* kill someone. It's ridiculous! Right?
416 Look 243 people die from accidents and flu don't you think we
417 should do something? COVID — there is a 99.5% recovery rate
418 from COVID.

419 Okay, let's begin with the problem of false positives. We know the
420 tests being used are relatively new and that false positives are a
421 problem. No one will offer any hard data on the number of false
422 positives, which suggests it's pretty high. You see, like Fauci, they
423 need to control the public perception in order to herd them in the
424 direction they want them to go. Moo! Moooooo! Yeah, I hear
425 you!

426 If you talk too much about "false positives" no one will want to be
427 tested because they might get a false positive that will effectively
428 mean they have to be shut up for 14 days. Now, you should know
429 that all positives are counted as a confirmed COVID case,
430 including the false positives, even after the false positive has been
431 discovered.

432 Second, those who benefit from high COVID case incidence and
433 deaths from COVID have come up with yet another ingenious way
434 to pump up the case numbers. In California, we have been
435 combining probable cases with confirmed cases. What does that
436 mean?

437 The CDC and the health administrations of other countries have
438 hammered out criteria by which citizens can be branded as a

439 probable candidate for COVID.¹⁵ For example, if you have come
440 into contact with someone that has tested positive for COVID,
441 whether or not you test positive, you are placed in a higher risk
442 category. If you add to this any two of a series of symptoms, such
443 as headache, cough, difficulty breathing, or fever, even if it's a
444 subjective thing where you say, I feel like I have a fever — you are
445 designated a PROBABLE carrier and in CA, and I think most other
446 Blue States, you are COUNTED as a COVID CASE.¹⁶ In some
447 scenarios, almost the entire population of certain counties fit the
448 criteria for a probable case. It's absurd!

449 I must conclude—but one thing is very clear. This disease is
450 politicized. It's being used as a weapon to destroy the Trump
451 economy, to terrorize his voter base (conservative Christians, and
452 entrepreneurs—middle class business owners), to demoralize
453 voters, and create a scenario allowing Governors of blue states to
454 implement all mail-in-voting which opens the door to massive
455 voter fraud. Trust me on this! That's what this is about!

456 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me
457 know if you see them. It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE
458 ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning,
459 (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say
460 LIGHTS OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and
461 disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or
462 lights off?

463 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's
464 brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and send me an
465 email.

466 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.

467 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

¹⁵ See <https://communityimpact.com/dallas-fort-worth/frisco/coronavirus/2020/05/19/new-covid-19-definitions-by-the-state-could-raise-case-counts-in-collin-county/>

¹⁶ See <https://www.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#cases> which shows CA counts probable and confirmed cases together.