

Brain Massage: Show No. 765 Acquittal and Acrimony / New Revelations
About Why the Dems Must Continue Pursuing Impeachment

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 02.08.19

1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the
2 Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA—and your brain
3 masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

4 The State of the Union Address, Pelosi the Ripper, The Acquittal
5 — and new revelations about the Ukraine point to China, the
6 disintegration of the Democrat Party primary, and the role of faith
7 in American politics — what a week! It's time for your brain
8 massage.

9 [TRUTH]

10 President Trump never looked or sounded more Presidential than
11 he did during the State of the Union Address. Oh, quit rolling your
12 eyes. I watched it, and yes, he snubbed Nancy. But she started it!
13 Haha.

14 Well, she did! When she caved to pressure from Schiffy and the
15 pack to pursue impeachment against her own better judgment?
16 And then crow about impeachment being forever, Trump will
17 forever be impeached. Wow! But, no! I am referring to how she
18 announced the President. She broke from protocol and rather than
19 say the usual it is my great honor and privilege to introduce the
20 President of the United State — instead, she snubbed him, she
21 dissed him. She said only, "The President" — a clear affront to not
22 only the person but to the office he holds. Classless people don't
23 understand these things. She is introducing the President of the
24 United States and it's a pretty cool honor to have the position in
25 the House to recognize his office even if she despises his person.

26 When he delivered the text of his speech to the Vice President,
27 Pence and the President did not shake hands. Then when the
28 President handed the speech to Nancy, she extended her hand to

29 him, and he, it appeared to me, glanced down at it with mild scorn,
30 perhaps disdain, and turned his back on her. Was that a
31 preplanned snub? Maybe! Was it a premeditated rebuff? Did Pence
32 and Trump agree they would not shake hands in order to dilute the
33 intended rebuff of der Nancy? Well, she got him back, right? She
34 ripped up the speech. But did you know that the speech she had
35 was pre-cut? Did you know that? No kidding. Pictures of the pages
36 set aside on her side of the desk were cut, and it looked like they
37 were cut, not torn. Might have been torn by her, but I never
38 noticed her tearing the pages as she put them aside, but a picture of
39 the pages laying to her left were clearly cut — it would have been
40 embarrassing if she started tearing the pages but couldn't get the
41 tear started, so, it appears, the pages were pre-cut — WE'VE GOT
42 EVIDENCE OF PREMEDITATED SNUBBERY GOING ON!

43 The custom of handshaking is actually quite nuanced. But, it's true
44 that boxers touch gloves before they begin trying to knock each
45 others' head from their shoulders. Indeed, athletes shake hands, or
46 high five, or fist bump after a hard fought game — and it's
47 customary for the winner to extend his or her hand to the loser —
48 so did Nancy think she had "won" by impeaching the President
49 with a rushed, slipshod proceeding where Trump was denied the
50 common courtesy of witnesses on his behalf, where exculpatory
51 evidence was hidden, where the proceeding was so lopsidedly one
52 sided even Hillary supporting Dershowitz called "FOUL." So
53 maybe the inquisitors back in the day would railroad a martyr to
54 the stake and expect the unjustly condemned man to shake the
55 hand of the lying, tyrannical judge that condemned him unjustly —
56 but, I don't think so.

57 Maybe, after WWII, the Nazis who condemned Jews to
58 concentration camps expected the Americans to line up and file by
59 slapping their hands for a war well fought — but, I don't think so!

60 What the Democrats did to Trump is unconscionable — despicable
61 — it would have been an effrontery to dignity, or decency, to shake
62 hands with the Devil as if congratulating him on succeeding in his
63 evil purpose.

64 I am blessed to have a highly intelligent audience. I am also blessed
65 to have some who listen because even though they don't like much
66 of what I say they like the way I say it. And we have some who
67 monitor the show in hopes I'll say something to justify their hatred.
68 So, I keep this in mind. And I know some will say I have just
69 likened Nancy Pelosi to Nazis, and the Devil!

70 Well, in fact there are similarities! Haha! But actually, that is not
71 what I was doing here. Because I know some liberals are strangely
72 literal when attacking us and then bizarrely loose in their
73 interpretation of language when defending themselves against
74 some of their crazy statements—I'll explain.

75 You see, the point is that there is a time when extending the
76 courtesy of a handshake is itself absurd—ridiculous, even
77 reproachful. Such as the cases I mentioned: American soldiers
78 shaking hands with Nazi's who participated in killing Jews in gas
79 chambers, or anyone shaking hands with the Devil whose evil
80 intent is well known. In cases where there is no honor to be
81 expressed, or acknowledged, a handshake can be an inadvertent
82 token of approval or acceptance of the evil done. It's one thing to
83 shake hands with a worthy opponent who defeated you in an
84 honest trial or test of skill—but it's something else altogether to
85 shake hands with a snake, a liar, a deceiver, and a person that is evil
86 and hiding this behind prayer, or her Catholic faith.

87 So you get the point. You are not like AOC who just does not get it.
88 She goes off on the expression "pull yourself up by your own
89 bootstraps" getting all indignant and saying, "you know, it's actually
90 impossible to pull yourself up by your own shoe strings." Hahah.
91 You are kidding me, right? Do I need to explain the metaphor? Of

92 course no! Many of you listening to me toiled and labored in your
93 own sweat and tears, and even blood, to get where you are without
94 taking a handout — you achieved your success by hard work, and
95 personal initiative — you, "pulled yourself up by your own
96 bootstraps. Okay, maybe AOC was also being metaphorical, and
97 what she believes is NO ONE CAN MAKE IT WITHOUT
98 GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE.

99 What was the highlight of the speech? So many things — the
100 soldier brought home to be reunited with his family, the inspiring
101 testimonies of Americans who rose above hardship prospering
102 from Trump's leadership, the overall obvious message that Trump's
103 Presidency is restoring our confidence in the American Dream
104 (which goes way past a picket fence enclosed house on cherry
105 blossom lane—it's about freedom, liberty, justice) — and, of
106 course, the well deserved honor given to Rush Limbaugh. Obama
107 pinned that honor on pedophiles and criminals — it's nice to see it
108 extended to a real American hero of FREEDOM. Congratulations
109 to America's anchor man! Many big moments during this speech.

110 And Although Nancy tried to steal the show with her premeditated
111 crime of destroying government property — hehe! That speech will
112 continue to reverberate right on down to November.

113 About the charge that Nancy actually violated law when she tore
114 up the President's speech — 18 US code 2071 clearly stipulates that
115 any person mutilating an official document filed or deposited with
116 any clerk is liable to be fined or imprisoned — with the added
117 provision that such person will be removed from office and will be
118 barred from holding any future office. The question is whether the
119 copy of the speech provided to Nancy by the President is a personal
120 copy of the speech, or an official record of State — and I think the
121 argument that the speech Trump handed Pelosi was an official
122 document filed with an official clerk of court or office — that is —
123 property of the US government, will fail. I mean, if you, through a

124 FOIA petition received copies of official documents you would not
125 be considered in violation of this statute if you ran them through a
126 shredder after you finished perusing them.

127 But what about Nancy's charge that all she ripped up was the State
128 of Trump's Mind address, or that she "shredded his speech because
129 in the speech he shredded the truth."

130 That's the echo: the speech was full of lies. And the obvious
131 response is oh, well, name one. To which they respond *the speech*
132 *was full of lies*, and you might as well give up trying to get them to
133 name one — and the reason they won't go into specifics is that they
134 are aware Trump is getting his numbers from reliable sources. You
135 remember what went on with Obama—how they artificially hid
136 how really bad the job numbers were by removing from the
137 number all who gave up looking for a job — and we argued with
138 them back and forth. They would put out some numbers, we would
139 come back and say wait a minute; you changed the rules. We used
140 to count all able-bodied people out of work, now you only count
141 those on unemployment who are attempting to find work. So,
142 while figures don't lie liars figure, statistics can be manipulated to
143 say almost anything you want to say — so some of the Obama
144 holdovers lurking in the deep waters of the swamp bend the
145 numbers and manipulate the math — but trust me on this one. If
146 they had hard evidence that the job numbers, or the economy was
147 bad, that evidence would be out there 24/7. So, all they can say is he
148 lied. It's the same with the impeachment travesty that has happily
149 become a debacle — all they could do is bring witnesses who gave
150 testimony to their opinions about Trump's state of mind — it's
151 absurd. The Democrats are utter failures at everything they do.
152 Every city they run they run into the ground. They can't even count
153 the votes in their own primary — talking about Iowa.

154 I prayed and fasted and prayed — our church prayed and fasted
155 and prayed — GOD please show the American people who these

156 people really are. Put it on display. Let America SEE the TRUTH
157 about what the Democrat Party has become. Wow! What a
158 powerful answer to prayer we are seeing.

159 It's jaw dropping. The Democrats have failed at everything they
160 have talked about doing for decades but they have been able to hide
161 their failure behind smooth talk and by pointing the finger at
162 someone else, and keep their opponents down by the politics of
163 personal destruction — but GOD has raised up a man who simply
164 will not be intimidated, or cowed by their smear attacks. He just
165 holds his head up and goes right back at them.

166 Now, talking about prayer! The prayer breakfast event following
167 the Acquittal — Trump holding up the headlines TRUMP
168 ACQUITTED — declaring his distaste for people who use their
169 faith to justify doing something they know is wrong, and these
170 phony people who say they are praying for you when it's obvious
171 they are not praying for anything good — Wow! You have never
172 heard a President talk like this man.

173 He talks like a real person. He is constantly accused of being
174 dishonest, but this President is the most frank and honest
175 President we've ever seen. He does not shake hands with phonies,
176 and he does not engage in the pretensions expected from sophists
177 — if you are a scum bag, he is likely to openly call you a scum bag;
178 if you are a backstabbing weasel, he has no respect for you and will
179 not pretend otherwise. Folks, I'm lovin' this. Hold on through the
180 break. I'll be right back.

181 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor
182 at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern
183 lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the
184 enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
185 liberty.

186 I hope you are listening to the "other side." The Democrat Deluded
187 Trump haters supporting a party that can't do anything right—they
188 can't do an impeachment right—botched it, had no case, pushed it
189 through anyway, admitted they had no case when they started
190 calling on the Senate to do the job they virtually admitted they
191 failed to do, which is make their case, and that's because there was
192 no case to make—it was constructed entirely upon hearsay
193 evidence, and that amounted to presumptions about what the
194 President meant by what he said to Zelenski, then they botch the
195 first primary, I mean, out of the gate, they stumble all over
196 themselves can't even count votes right — so for Democrats the
197 Iowa primary votes are frozen. I think what happened is too many
198 Democrats wrote in Trump — haha.

199 Democrats for Trump — they want to draft Trump to get the
200 Democrat nomination!!!

201 So what will the Democrats do now that Trump has been
202 acquitted? Exactly what we all expected they would do. Impeach
203 him again! They are going to impeach Trump until they get the
204 result they want. But impeachment did not help them in polls, it
205 has not reduced Trump's appeal to his base, and it has actually
206 enhanced his appeal to moderate Democrats.

207 Why would they continue sawing off the branch they are sitting
208 on?

209 Well, Glen Beck brought out some new revelations about the
210 Ukraine that might explain this. And, actually, it goes to something
211 I've been saying right along — the Democrats are desperate to
212 cover up the corruption in their own party, and to protect Obama's
213 legacy. They thought Obama would carry them forward to the full
214 transformation of America; it has unraveled, and they are trying to
215 regain the momentum they imagined they had with Obama.

216 You can view the entire episode at [#UkrainetheFinalPiece](#).

217 Apparently, a document has surfaced that reveals why Trump was
218 interested in the Biden allegations being investigated in Ukraine
219 before he would release the funds. He shows that the timeline
220 leading up to the July 25 call to Zelenski created by the Dems is
221 fake — it was fabricated to hide the real events that led to that call.

222 Here is the timeline Beck put together:

223 1. Sept. 2014 1.8 BN US dollars disappeared from Kolomoiski's
224 ProvatBank. Where did this money go? ProvatBank is linked to
225 Burisma, and Burisma was suspected of corruption.

226 2. December 2016 Ukraine seizes Provat Bank from Kolomoiski
227 accusing him of enriching himself on IMF funding. This involves
228 another 7 BN. What motivated Ukraine to seize assets of Provat
229 Bank? Now, we are going to back up a little to see what led to this.

230 3. An election happened in America in which Trump beat Hillary
231 with a campaign focused on addressing corruption, and it was clear
232 what was coming. This happened in NOVEMBER of 2016, and by
233 December Ukraine began cleaning up their act.

234 4. After bank was seized, money was to begin going to Ukraine
235 again, but the Trump administration wanted some token of trust
236 from Ukraine—something more than promises. In response to
237 this, an IMF mission visiting Kiev toward end of May indicated
238 recent developments with new government opened the door for
239 the team to stand ready to return to Kiev to continue discussions
240 after the new govt. clarified some things.

241 5. So then comes the July 25 phone call: On the same day of that
242 CALL - IMF had a press conference, to announce approval of a 5
243 BN loan — and that 3.5 BN was ready to be disbursed. However,
244 the IMF indicated a need for what is called an obligating event.
245 THIS WAS SENT ON THE SAME DAY AS PHONE CALL. This is
246 essentially what Trump was asking Zelenski to provide — to show
247 good faith.

248 5. Sept. 10 — The office of Management and Budget, sent email
249 about obligating event; what was it? Zelenski met with Kolomoiski
250 and, it is believed, likely informed him of a planned raid on his
251 offices, and on Burisma. When this was done, on the next day, Sept
252 11 the raid took place on PrivatBank collecting evidence regarding
253 the missing 1.8 BILLION and fraudulent misuse of IMF funds. and
254 then the AID was released.

255 6. MILITARY AID was released. Obligating Event? The interest in
256 investigating Biden had to do with understanding his part, and the
257 part of the Obama administration, in the funny stuff going on with
258 the missing 1.8 BILLION and the funny stuff going on with the 7
259 BN being misused by Ukraine. And this was all tied to Burissma,
260 where Biden's son worked, and the Pravat bank.

261 The President released Military Aid when he saw the raid — that
262 was the event he was looking for. Media tells us it's about politics,
263 but it's actually about missing 1.8 billion dollars in which Burissma
264 is implicated—a company Joe Biden's son worked for, and the
265 suspicious interference of VP Biden in the investigation of
266 Burissma.

267 This whole thing is very strange. And the picture that is beginning
268 to emerge is that in concert with the Obama Administration,
269 Ukraine was using this money to wage war with Russia. It's
270 beginning to look like Obama did not want to openly support
271 Ukraine against Russia — who knows why — but used this
272 chicanery to hide from Russia and from America his secret war
273 with Russia.

274 The truth was DANGEROUS for the Bidens because they were
275 personally benefitting from this—they were raking in millions in
276 the process.

277 You see, the Provat Bank was funding the war with Russia. Using
278 our money to fund a war — Obama lied to the American people,

279 took the Congressional authority for war powers and illegally
280 engaged in a foreign war against Russia through Ukraine. But he
281 did not want Russia, or Americans, to know it.

282 We'll see where this leads. But slowly the truth is emerging and we
283 will, Lord WILLING have the complete picture before too long.

284 I want to conclude by addressing something I heard in an exchange
285 between Tony Perkins and Fox News host Shannon Bream — she
286 closed the conversation saying, "I think most of us want our
287 religion to be politically free, at least as much as possible." That
288 caught my attention, because I remember a time when I was
289 fastidious about segregating my personal political interests and
290 opinions from my religious beliefs—and still do to some measure.
291 But not so much any more! What happened.

292 Why do we want our religion to be politically free? Well, to
293 understand this, we need to go back a few decades.

294 Once upon a time, Americans shared a common set of religious
295 values and it was possible to have religion politically free. But today
296 politics has become RELIGIOUS. Politics has been totally mixed
297 with religion today and not by conservatives — this was done by
298 liberals. Many don't know it, but Saul Alinsky was a strong
299 advocate for political activism through the churches. He saw the
300 churches as a powerful grass roots agency through which, if
301 motivated, and guided, could be used to fuel radical social and
302 political change. While we were sleeping, Alinsky and his radical
303 recruits, began using the churches to advance very specific political
304 objectives.

305 Now look, all values come from what we believe about right and
306 wrong. And all laws come from someone's idea of what is right and
307 what is wrong. And our view of right and wrong is instructed by
308 our religious beliefs — whether the religion is atheism, or
309 Catholicism, or Christianity, or Islam, or Hindu, or, etc. Our ideas

310 of right and wrong are shaped by our moral training. And once
311 upon a time in America, we had a shared common core of moral
312 beliefs. In that situation, someone could argue about whether we
313 should tax people x dollars or xx dollars, and yet share a common
314 core of values and beliefs — they could debate over what is our
315 personal responsibility to share our wealth with the poor and
316 needy, and how much of this should be done collectively, whether
317 we should have laws prohibiting child labor altogether, or whether
318 we should say families can have their own children work in their
319 businesses — and these debates could go on between people who
320 shared a common set of values and beliefs, and we would debate
321 about the legitimate role of government—but today, it's not like
322 that.

323 Today we have a radical divide at the heart of American traditional
324 values. Christians have been very patient, in all honesty, and
325 tolerant. Christians have been open to arguments such as, "you
326 can't impose your religion on everyone else." Of course, we
327 Christian evangelicals totally believe that. So believing that, we
328 made room for greater and greater departures from our once
329 shared common morality — and those who cry "We will not have
330 Jesus to reign over us," who "rage, and take counsel against the
331 LORD and against HIS ANOINTED (means Christ), saying let us
332 break their bands asunder and cast away their cords from us" —
333 began to gain greater and greater political control in our country
334 and here is where they crossed the line — they began FORCING
335 THEIR IMMORALITY UPON ALL OF US. They began using the
336 coercive power of government to bring us under laws that are
337 CONTRARY to OUR FAITH and the put us in a position to, as
338 Hillary openly said, choose between our religious beliefs and our
339 political standing in communities.

340 Now, I will speak to this some more. But you really need to get my
341 book. It provides biblical insight into the spiritual side of what's

342 going on in America and explains what Christians can do to fight
343 back against the encroachment against our inalienable rights.

344 But, in answer to Bream's question — we can no longer separate
345 religion from politics because politics has invaded religion. And,
346 furthermore, it's never really been otherwise. It's just that for so
347 long, the presumptive "religion" instructing the conscience of
348 Americans has been Christianity. But they are transforming
349 America from a Christian nation into an antichristian nation.

350 So, we need to STAND. And that's our theme at the Lighthouse for
351 2020 — STAND.

352 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me
353 know if you see them. It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE
354 ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning,
355 (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say
356 LIGHTS OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and
357 disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or
358 lights off?

359 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's
360 brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and send me an
361 email.

362 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.

363 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

364