

Brain Massage: Show No. 753 Saugus Lament / Democrats Pulling Up The Planks Of Freedom / Impeachment Predictions

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 11.16.19

1 Saugus — we weep with you! Five shot, two fatalities. Shooter  
2 attempted to kill himself — he is at hospital in "grave condition."  
3 No motive has been determined. He posted on Instagram the day  
4 before: "Saugus, have fun at school tomorrow." The message was  
5 removed from the shooter's Instagram page by persons unknown  
6 for reasons unknown.

7 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the  
8 Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA—and your brain  
9 masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

10 FREEDOM essentials that are being undermined by the  
11 Democrats: the nature of truth (where science and education are  
12 being corrupted), innocent until proven guilty, right to face your  
13 accuser, right to present evidence in your defense, rule of law  
14 versus rule of the mob, natural law as ordained by God versus  
15 anarchy, justice and judgment for all versus special rights and  
16 protection of the privileged class. In fact, I challenge you to think  
17 of one single support of freedom that is not being daily  
18 undermined by the left today.

19 And the brain masseur will provide his assessment of what is really  
20 concerning about the impeachment proceedings. Impeachment  
21 predictions—we will get our turn!

22 My friends, it's time for your brain massage.

23 [TRUTH]

24 There are at least six planks in the foundations for our LIBERTIES  
25 in AMERICA that are being torn up out from under our feet. First,  
26 the nature of truth (where science and education are being  
27 corrupted).

28 Second, innocent until proven guilty.  
29 And third, connected with that, the right to face your accuser,  
30 And fourth, the right to present evidence in your defense,  
31 And fifth, generally speaking the rule of law versus rule of the mob,  
32 And natural law as ordained by God versus anarchy,  
33 And justice and judgment for all versus special rights and  
34 protection of the privileged class.

35 As I said in my introduction, I challenge you to think of one single  
36 principle protecting our freedoms that is not being daily  
37 undermined by the left today. Let's run this down —

38 First, the nature of truth (where science and education are being  
39 corrupted). You have heard Democrats say stuff that probably  
40 sounds strange to you — like Democrats don't care about facts,  
41 they care about the truth — and you probably sat there wondering  
42 if you heard right. Many of you, I dare say, assumed it was nothing  
43 more than another example of bumbling Biden — but Hillary said  
44 something very near this a little while before, and it's something  
45 that has become a fundamental assumption among many  
46 philosophy thinkers — truly, the notion has quite a long history of  
47 development that we don't have time to get into, except to point  
48 out one root you will almost certainly recognize, situational ethics  
49 — but it goes deeper — into arguments over epistemology, what  
50 distinguishes justified belief from mere opinion—but it has evolved  
51 to the place where truth is a word that identifies what advances  
52 whatever one believes is a JUST CAUSE — so TRUTH does not  
53 refer to factual information but to the CAUSE to which someone is  
54 committed.

55 For that reason, when FACTS impede the advancement of the  
56 CAUSE they are dismissed because in that case, they contradict the  
57 TRUTH. Weird, huh?

58 But what you need to understand is this is what Democrats believe  
59 about TRUTH today. Their cause is the TRUTH. The ideology that  
60 supports and advances their CAUSE is TRUTH — and everything  
61 contrary to that CAUSE is the LIE.

62 If someone assembles FACTS in such a way as will expose their  
63 ideology as faulty, or, FALSE, they will dismiss those facts because  
64 they are not interested in FACTS they are interested in the  
65 *TRUTH*.

66 You've heard me say often that if you separate facts from truth you  
67 have nothing left — the nature of facts is ignored and the nature of  
68 truth is destroyed, so you end up with neither.

69 The impeachment proceedings illustrate where this philosophy  
70 leads. They do not have facts to support their allegation.

71 What is the allegation?

72 Adam Schiff and Pelosi allege that Trump attempted to strong-arm  
73 President Zelensky of Ukraine to dig up dirt on his political  
74 opponent, Joe Biden. (Lay aside, for the moment, that it is highly  
75 questionable whether Joe Biden will actually win the Democratic  
76 Nomination—)

77 This is based on the testimony of a whistleblower, so-called, who  
78 claims Trump attempted to pressure Zalensky to investigate  
79 Biden's actions to strong-arm then Ukrainian president to fire a  
80 certain Ukrainian prosecutor, who, it happened, was investigating  
81 a Ukrainian company, Burrisma, who had hired Joe Biden's son to  
82 sit on their board. And they paid him upwards of six million  
83 dollars for his "services" — whatever they were. Biden's son can't  
84 explain what value he brought to the board of Burrisma—really, he  
85 was asked, and he said he did not know. So!

86 DID YOU HEAR THAT. Let's run it by again.

87 Adam Schiff and Pelosi allege that Trump attempted to strong-arm  
88 the Ukrainian President, Zelenski, to investigate Joe Biden's  
89 admitted use of pressure to force the President of Ukraine to fire a  
90 prosecutor that Biden claimed was corrupt —

91 So Trump is asking the current President of Ukraine to investigate  
92 the admitted strong-arm tactics used by Biden to get a Ukrainian  
93 prosecutor fired because Trump is concerned that there might be  
94 corruption involved in that — since, after all, Biden's son, at that  
95 time, worked for the company that was under investigation by the  
96 Ukrainian prosecutor for — corruption. Hmmm!

97 Am I the only one who sees the obvious here — the irony has a lot  
98 of wrinkles but it really is not hard to, ha, iron out what's going on  
99 here.

100 Is your iron hot? (psssst) Good! What is the "crime" Trump  
101 supposedly committed?

102 According to Adam Schiff and Nancy Pelosi, when Trump asked  
103 the Ukrainian President to investigate Joe Biden's threat to  
104 withhold a billion in loan guarantees unless they fired Shokin, the  
105 prosecutor responsible to prosecute corruption cases in Ukraine  
106 (Now, for the record, this guy, Shokin, was being accused of not  
107 doing his job and while some say he was set up others say he was  
108 taking huge bribes—that rather than investigating Burissma, he  
109 was actually protecting the corruption going on there—etc.)  
110 HOWEVER, there is competing testimony on all of that that a trial,  
111 or an investigation would uncover—

112 So, Adam and Nancy believe Trump pressured the current  
113 Ukrainian president, Zelensky, to investigate Biden's admission  
114 that he pressured Ukraine to fire their prosecutor because of  
115 allegations that Joe did this to protect his own interests — his son  
116 being on the board of Burissma corporation—

117 And, that was an aside, by the way. The Joe Biden thing was  
118 mentioned as a secondary interest. The primary interest was  
119 Trumps request that Zelensky do US (he said us, not me) do US a  
120 favor and look into how the whole Russian collusion thing  
121 originated because he learned it originated in Ukraine—and  
122 Zelensky assured the President he would take steps to investigate  
123 that.

124 Hmmmm! So, the Biden thing was a side issue — a, oh, and by the  
125 way, we concerned about the allegations that Biden interfered with  
126 Ukrainian internal affairs using Congressional approved loan  
127 guarantees as leverage to compel Ukraine to fire their prosecutor  
128 when his own son worked on the board of a company that had  
129 been the subject of investigation — soooo!

130 Okay, so, you see what I'm doing here? I'm trying to clarify what it  
131 is exactly that Adam and Nancy are concerned about.

132 It was good for Biden to pressure Ukraine to fire a prosecutor  
133 because Biden was convinced the guy was corrupt—but it's not  
134 okay for the President to ask for an investigation into Biden's  
135 threat to hold back 1 billion in loan guarantees and the allegations  
136 that surfaced that he did this to protect the interests of his son who  
137 worked for a Ukrainian company at that time that had been the  
138 subject of investigation by that prosecutor —

139 You know, it seems to me that pressuring a foreign government to  
140 look into allegations of corruption is something the Democrats are,  
141 as they say, their down with that. So, what's the problem here?

142 Perhaps there actually IS something to find in investigating Joe  
143 Biden's inappropriate use of a threat to manipulate a foreign power  
144 to fire a prosecutor Biden considered corrupt.

145 But, wait — that was not the primary concern in the conversation  
146 transcript we all read between Trump and Zelensky.

147 The first concern mentioned was this — Trump asked Zelenski to  
148 DO US A FAVOR — and get serious about investigating how the  
149 Russian-Collusion farce originated because, as Trump pointed out,  
150 Ukraine knows that corrupt politicians in Ukraine were neck deep  
151 in that.

152 So, let's look at this again. Adam and Nancy are concerned that  
153 Trump asked a favor of the Ukrainian president — and the favor  
154 was this, that the Ukrainian president would investigate the origins  
155 of the Russian collusion scam —

156 Wait! Let's get the whole picture here. How many of you are  
157 concerned about the Russian collusion scam that was run against  
158 Trump by the Democrats for, well, it actually started before the  
159 election in 2016 — so this has been going on for about four years.

160 I would say that ALL OF AMERICA would really to get to the  
161 bottom of this — and I would expect all truth loving, honest,  
162 patriotic, Americans would want this done.

163 And I think we can point to enough occasions in Trump's history  
164 to support the belief that HE WOULD BE CONCERNED ABOUT  
165 THIS EVEN IF HE HAD NEVER BEEN THE SUBJECT OF THE  
166 INVESTIGATION. But, leaving that aside for the moment.

167 DOES ANYONE BLAME TRUMP FOR WANTING TO GET TO  
168 THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCAM?

169 If it happens to be the case that Trump has a personal interest in  
170 this matter that is part of what motivates him to pursue this — it so  
171 happens that his personal interest is DIRECTLY LINKED TO  
172 OUR NATIONAL INTEREST in this matter, is it not?

173 I WANT UKRAINE TO INVESTIGATE THIS MATTER. I  
174 WANT TO KNOW HOW THE RUSSIAN COLLUSION SCAM  
175 GOT STARTED.

176 I think a million Americans ought to write their representatives  
177 DEMANDING THAT INVESTIGATION TAKES PLACE.

178 So, let's recap and conclude this most fundamental of all questions  
179 in the impeachment hearings — what is the allegation?

180 Adam and Nancy allege that Trump used the power of his office to  
181 pressure a foreign power to investigate how the Russian collusion  
182 scam got started, and, oh, by the way, while you are at it, look into  
183 the Biden scandal too — I want to get to the bottom of all this.

184 And here is the setting. Trump, doing his duties as the Chief  
185 Executive of the United States, tasks his justice department to  
186 investigate how it is that America was dragged through this misery  
187 for three years with Mueller, Comey, and all that, and this  
188 investigation leads our Attorney General and FBI director into  
189 enquiries concerning things that went down in Ukraine — so the  
190 President, in a conversation with their new President says, hey, do  
191 us a favor — COOPERATE with our effort to get to the bottom of  
192 Ukraine's involvement in the origins of the Russian Collusion  
193 scam.

194 That sounds like the most natural thing for our President to do —  
195 personally, and for our NATIONAL INTERESTS.

196 If anyone really believes Trump needs the Ukraine to get dirt on  
197 bumbling Biden to win an election against him they are hopelessly  
198 delusional. They guy was VP for Obama, and the Party did not  
199 even take a second to consider him to run. They guy is a joke! But  
200 it's one of those jokes that falls really flat.

201 Now, when I get back, I'm going to tell the real reason Adam and  
202 Nancy are risking it all, putting it all in, on what amounts to a  
203 really bad hand. Hold on, I'll be right back.

204 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor  
205 at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern  
206 lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the

207 enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our  
208 liberty.

209 I listened to the Taylor/Kent testimony and what a bunch of  
210 nonsense. The American people are smart enough to see through  
211 this — and I'll tell you something else I can see through. I can see  
212 where in his testimony he was reading Adam Schiff's insertions—  
213 tell you what, go back and watch the video of Taylor's testimony,  
214 almost every time he stops to drink some water, it's when it's clear  
215 to me he is reading something he was told to say. Even that is not  
216 damning, but these are the only places in his monologue where he  
217 actually says something that makes you think, okay, here is  
218 something that has at least SOMETHING to do with the case —  
219 then it fizzles out to nothing.

220 It's obvious this bureaucrat does not like his boss. He is a lifer, a  
221 one-worlder, and he is angry that Trump was not playing the  
222 political game he is accustomed to. Listening to Taylor repeatedly  
223 made me think, what is this, is Trump's foreign policy on trial  
224 here?

225 You can't impeach a president because you disagree with his  
226 foreign policy. If that's the case, Obama should have been roused  
227 out of the office long ago.

228 And Americans understand that saying "Withholding security  
229 assistance in exchange for help with a domestic political campaign  
230 in the U.S. is crazy." — does not amount to anything even remotely  
231 resembling evidence that Trump did anything remotely resembling  
232 that concern. Then, to repeat it as if it's some sort of coup-de-grace  
233 in his statement: you remember, "I said it then, and I say it now."  
234 Say WHAT?

235 It's very near to saying; I said the sky was blue. That's what I said. I  
236 said it then, and I say it now.



237 How stupid do the Democrats think we are? But the fact is folks,  
238 that is as close as any witness came to actually making a charge that  
239 Trump did something wrong.

240 Jim Jordan did a great job. Him and Devin Nunez handled their  
241 job to represent America's interest admirably! Not everything  
242 coming out of California is insane — way to go Devin. We have  
243 your back.

244 These men exposed the truth about what's going on. An  
245 anonymous so-called whistleblower, who has confessed he has no  
246 firsthand knowledge, that he has admitted that he is repeated  
247 hearsay, and who is known to have Trump-derangement syndrome  
248 disease, and who worked for Joe Biden—reports something  
249 someone from inside the White House — we don't know who —  
250 told him, and what they told him was that they were uncomfortable  
251 with a conversation Trump had with the Ukrainian president, and  
252 we are not even sure that individual actually heard the  
253 conversation, and revealing the private conversations of the  
254 President with foreign leaders is AGAINST THE LAW, so the  
255 information was LEAKED — which is a crime in itself — which  
256 makes it evidence that is fruit of the poisonous tree — and add to  
257 this that we ALL READ THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE CALL and  
258 by itself no one would say it PROVES the President bribed the  
259 Ukrainian president to "dig up dirt on his political opponent."

260 There is no proof here!

261 So, Jim Jordan asks Taylor, "did you listen in on President Trump  
262 and Zelensky's call? And Taylor says, "I did not." And Jordan asks,  
263 "You've never talked with Chief of Staff Mulvaney?" And Taylor  
264 says, "I never did." And Jordan: "You've never met the President?"  
265 "That's correct." And then Jordan says, "And you're their star  
266 witness?"

267 And pressed Adam to allow the Congress to hear from the  
268 Whistleblower directly and give them a chance to ask him  
269 questions.

270 I thought those were the most compelling moments in this show!

271 But I said I would tell you what's really behind Adam and Nancy  
272 literally laying their political lives on the line in this phony  
273 impeachment show. I mean, there is no impeachable offense here  
274 and every reasonable person knows that.

275 There is something else they are using this to hide—it's a cover  
276 game. I've said this from the beginning that all of this is an effort by  
277 the Democrats to keep Americans looking at Trump and not at  
278 them. But the evidence supporting this intuitive understanding of  
279 what's going on is piling up.

280 Judicial Watch has been doing some digging.

281 Alexandra Chalupa is the DNC operative who was tasked with  
282 trying to dig up dirt on Trump with the help of Ukrainian officials.  
283 This we know! Nothing particularly illegal about that—just, well,  
284 there are ethical questions, but, hey, that's politics, right? However,  
285 the part about Ukrainian officials participating in this—well, you  
286 see, that's what they are trying to get you to believe Trump's  
287 campaign did. So, what happens if Zelensky agrees to cooperate  
288 with our Attorney General, and FBI Director in their efforts to run  
289 down the connections between Ukrainians officials and the effort  
290 to bring down Trump—you see, so it might be interesting to look  
291 into the 27 times Chalupa visited the White House during all of  
292 this — 27 times. Chalupa is not a super star in the DNC — but she  
293 had extraordinary access to the White House. So we have a DNC  
294 operative with extensive activity in Ukraine, working with the help  
295 of Ukrainian officials to get dirt on Trump visiting the White  
296 House 27 times during all of these goings on.

297 Then, if Judicial Watch has correctly identified the Hearsay  
298 LEAKER — who is hiding behind the Whistleblower claim for  
299 cover from criminal prosecution — well, guess what? He was in the  
300 Obama Administration at the time. So, you see, he was working in  
301 the White House in the Obama Administration —

302 So, his involvement in a Soros group that was connected to the  
303 Ukraine operatives that released the so-called "black-ledger" that  
304 was used to implicate Manafort is at least interesting, don't you  
305 think?

306 And does this not connect Obama's administration with the  
307 Russian Collusion scam?

308 So, the DNC operative connects Hillary and this Whistleblower  
309 connects Obama —

310 And then two FBI agents — Peter Stryk and Lisa Page — who were  
311 totally WITH HER — and hate Trump — were assigned to work  
312 the case against Hillary's mishandling of classified information and  
313 the allegations against Trump in the Russian collusion thing —  
314 maybe some effort at non partisanship would have been a good  
315 idea — but it turns out that after Hillary declared she never  
316 transmitted classified information over her private server, Stryk  
317 wrote an email saying, well, actually, she did — and identified nine  
318 email chains that were marked classified — and the importance of  
319 this is that the FBI had direct knowledge that she was lying —

320 Anyway — folks, here is what they are trying to hide. The fact that  
321 Obama and Hillary were both up to their necks in this conspiracy  
322 to use a foreign government to interfere with our election—and  
323 when they lost, they had to cover their tracks, so they have been  
324 engaged in treason —a backdoor coup—and a coup depends on  
325 secrecy, speed, and suppression of opposition — thank you Mr.  
326 Sekulow.

327 The impeachment proceedings are exactly what AOC said they are:  
328 it's "about preventing a potentially disastrous outcome next year."

329 And the disaster would be that if this blows up in their faces, and  
330 they lose in 2020—then their entire house of cards is going to  
331 collapse on them. Because then Trump will have the proverbial  
332 "mandate" to go after this cabal and really DRAIN THE SWAMP.

333 I said the Democrats are dismantling the platform upon which  
334 FREEDOM stands in this country. I mentioned six of these.

335 First, the nature of truth is being corrupted. 51 genders —really?

336 Second, they are daily undermining innocent until proven guilty.

337 And third, connected with that, the right to face your accuser,

338 And fourth, the right to present evidence in your defense,

339 And fifth, generally speaking the rule of law versus rule of the mob,  
340 or, to put it another way, natural law as ordained by God versus  
341 anarchy,

342 And sixth, justice and judgment for all versus special rights and  
343 protection of the privileged class.

344 Protection of the privileged classes — Democrat Politicians are the  
345 Privileged class in our society today. LGBTQ rights eclipse the  
346 rights of everyone else.

347 At the bottom line — the Democrat's case against Trump is  
348 constructed entirely upon hearsay evidence and supported by only  
349 one thing — the Democrats hatred for Donald Trump.

350 It's gotten so bad that Democrats are actually saying hearsay  
351 evidence can be better than direct evidence. I kid you not! There is  
352 no limit to how far these people will go — no limit to how far they  
353 will distort common sense — no limit to how much they will  
354 torture logic — Democrat Mike Quigley — "Hearsay can be much  
355 better evidence than direct evidence?"

356 So, what's my prediction? Well, folks, you might remember before  
357 the mid-term elections in November of 2018, I said, if the  
358 Democrats retake the house, they will impeach. If they retake the  
359 house and the Senate, they will get a conviction and issue an  
360 eviction notice on Trump. The reaction of the American people  
361 will be strong — hopefully, there are enough restraints of the cords  
362 and bands of Christian grace to hold us back from all war in the  
363 streets — but, you really cannot rule that out.

364 Jay Sekulow made similar predictions. But like him, I'm looking at  
365 this situation and I'm wondering if Adam and Nancy actually have  
366 the support they need to get an impeachment. It's too obvious that  
367 there is no case here.

368 And Trump's support at the grassroots level is just soaring.  
369 Trump's campaign is receiving support for his campaign in terms  
370 of donations and volunteers that is outpacing any of his  
371 challengers. Dems try to say Trump is losing support among  
372 women. They must be counting all the snowflakes twice because in  
373 fact Trump is receiving greater financial support from women than  
374 any of the Democrat candidates. That means he has the support of  
375 the women that appreciate his performance in creating jobs and  
376 protecting our nation.

377 But here is what we need to look out for and pray earnestly about.  
378 Voter fraud — that's a big issue. But also this: If the Democrats go  
379 nuclear and force an impeachment vote, and get a simple majority  
380 to indict — it will go to the Senate, where the standard for  
381 convictions is significantly higher. The Senate cannot convict  
382 without a two-thirds majority vote. That means you need 66 Senate  
383 votes to convict.

384 Now, here is the problem. I read today that some in the Senate are  
385 calling for a closed ballot. The Trump haters are desperate.

386 A GOP adviser argued last Tuesday that there is a path to removing  
387 Trump with a Republican dominated Senate. How?

388 The Constitution does not stipulate the rules for how the Senate  
389 conducts its trial for impeachment. It requires only a simple  
390 majority to establish the rules. If we assume the Senate is required  
391 to vote on the rules before they proceed to trial — all that would  
392 have to happen is for three turn-coat Republicrats to demand a  
393 secret ballot or they will block any set of rules to pass. Assuming  
394 they had all the Dems on their side, this would effectively hold the  
395 Senate hostage to their demand. If the Senate finally conceded to  
396 their demand, then the Senate would adopt a set of rules that  
397 included a secret ballot. The person advising the GOP in this  
398 matter raises the question: "If they (the Senate) didn't have to face  
399 backlash from constituents or the media or the president himself,  
400 who knows how many Republican senators would vote to remove?"

401 This is an unprecedented moment in American history. We quite  
402 literally stand at a fork in the road where one path leads our nation  
403 out from under God and into the power of tyrants. And the other  
404 path leads us back toward our founding principles and toward  
405 reasserting the foundations of our LIBERTY.

406 The "violent take the kingdom by force" — to pull something like  
407 what I described here is "taking the kingdom by force." It's force  
408 because it's deceptive. If they try to pull that — I am sure there will  
409 be an outcry that will be heard around the world.

410 But you should call your representative and implore them to refuse  
411 Schiff's phony impeachment ploy — call 202-224-3121, and express  
412 your concern that hearsay evidence is not enough to support  
413 something so serious as impeaching a President.

414 Then, if they go ahead with that, you watch, and if you see the  
415 Senate start playing around with the rules to let these guys hide  
416 their vote from the people who hired them to represent them, you

417 call again and let them know what you think about that kind of  
418 trickery and deceit and that you believe government should be  
419 open, and transparent.

420 But whatever you do, do this. Go to [www.booksatdbp.com](http://www.booksatdbp.com) and get  
421 my newest book, *God's War: Why Christians Should Rule the*  
422 *World*.

423 In that book you will learn what we need to do to win in 2020 and  
424 take America back for GOD.

425 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me  
426 know if you see them. It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE  
427 ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning,  
428 (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say  
429 LIGHTS OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and  
430 disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or  
431 lights off?

432 Email me by going to our web site at [branmassage.net](http://branmassage.net). That's  
433 [brainmassage.net](http://brainmassage.net). Find the CONTACT button and send me an  
434 email.

435 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.

436 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

437