

Brain Massage®: Show No. 737 Mueller Madness / The Ten Reasons  
Christians CAN Support Trump (Part One)

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 07.27.19

1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the  
2 Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA—and your brain  
3 masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

4 The Mueller testimony, and, what's this? The ten reasons  
5 Christians cannot vote for Trump — a couple of Sociologists of  
6 Religion put this together after Trump won the Primary coming  
7 into the 2016 election. Most of you know I was not a Trump fan at  
8 that time. Today, I will explain what changed my mind about  
9 Trump and why I believe supporting Trump is not only consistent  
10 with Christian beliefs, but essential to the survival our liberties as  
11 Christians.

12 Let's see, in the *if-you-can-get-to-it* pile: Oh, on Omar — Tom  
13 Fitton of Judicial Watch has unearthed further evidence she  
14 married her biological brother: "We're not sure her last name is  
15 Omar. An investigation by Power Line Blog suggests Omar & her  
16 family came to the US under another family name. Her family  
17 name is Elmi hence the biological brother she was potentially  
18 married to."

19 Oh, and recently, when Omar was asked for her response to those  
20 who explain their fear of radical, Jihad headed Muslims going off  
21 on American citizens is justified — she responded saying what  
22 Americans ought to be worried about are white men because most  
23 of the killing that goes on in this country is perpetrated by *white*  
24 men, and so our concern should be the radicalization of white  
25 men.

26 Well, actually, this sort of thing is calculated by percentage of  
27 population — and so, by that measure, white men are not the  
28 number one killing group in America.

29 Also, *white* is a attribute of race, but being a Jihad headed Muslim  
30 is not. And so, Omar is a racist. Our concern is with a religious sect  
31 that is an avowed enemy of America. In other words, what she is  
32 saying, is that during WWII, Americans should not be concerned  
33 about Nazi's running around loose on our streets — she thinks we  
34 would not have a legitimate reason to be concerned, or to watch  
35 such persons closely — well, guess what? Jihad headed Muslims  
36 have OPENLY declared war on America — so, Omar, you racist,  
37 it's not about race — it's about WAR — and Jihadi Muslims have  
38 declared war on America.

39 During WWII, the only people I can imagine who would argue that  
40 Americans don't need to concern themselves with Nazis running  
41 around openly in our streets would be Nazis - or at the very least,  
42 Nazi sympathizers. Or just really, really stupid!

43 Is Omar a Jihadi? Is she actually working covertly to subvert  
44 America into Jihadi hands? Is Omar a Jihadi sympathizer?

45 Anyway ...

46 President Trump wants a Photo ID in order to vote in every  
47 State before the 2020 election. That is exactly what should be  
48 done! We are doing all we can to protect us from the  
49 IGNORANT VOTE, let's do all we can to protect us from the  
50 ILLEGAL VOTE.

51 Okay folks, it's time for your Brain Massage.

52 [TRUTH]

53 Let's look at the Mueller testimony.

54 Leading into the much ballyhooed testimony from Mueller, Nadler  
55 and Schiff sounded like Mohammed Ali going into a fight—  
56 bragging about what they were going to get from Mueller, hyping  
57 up expectations that Mueller, when testifying before Congress,  
58 would blow up Trump — he was going to float like the butterfly  
59 and sting like the bee — "No Jive, the fight will end in Five," oh

60 yeah — Nadler and Schiff, they were boasting like the famous  
61 boxer: they would wrestle with the alligator, and tussle with the  
62 whale, they would handcuff lightning and throw thunder into jail  
63 — but, well, let's just say that neither Nadler nor Schiff is Ali. They  
64 simply could not pull it off in the ring.

65 But never underestimate the ability of radical Trump hating  
66 liberals to ignore defeat and to continue in their delusions — I'm  
67 going to go over what is without any question the strongest case  
68 supporting the delusion that the Dem wits — those whose wits are  
69 dimmed by Progressive thinking — won grounds for impeachment  
70 from the Mueller testimony — you really need to listen closely  
71 because it's an example of the perversion of justice coming from  
72 the Left these days — Oh my God in Heaven help us — deliver us  
73 from these wicked people that are destroying the very foundations  
74 of truth, justice, and the American way! —

75 I'm going to begin, however, with the end. You must have the end  
76 of the matter in view as you look at the Mueller testimony.

77 What Mueller admitted to is that he applied a legal standard for  
78 obstruction that has never been applied to anyone in the history of  
79 jurisprudence. Mueller set about to find evidence he could use to  
80 justify an allegation of collusion between Russia and Trump. He  
81 could not find it — no matter how hard he worked the  
82 circumstantial evidence into something that would "hold up in  
83 court," he simply could not deliver.

84 This he knew early on! I'm talking about the stuff that Mueller has  
85 admitted. He knew early on that there was no way to prove  
86 collusion at a legal standard even approaching "beyond a  
87 reasonable doubt." He could not even make a smoking gun in a 3D  
88 printer that would take Trump out.

89 But he continued his investigation and his rationale was this — he  
90 needed to "conserve evidence." What does that mean? He knew he

91 did not have and could not even manufacture what any court  
92 would call "evidence." It's obvious what he meant. He meant he  
93 needed to assemble in one place all the circumstantial evidence he  
94 could gather and present a case that would make Trump look  
95 guilty, even if guilt could not be proved.

96 In other words, this was a "politically motivated *witch hunt*."  
97 Mueller knew early on that his investigation would not lead to any  
98 conclusion except the one he ended up arriving at — which is there  
99 is no PROOF of collusion, or of obstruction — however, there are a  
100 lot of things that sure LOOK like it. And he wanted to create a  
101 formal documentation of all the stuff that LOOKED like it.

102 Surely you see how dangerous this is. A prosecutor who knows he  
103 cannot find or even manufacture proof you committed a crime,  
104 sets out to do character assassination on you — uses the powers of  
105 government to create a PHONY DOJ "report" that creates the  
106 ILLUSTION of misconduct.

107 Mueller admits he pursued Donald Trump under a NEW RULE —  
108 the rule was *exoneration*. In other words, Mueller, on more than  
109 one occasion, justified his investigation by stating he was looking  
110 for evidence Trump did NOT COLLUDE with RUSSIA. This was a  
111 clever — but transparent — effort to set it up so that even though  
112 he knew he would be forced to admit there was no PROOF of  
113 collusion or Obstruction, he would also be able to say THERE IS  
114 NO PROOF HE DID NOT COLLUDE OR OBSTRUCT.

115 No exoneration? The Special Prosecutor operates under the same  
116 rules all jurisprudence does — the presumption of INNOCENCE.  
117 It is not his job to EXONERATE the President or anyone else.

118 For him to even make such a statement shows an impudent  
119 disregard for justice. It's an assault on the American ideal of  
120 INNOCENCE UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY.

121 It is insulting — Mueller is an INSULT to the American ideals of  
122 justice and judgment — but we should not be surprised. After all,  
123 this is the wicked and evil man that let four innocent men rot in  
124 Prison to protect the cover of a mobster — this is a man that will  
125 bend justice to his own will. And here he is doing it again!

126 Anyway, let's look briefly at the Dem wits best take on the Mueller  
127 testimony:

128 Virtually all of it comes from Adam Schiff's questioning. All Adam  
129 did was cull from the Mueller report what *The Intercept* (an online  
130 magazine that prides itself as being dedicated to "adversarial  
131 journalism" — published the Snowden leaks, exposed a scandal  
132 involving DuPont, an Obama's drone attacks—stuff like that)  
133 anyway, *The Intercept* described Schiff's questioning as "the clearest  
134 and most effective round of questioning," and I agree with that  
135 assessment, and I sort of agree with their characterization of it as  
136 "simply asking Mueller to affirm . . . the most damning conclusions  
137 in his report." Those conclusions are listed:

- 138 1. The Russian government perceived it would benefit from a  
139 Trump presidency and worked to secure that outcome.
- 140 2. The Trump campaign "expected it would benefit electorally from  
141 information stolen and released through Russian efforts."
- 142 3. That Trump tried to secretly profit from the campaign by  
143 securing Russian government help in a Moscow real-estate deal.
- 144 4. And that Trump lied about all of this.

145 Of course, this is certainly the line of questioning pursued by  
146 Schiff, and was, well sort of, affirmed by Mueller—the pitiful man  
147 had a difficult time remembering what he wrote in his report and  
148 had to be helped to remember — so, it's a total sham for him —  
149 preparing his defense to hide behind plausible deniability — but,  
150 GOD KNOWS!

151 Anyway —  
152 Fortunately, I have read Mueller's report, and these things were not  
153 CONCLUSIONS that were supported by ANY CONCRETE  
154 EVIDENCE.  
155 In other words, all Schiff did was get Mueller to affirm that these  
156 are his SUSPICIONS — unproved, uncorroborated SUSPICIONS.  
157 And, since I have the background story in front of me — and I  
158 know,  
159 1. Mueller knew going into this sham investigation, that there was  
160 no collusion.  
161 2. Mueller purposely shifted the focus of his investigation from  
162 finding evidence of collusion and obstruction to inventing  
163 evidence, and then,  
164 3. Mueller shifted the mission of his investigation from proving  
165 collusion or obstruction occurred to proving it DID NOT OCCUR  
166 4. Mueller is a shill for Hillary — and his entire purpose in  
167 conducting the investigation was to find a way to MAKE TRUMP  
168 LOOK GUILTY — and his report was the best he could come up  
169 with; which was not enough.  
170 You see, all of Schiff's questions only supported the SUSPICION  
171 the Mueller/Hillary team created about Trump — it established  
172 NOTHING beyond that.  
173 Mueller's report did not provide PROOF that the Russians  
174 colluded with Trump, or vice versa; it did not provide proof that  
175 Russia considered a Trump win beneficial to them — in fact, I say  
176 that is an outright LIE. There no universe in which anyone with a  
177 slither of sense could believe Putin preferred Trump to (Re-Set,  
178 never saw a Communist she did not love—Alinskite—Hillary).  
179 So there it is folks. The BEST the Dems can take from Mueller's  
180 testimony is that he really did try hard to make it LOOK LIKE

181 Trump colluded with Russia and obstructed justice — but, he was  
182 unable to pull it off.

183 The Dem wits lose big time. But, they will lie, lie, lie, lie — because  
184 they are of their father — the father of the LIE.

185 Hold on; I'll be right back.

186 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor  
187 at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern  
188 lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the  
189 enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our  
190 liberty.

191 Back in February of 2016, a couple of Sociologists of Religion put  
192 this together; it was after Trump won the Primary coming into the  
193 2016 election. This article has resurfaced and it's being talked about  
194 among some Christians and used by some to argue that a Christian  
195 cannot support Trump for President. That's why I'm going to  
196 address this — because in fact, every CHRISTIAN MUST  
197 SUPPORT DONALD TRUMP if they truly BELIEVE THE BIBLE.

198 I preached a message titled: Why Christians Can Support Trump  
199 Consistently With Their Christian Faith — it's posted online. Go to  
200 our church website — [santamarialighthouse.org](http://santamarialighthouse.org), click on the  
201 RESOURCES menu, scroll down to ISSACHAR REPORT. Open  
202 that page and you see it. It's a two parter, but it's worth the time  
203 you'll invest in listening — it provides a clear biblical argument.

204 Most of you know I was not a Trump fan at first. I had serious  
205 misgivings about a Trump Presidency, and I made those concerns  
206 known. His public persona, and antics, like publishing those, well,  
207 let's just say, very inappropriate pictures of his wife taken on one of  
208 his jets—some of the things he said about and to women—and, I  
209 don't need to bring out that laundry list right now—but what I  
210 knew about Trump bothered me.

211 However, I was fastidious in my research and so I rejected a whole  
212 lot of the hyped up allegations that were thrown at him during that  
213 time. I never bought the racist nonsense, for example! It's  
214 disgusting to me how some people will grab anything that  
215 reinforces their bias or that supports their agenda to defeat or hurt  
216 someone, careless about whether it's the truth.

217 So, at the same time I presented my own serious misgivings about  
218 Trump, I also defended him when it was clear he was being  
219 dishonestly accused.

220 That's because I always stay faithful to my agenda — and my  
221 AGENDA is the TRUTH!

222 I sincerely believed it was not possible to square up my Christian  
223 beliefs with support for then candidate Donald Trump.

224 During that time, I came across this article by the two sociologists  
225 of religion that laid out ten reasons you cannot be a Christian and  
226 support Donald Trump for President.

227 I read it then, and dismissed it. Even then I could see it was  
228 superficial and that it came from a liberal bias.

229 Today, I will explain what changed my mind about Trump and  
230 why I believe supporting Trump is not only consistent with  
231 Christian beliefs, but essential to the survival our liberties as  
232 Christians.

233 So, let's look at the Sociologist of Religion argument.

234 1. He lacks compassion. Compassion is clearly a Christian value  
235 and believers are obliged to show compassion. They used "Trump's  
236 many instances of inflammatory rhetoric against immigrants and  
237 Muslims." They lost me right there. He has never said anything  
238 negative against immigrants. His concern has always been with  
239 those crossing our border ILLEGALLY. That's not immigration,  
240 that's infiltration. So, they lost me right there; I knew better.



241 They brought up his "record of discriminatory housing practices."  
242 Again, FOUL! I studied that matter carefully, bogus! But what  
243 really showed me these guys were superficial and not worth any  
244 serious consideration was this — They began their evidence of his  
245 lack of compassion by saying, "On this point, we could cite Mr.  
246 Trump's many instances . . ." blah blah blah — and then, as if to get  
247 to the REAL EVIDENCE of their charge that he lacked  
248 compassion, they say, you know, "we could talk about this, and  
249 this, "But consider a recent AP-GfK poll showing that among  
250 Republicans, he is largely believed to lack compassion." Well, there  
251 you go! That's real proof — the Republican aristos did not like him.  
252 Really, these guys were the first to encourage me to look again at  
253 Trump. Seriously!

254 2. He appeals to fear and anger. They quote the Bible that says  
255 perfect love casts out fear and so on. And they quote the verse that  
256 warns against being angry with a brother — Matthew 5:22, but they  
257 take it totally out of context and distort its message. Jesus warned  
258 us about being angry with our brother *without cause*. The Bible  
259 says, "be ye angry, and sin not . . ." Once, the Bible says, Jesus  
260 "looked on them with anger . . ." The distortion of Christianity by  
261 liberal Christians never ceases to gall me! According to these  
262 "sociologists of religion" — present their case in such a way it's  
263 clear that if a Christian says anything about the TRUTH of what's  
264 going on, say in the area of immigration policies and economic  
265 policies, he is being hateful and fear-mongering. That is nonsense.  
266 It's exactly what is WRONG with *Christianity Today*.

267 3. He is enamored with "greatness" and ego, but has no concern for  
268 "goodness" or service. There is little doubt that then candidate and  
269 now President Trump has a huge ego, and is indeed "enamored  
270 with greatness" — but to say he has "no concern for 'goodness' or  
271 service . . ." is presumptuous and, well, very ugly behavior for any  
272 Christian, but especially repugnant coming from such an arrogant

273 assumption of knowledge about the heart of another person. These  
274 guys did not do their homework, like I did — and discover that  
275 actually, Trump did a lot of good things for people and for his  
276 community. By all accounts, he treated his employees fairly and  
277 well; often was generous to people in need. As we lately learned,  
278 once he ordered his driver to stop the car; he leaped out and ran to  
279 the assistance of someone being mugged and stopped the mugging.

280 Whether Trump's passion for winning is pride, or a distorted  
281 version of "whatsoever your hand findeth to do, do it with all your  
282 might" — is for God to judge. I've played enough sports to know  
283 the importance that you go onto the field to WIN. Besides, I did  
284 not have concerns about Trump because he was not as much like  
285 Jesus as I would like for him to be — since I am not as much like  
286 Jesus as I would like to be — and these guys seem to be nit-picking  
287 which I find to be prideful, and arrogant, and lacking in humility  
288 and even something more basic, honesty.

289 They did not know Trump had no concern for goodness, for  
290 goodness sake.

291 As for his concern for goodness — this President has done more to  
292 protect the life of the unborn than any before him, he has stood up  
293 for the religious rights and rights of conscience like no other before  
294 him, and has repeatedly demonstrated a keen sense of right from  
295 wrong and a deep concern for doing what is right, and openly  
296 rebuking people who behave badly.

297 As for being enamored for greatness — I thank God that Trump  
298 desires to make America GREAT AGAIN — that he would pursue  
299 policies that will make this nation *the head* and not *the tail*. That's  
300 not pride — Obama was not being *humble* when he went all over  
301 the world "apologizing for America." That was a disgusting display  
302 of prideful arrogance — how dare he take the office of President to  
303 humiliate, dishonestly, by the way, the only nation on earth whose  
304 motto is "In God We Trust."

305 4. He lies — a lot. And so they quote a book called Wisdom, which  
306 is not regarded by Evangelical Christians as inspired — though  
307 these guys are affiliated with Baylor — but that's no surprise,  
308 Baylor left any pretense of being biblical long ago — back in the  
309 days of J. Frank Norris who took them to task for their penchant  
310 for embracing evolution, and other modernist notions. But that's  
311 another matter.

312 These guys jump on every misstatement as if it were a LIE — and  
313 so, by that standard, I could, I mean literally, I could pick this  
314 article apart and set before you an array of untruthful statements  
315 and call both of these self-styled sociologists of religion flat out  
316 liars. But that would, actually, not be true. Why? Because they are  
317 not intentionally setting out to deceive — they believe their stupid  
318 nonsense. The article is full of inaccuracies and erroneous  
319 statements, but only God knows whether they are intentionally  
320 crafting lies in order to deceive. Maybe they are! But I can't know  
321 that. And they do not present any evidence that proves Trump's  
322 misstatements were intended to deceive.

323 With regard to Trump — he has been the most honest President  
324 we've had since Abe Lincoln earned the epithet of Honest Abe.

325 5. He is hostile to women. Now, on this point, at that time, I would  
326 have had sympathy with this sentiment about Trump. But even  
327 then, I knew the word *hostile* was way over the top. His reputation  
328 made it clear he liked women. And I don't mean only in a sexual  
329 sense — he was way ahead of others in his business promoting  
330 women in his companies — and was generally considered  
331 respectful to them — but he did say some things about some  
332 women that I did not like. It should be said that he has not behaved  
333 in an unseemly manner in this regard since he met up with the  
334 likes of Pence and company and it appears his manners have been  
335 greatly influenced by the new company he keeps — there are  
336 rumors that he has been converted; that he is, however, not like

337 Clinton, Trump is unwilling to grab the coffee table Bible and tuck  
338 it under his arm and take advantage of photo-op moments to show  
339 everyone what a good, repentant sinner he is — he is rather more  
340 like George Washington than Billy, for Washington's motto was,  
341 *actions, not words*, and who, when he desired to be baptized by the  
342 Baptist pastor John Gano, he expressly instructed it be done  
343 without fanfare or public spectacle. And although Washington  
344 made many public statements in favor of Christianity, anyone  
345 reading the account of them can readily see it was never politically  
346 motivated.

347 So, okay, I don't approve of a man calling a woman a dog, a slob, or  
348 a disgusting animal — but I do know that the context in which he  
349 made these remarks greatly mitigates the semblance of viciousness  
350 created by those who intentionally take them out of context. I also  
351 know that he has changed in this regard. You have not heard him  
352 make such statements since he has become a public servant.

353 6. He speaks about his daughter in a disrespectful and sexualized  
354 way. Well, this is simply, let's just say it's as close to being evidence  
355 that these sociologists of religion are liars as anything else in this  
356 article. This is based on Trump's interview with a reporter from  
357 Rolling Stone. He was asked about his daughter, and Trump said,  
358 "I've said that if Ivanka weren't my daughter, perhaps I'd be dating  
359 her." Then these two "Christians" — and I put that in quotes here,  
360 because this was particularly disturbing to me, they follow this up  
361 with "For a self-identified Presbyterian, such a statement is hard to  
362 square with Calvinist sexual ethics." Really? How so? Remember,  
363 their charge was that Trump spoke of his daughter in a  
364 "disrespectful sexualized way." Huh! Only a pervert would interpret  
365 Trump's statement as speaking of his daughter in a sexualized way  
366 — I guess these two are so assimilated into the world, they  
367 immediately hear *sex* when they hear the word *date*. The fact is,  
368 Trump paid his daughter a very high compliment and one that

369 every daughter needs as affirmation from her father. That she is of  
370 such beauty and value that she is the sort of woman her father  
371 would find attractive and desire to marry. Every daughter wants to  
372 know she is attractive to her father — and there is NOTHING in  
373 his statement that should be taken as having a sexual interest in his  
374 daughter. As far as Calvinist sexual ethics — did these  
375 nincompoops (and I use words purposely and with a full  
376 appreciation for their nuanced and technical meaning—) not hear  
377 him say, "if she were not my daughter"?

378 You see, this is the sort of thing I mean when I talk about people  
379 who are willing to twist reality into service to their agenda. It's  
380 wicked boys — shame on you Baylor boys!

381 7. He does not attempt to love his enemies, but instead cultivates  
382 antagonism. You know, I realize a lot of my perspective on Trump  
383 has been shaped by his performance as President. No one has done  
384 more for peace that this President has done. North Korea, his  
385 handling of China; the way he has handled Russia — and his very  
386 wise and discerning actions toward Israel — all of these things  
387 further the cause of peace. Obama, frankly, had us on the brink!  
388 And I think that was by design. But, that's for another time. We  
389 were being SOLD OUT by the Obama administration — but  
390 Trump has put America first — and America first is the BEST  
391 THING FOR FREEDOM IN THE WORLD.

392 These yahoos take Trump to task for his rhetoric against ISIS when  
393 running for President — his plain, frank, and clear statements  
394 about dealing with ISIS were indeed a shock to all the  
395 ARISTOCRATS on both sides of what they call the Aisle, and to  
396 the world — but look at what he has done. He was true to his word.  
397 He shut down ISIS — which threatened to take over the Middle  
398 East with an agenda to destroy America and Israel.

399 So, these guys were proved WRONG by his presidency — but what  
400 is important to understand is how WRONG they were before his

401 presidency. If "loving" our neighbor means going "soft" on groups  
402 like ISIS — then these morons have no clue what loving anybody  
403 means. GOD so loved the world He gave His only Son — and He is  
404 prepared to DESTROY EVERY LAST ONE OF THESE WICKED  
405 PEOPLE THAT REJECT HIM IN HELL FIRE. Jesus said, "as many  
406 as I love, I rebuke, and chasten . . ." you can't pretend you love  
407 your neighbor while you sit back and do nothing while they are  
408 being raped, pillaged, and tortured — the only right actions and  
409 attitudes toward ISIS are contempt, and JUDGMENT. And that's  
410 BIBLE, baby.

411 Government is specifically given the SWORD to EXECUTE  
412 vengeance against EVIL DOERS — government has absolutely no  
413 place in the reformation business — it's charged with the job of  
414 EXECUTING WRATH UPON DOERS OF EVIL —

415 I'm running out of time — so I'll have to abbreviate.

416 8. He does not model sacrifice or altruism. These guys are so  
417 foolish. I caught this back then, and it's so irritating to read  
418 through this again. I happen to now that Trump has given to  
419 people in need — so I knew that this was bogus. The liberals, every  
420 liberal that has been in the White House since Truman — Obama,  
421 his giving to charity was a joke — likewise the Clintons — dont'  
422 give this nonsense. Trump was way and beyond more generous to  
423 the needs of others than any of these characters. However, he does  
424 not boast about it! It is not something he brags about. I don't care  
425 for the casino business — I've spoken to that before — and I'll  
426 speak to it again — no time right now — but let's look at the  
427 record. Name one President you know about who declined to  
428 receive his Presidential salary, but who contributes to charities?  
429 Name one! These guys so totally missed Trump. So did I, by the  
430 way. But not like this.

431 9. He does not seem to care about the poor. Well, yes he does. He  
432 has done more to help the poor out of poverty than Clinton,  
433 Obama, or even FDR — combined!

434 And, finally, 10. His love of money is more apparent than his love  
435 of God or others. Well, I don't think he demonstrated any sort of  
436 "love for God" before he was President, at least none that I could  
437 find in the public record — but he has certainly shown a love for  
438 God in his Presidency. Whatever god he served before his  
439 Presidency, one thing is certain now, Trump is "on our side."

440 And Jesus said, "He that is not against us, is on our part."

441 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me  
442 know if you see them. It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE  
443 ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning,  
444 (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say  
445 LIGHTS OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and  
446 disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or  
447 lights off?

448 Email me by going to our web site at [branmassage.net](http://branmassage.net). That's  
449 [brainmassage.net](http://brainmassage.net). Find the CONTACT button and send me an  
450 email.

451 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.

452 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.