Brain Massage[®]: Show No. 732 20/20 Vision For The 2020 Election — It's About War!

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 06.22.19

- Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in
 Santa Maria, CA—and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.
- 3 20/20 for 2020 clarity on the issues facing us in the 2020 election.

4 It's about rights was explored in the last show. The left has turned earned privileges into 5 basic human rights and our inalienable rights into privileges granted by the State and 6 earned by submission to political correctness. Free speech, for example, is an inalienable 7 natural right, but today, you have free speech only so long as you are saying what the left 8 wants to hear. A house, an education, healthcare, food, transportation, and so on were 9 understood to be privileges earned by hard work but the left wants these things treated 10 like inalienable natural rights.

11 The left's modern idea of rights is leading our nation into slavery. The truth shall set us 12 free — get the truth about this. Go to our website, brainmassage.net and listen to the last 13 show.

14 [TRUTH]

15 Today, I'll massage the gray matter releasing brilliant insights into another 2020 issue that 16 we need to get some clarity on: it's about war. One side will appease our enemies, they will 17 virtually surrender our national sovereignty to the interests of our enemies, which will 18 invariably lead us into war; the other side will assert our national sovereignty and our 19 national interests, and stand against tyranny and for liberty which will invariably lead to 20 war. Wars and rumors of wars are inevitable — the choice we have is between a path of 21 surrender and appeasement which will finally invite an aggressor to move in and conquer 22 us; or the path of standing up for our rights, and honor our values, and fight if we have to 23 in order to protect our liberties.

- And once again, you'll see it comes down to the Spirit of Jesus Christ versus the spirit of antichrist Let's try to talk about this in the context of current events.
- First, Iran shot down a US Drone they claim was in their airspace and we claim was in
 International air space. Pompey, et al, wanted Trump to strike back, and a force was
 deployed to attack, I think it was three, targeted Iranian missile installations but
 suddenly Trump reversed his decision to attack and called off the strike.
- 30 Of course, the left jumped on that squawking about indecisiveness, sending the wrong 31 message to Iran, and to others watching, like Russia, China, and N. Korea. Iran took 32 advantage of the abort order to say they threatened Trump with casualties and Trump 33 backed down.
- 34 Trump responded to questions: "In a series of tweets, [Trump] said, 'We were cocked & 35 loaded to retaliate last night on 3 different sights when I asked, how many will die. 150
- 36 people, sir, was the answer from a General. 10 minutes before the strike I stopped it, not

1

37 ... proportionate to shooting down an unmanned drone. I am in no hurry, our Military is 38 rebuilt, new, and ready to go, by far the best in the world. Sanctions are biting & more 39 added last night. Iran can NEVER have Nuclear Weapons, not against the USA, and not 40 against the WORLD!" It was not 150 American casualties; the casualty prediction was 41 that 150 people would die in the confrontation. I did not see the casualty prediction, but 42 my guess is it was likely 95% of the casualties would have been Iranians. Trump did not 43 believe that many human lives should be given up for revenge over the loss of one 44 unmanned drone.

He is right. 150 casualties is disproportionate to the shooting down of one unmanned
drone. It's just that it seems to me the casualty prediction would have been presented with
the attack plan. Surely the President would be told about the predicted loss of lives before
he was expected to sign off on an attack. I don't know! Maybe it was an afterthought —
but I'm glad he is willing to back off a bad plan.

50 What is the message here? I think the message is very clear. We are going to respond to 51 this. We are not going to kill 150 people to get revenge for the loss of one unmanned 52 drone. But we will go to war to stop Iran from getting the nuclear bomb. You see; the big 53 test is coming up on the 27th — that's when Iran will show its hand. She will either decide 54 to go forward with uranium enrichment or she will fold her hand. We will wait to see 55 what Trump will do if Iran decides to play her hand. Is Trump bluffing when he says Iran 56 will not get nukes? Iran will play a very dangerous game if she thinks so.

57 Besides, America is not the only player at the table—Israel has a hand in this as well. She 58 has attacked Iran's nuclear plants before.

Meanwhile, Iran is blaming America for the strikes against the oil tankers — and the left in the US is helping them with conspiracy mongering about Cheney advising the war department to create Iranian lookalike ships that can be used to stage an event that would provoke Americans to support a war against Iran. In other words, these folks believe the US used such lookalike ships to attack those tankers, and took pictures to implicate Iran.

64 The thought is that Trump is trying to find an excuse to get into a war with Iran and even 65 create an excuse if necessary —. Actually, Trump's actions belie the accusation.

66 The attack on the tankers was obviously an effort to make a point. It was done very 67 carefully so as not to sink the ship or cause an oil spill — it was a shot over the bow! Proof 68 that Iran did it is a fuzzy picture of what looks like an Iranian vessel and men removing 69 an undetonated mine from the hull of an oil tanker. Not sure how that proves Iran mined 70 the tankers that were recently damaged. However, independent experts looking at this 71 have also concluded it was *most likely* Iran what did it!

72 But *most likely* isn't enough to act on.

Iran thinks Trump does not have support for his policies regarding Iran — they think he
 is weak, and does not have popular support for a war. Where would they get such an idea?

2

Kerry has been meeting with Iranian officials regularly since the election and
undercutting Trump's foreign policy strategies — which is a clear violation of the Logan
Act and amounts to Treason with an enemy State.

However, remember when Tom Cotton led 46, I think it was, Republicans to directly engage Iranian officials during Obama's effort to negotiate the now infamous Iran Deal? We agreed with the Congressman's sentiments, but perhaps the effort should have been directed at convincing Americans to oppose the deal and not to directly engage Iran in the metter?

- 82 the matter?
- 83 But also remember Ted Kennedy secretly contacted Russian spies to engage them in a
- 84 conspiracy to stop Ronald Reagan from being reelected. "Sen. Edward "Ted" Kennedy
- had "selfish political and ideological motives" when he made secret overtures to the Soviet
- 86 Union's spy agency during the Cold War to thwart then-President Ronald Reagan's re-87 election, a Reagan biographer said in an interview with The Daily Signal."¹
- Now, when you compare what Cotton did to what Kennedy did well, there is no comparison. This is also true when you compare what Cotton did with what Kerry, Schumer, and other Democrats are doing today to interfere with Trump's foreign affairs
- 91 policies.
- 92 Some of you might not remember what Cotton did, so let's take a look, shall we?

93 He wrote a letter that was signed by 46 Republican representatives and sent to Iran's 94 leadership. It was an "open letter" which means it was not addressed to any particular 95 person in Iran, but intended for any leader in Iran it may concern. The context was 96 Obama's ongoing negotiation with Iran to strike a deal that would result in Iran not 97 moving forward with uranium enrichment allowing them to get the nuclear bomb.

98 At least that is what we were told. Hold on! (It turns out that it's all about protecting the 99 lucrative drug trade that funds Hezbollah, the terrorist arm of the Iranian government 100 allowing them to continue to advance Iran's agenda to conquer and control all the 101 resources of the Middle East.)

102 But that's another show. Here are the pertinent excerpts from Cotton's open letter:

103 "It has come to our attention while observing your nuclear negotiations with our government that you may not fully understand our constitutional system. Thus, we are writing to bring to your attention two features of our Constitution—...

106 "First, ... while the president negotiates international agreements, Congress plays the
107 significant role of ratifying them. In the case of a treaty, the Senate must ratify it by a
108 two-thirds vote. ... Anything not approved by Congress is a mere executive agreement.

"Second, ... the president may serve only two 4-year terms, whereas senators may serve an unlimited number of 6-year terms. ... for instance, President Obama will leave office in January 2017, while most of us will remain in office well beyond then—perhaps decades.

¹ https://www.dailysignal.com/2016/12/14/ted-kennedy-made-secret-overtures-to-russia-to-prevent-ronald-reagans-re-election/

- "... we will consider any agreement regarding your nuclear-weapons program that is not approved by the Congress as nothing more than an executive agreement between President Obama and Ayatollah Khamenei. The next president could revoke such an executive agreement with the stroke of a pen and future Congresses could modify the terms of the agreement at any time.
- 118 "We hope this letter enriches your knowledge of our constitutional system and promotes 119 mutual understanding and clarity as nuclear negotiations progress."
- 120 The very thin veil of we are merely educating Iran on our system, notwithstanding, the
- only thing Cotton could have hoped to accomplish with this was to discourage the
 Iranians from dealing with Obama. In other words, he was undermining Obama's foreign
 policy efforts. Which policies were, in my opinion, undermining the interests of the
 United States and actually giving Iran a cover to continue their pursuit of enriched
 uranium.
- But when you look at what Ted Kennedy did when he engaged Russia in a conspiracy to interfere with Reagan's re-election, and what Obama and Hillary did using Russia to interfere in the Trump election — you are talking about something far more egregious than violating the Logan Act — which is serious enough! But we are talking about treason — we are talking about engaging America's enemies in a conspiracy to undermine one of
- 131 the most sacred features of our Republic the VOTE of the American people.
- 132 So, what this points is that the polarization within our government is complete.
- 133 Two opposing worldviews are colliding right now. America is going to have to decide134 between them.
- 135 I'll examine these colliding worldviews on the other side of the break.
- Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse,
 your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church
 belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
 liberty.
- What has us in this mess with Iran in the first place? The Obama Administration was
 ostensibly eager to get an "arms deal with Iran." Iran was ostensibly eager to get weapons
 grade uranium to make a bomb they could use to wipe Israel off the map. Behind the
 scenes, however, something else was at work.
- 144 In 08, the year Obama moved into the White House, our law enforcement agencies were
- 145 launching Project Cassandra.² During Obama's administration, "agents working out of a
- 146 top-secret DEA facility in Chantilly, Virginia, used wiretaps, undercover operations and
- 147 informants to map Hezbollah's illicit networks, with the help of 30 U.S. and foreign
- 148 security agencies."

² https://www.politico.com/interactives/2017/obama-hezbollah-drug-trafficking-investigation/

- Investigators believed Iran's Hezbollah was raking in over a billion dollars every year in
 cocaine and other drugs, weapons trafficking, money laundering, to name a few of their
 operations conducted on an international scale.
- However, as the US investigation began to reach into the highest levels of Hezbollah and connections began to be made that reached into the Iranian government, Obama began to kick back against the investigations, ostensibly because it would disrupt his efforts to make a deal with Iran. In other words, Iran would not "deal" with Obama unless Obama looked the other way, and reined in the investigation that threatened to disrupt their billion dollar criminal operations conducted through Hezbollah.
- Brennan, remember, wanted to bolster the moderate elements of Hezbollah but the dirty secret is this. The so-called "moderate elements" of Hezbollah were the ones content to live high on the billion dollar criminal enterprise of the organization and so here was the deal. Obama, call off the dogs on our Hezbollah operations and we'll create a window dressing deal you can brag about back home.
- What Cotton did not understand is that Iran did not care about whether Obama's deal would hold — they only cared about protecting their racket — and now that Trump is in town, the only thing they care about is how the sanctions are hurting them.
- 166 The difference is that Trump won't play the "moderate Hezbollah" game with them and 167 they know it.
- So Obama made a very bad deal with Iran, and trump came along, and did exactly what Iran knew would be done, and pulled us out of it — now Iran is trying to play tough but Trump is not going to be bought off with a fake deal — Trump wants a substantive agreement, one that can be verified. Remember, Obama's deal did not even allow American verification that Iran was in compliance — nonsense! Trump won't fall for that sort of nonsense.
- In other words, effectively, Obama surrendered to Iran. Obama Islamed he conceded
 everything to Iran and walked away with nothing but an unverifiable and virtually empty
 promise not to go nuclear. In other words, Obama was working FOR IRAN AGAINST
 the US.
- His policies literally positioned America in a posture of surrender to Iran. Obama's
 policies put us on a path of indirect conflict with Iran a conflict in which Iran was
 winning and America was losing ground, steadily, slowly. It was a path of slow
 capitulation to our enemies.
- His policies progressively weakened our military, and gave our enemies plenty of time togain strength. It was a path of surrender.
- 184 Trump got us out of that deal. His policies serve the national interest of our country —
- 185 his policies put America first, and understandably these policies are leading us into direct
- 186 conflict with our enemies. We came out into open conflict with N. Korea, and with
- 187 China, and with Russia, and with Iran before it was covert conflict where our

- 188 leaders were covertly surrendering our national interests to the so-called "global community." With Trump it's overt conflict it's in the open and it's honest!
- By the way, this one world agenda was being pushed from the Demoncrats and the
 GOPers too. Yeah! The left and the so-called right the Modern Liberals and the
 modern CON-servatives.
- 193 We were being betrayed by both sides.
- 194 That's why both sides hate Trump. That's why globalists like Jeb Bush hate Trump, and 195 the rest of the Aristos hate Trump.
- 196 There are important distinctions to be made between the Demoncrat push to concede 197 national sovereignty to the "global community" and the GOPers push for the same agenda 198 — but that is something we will explore another time.
- 199 However, it's Trump against the Globalists it's Trump against the one-worlders.
- 200 One side wants to surrender our national sovereignty to the world community, the other 201 side wants to protect our national sovereignty.
- 202 No one running against Trump is a friend to our national sovereignty, or for putting
 203 America and the interests of American's first it's clear.
- 204 20/20 for 2020 our vote will be for Make America Great, which means PUT AMERICA
 205 FIRST or TAKE AMERICA DOWN —
- Now, let me conclude by showing how this is a vote between the spirit of antichrist and the SPIRIT OF JESUS CHRIST.
- As you know, the spirit of Antichrist rejects the truth that JESUS CHRIST HAS COME IN THE FLESH.
- And the significance of this, and the reason the spirit of antichrist hates this truth, is that
 JESUS COME IN THE FLESH means that the PROMISED RULER OF THE WORLD has
 come in the flesh into the world and made HIS CLAIM on it and on all mankind.
- 213 Jesus is the king and all men are His subjects NOW, TODAY!
- The prophecies of the Antichrist is that he will bring the world together into a one world government and rule the earth with antipathy toward Christ—that is, with animosity toward Christians.
- His spirit is expressed in the prophecy of the Psalm where the Spirit predicts: "Why do the heathen rage and the people imagine a vain thing? The kings of the earth set themselves and the rulers take counsel together against the LORD and against His anointed saying let us break their bands as under and cast away their cords from us" (Psalm 2). That's the spirit of the antichrist.
- The left today is of this spirit. They do not want CHRISTIANS having political power, and they want to subordinate American sovereignty to the power of a one-world government.

On the other side of the ballot we have a different spirit. A Spirit that confesses Jesus is
LORD and honors IN GOD WE TRUST, and ONE NATION UNDER GOD, and the
Bible and the American Flag — our traditions as a Christian nation.

The election in 2020 is a vote between one nation under God, holding the dominion under CHRIST or the subordination of our nation to a one world government that is NOT UNDER GOD but under a man. A choice between individual liberties and inalienable rights and freedom or government granted rights, tyranny, and slavery.

- 232 It is a vote between the spirit of antichrist and the Spirit of JESUS CHRIST.
- 233 Please hear me. It's about war!

The left today will say they are working to avoid war — but their path is surrender for peace. This will lead to war because inevitably, when we are weak enough, and our enemies have been allowed to become strong enough, they will walk in and take over but Americans will not simply give up their liberties simply because their leaders did. They will fight in the streets and it will be an awful and bloody war!

The right today is ready to strengthen our military and be ready for war and willing to engage our enemies if necessary. They will follow the path of RESISTANCE against tyranny, against oppression, and this path may very well lead to war —

There are going to be wars and rumors of wars — we have before us two paths. One of appeasement and surrender under the delusion that is the path that leads to peace.

Or the path of resistance against tyranny, oppression and oppressors and defense of our
 liberties — PREPARED FOR WAR and ready to engage if necessary to protect our
 liberties.

247 Which one of these will you vote for in 2020?

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them. It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning, (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say LIGHTS OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or lights off?

Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find theCONTACT button and send me an email.

- 255 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.
- 256 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.