

Brain Massage: Show No. 725 Setting The Bar For Barr / Abortion Victories & The Battle For Our Children — The Evil Of CA Sex-Ed

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 04.04.19

1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in
2 Santa Maria, CA—and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

3 [TRUTH]

4 A quick update on the effort to investigate Hillary's involvement in Russian Collusion:
5 I have time to look at one snippet of several available.

6 Bruce Ohr was a senior DOJ official during the Obama admin. His wife, Nellie Ohr, a
7 Harvard-Stanford educated Russian Historian, and a devoted Hillary supporter, worked
8 for Fusion GPS during the election — infamous for its involvement in the proven false
9 *Trump Dossier* that turns out to have been fabricated opposition research paid for by the
10 Clinton campaign. Emails from Nellie to Bruce under the subject line "Hi Honey" were
11 released to Judicial Watch under a FOIA request that reveal she was feeding Clinton
12 campaign opposition research on Trump to her high level husband at the DOJ. Hmm.
13 An employee of the firm Hillary hired to do opposition research trying to dig up dirt on
14 Trump feeds that information to the Department of Justice through her husband who
15 works in the department.

16 Turns out Fusion GPS depended on Nellie Ohr's "expertise on the Kremlin" to investigate
17 Trump's ties to the Russian government — hired to find dirt on Trump and then feeding
18 that to the DOJ through her husband — and Bruce knowing this violated the rules,
19 cooperated in this —

20 Interesting! Ohr is the one who spilled the beans, as they say, when he revealed he
21 informed "both senior FBI and DOJ officials in summer 2016 about Christopher Steele's
22 Russia Dossier, explicitly cautioning that the British intelligence operative's work was
23 opposition research connected to Hillary Clinton's campaign and might be biased."¹

24 Now, here is what is interesting! hehe! The people Ohr told about the connection between
25 then candidate Hillary Clinton and the dossier included the deputy director of the FBI, a
26 top-lawyer for then Attorney General Loretta Lynch, and a justice official who would later
27 become the "top deputy to special counsel Robert Mueller." So, don't tell me Mueller did
28 not know the Dossier was connected to Hillary Clinton's campaign — and was phony
29 from the beginning — so it's like I said from the beginning — back when Mueller was first
30 appointed to begin his investigation — he knew then, he knew all along that there was no
31 Russian collusion, he knew Hillary bought the Dossier, and he knew it was phony.

32 Furthermore, now we have reason to believe Russian government operatives fed the
33 information in the Dossier to Steele — and we are coming around, full circle to what
34 many of us saw right from the start.

¹ <https://thehill.com/opinion/white-house/425739-fisa-shocker-doj-official-warned-steele-dossier-was-connected-to-clinton>

35 There was Russian collusion all right — it was Russian collusion with the Hillary
36 Campaign to stop Trump from being elected.

37 People misunderstand guys like Putin — I don't doubt for one moment that he personally
38 admires Trump and respects him while he despises Obama and Hillary and has nothing
39 but disgust for both of them. But there is NO WAY Putin wanted Trump in the White
40 House or wanted to hinder one of Russia's longstanding partners — remember Uranium
41 One — need I say more? There is no way Putin prefers Trump to Hillary in the White
42 House — whatever there is of collusion with Russia it will be found between Russian and
43 Hillary apparatchiks.

44 Also, we now know Adam Schiff is a liar — but of course, that's not a surprise — like
45 *father* like son.

46 What's that? No! I never met Mr. Schiff's sire — I mean that father of all liars — in fact,
47 the father of the lie [DEVIL SNARLS].

48 Schiff claimed that Ohr's contacts with the FBI began "weeks after the election and more
49 than a month after the Court approved the initial FISA application."² But Ohr says, not
50 so! He began warning the FBI and DOJ before the FISA warrant, and before the election
51 occurred.

52 We know that Ohr had warned the FBI and the DOJ about the source of the Dossier — he
53 warned them that Steele expressed intense opposition to Trump, that he was "desperate"
54 to stop Trump from becoming President.

55 So those using the Dossier to obtain a FISA warrant to obtain authorization to target an
56 American citizen for use of covert surveillance — uh, SPYING — knew the Dossier was
57 tainted at best, and possibly down right fraudulent. They knew this, but, when we got a
58 look at the redacted FISA application, there is no mention of any of this.

59 Now, these sorts of things are clear evidence of lying on the part of those in the FBI and
60 DOJ who were colluding with Hillary and Obama against Trump's candidacy for
61 President; and then, after he was elected, their collusion to cover it up —

62 What you see going on with Barr right now is an ongoing implementation of their
63 strategy: keep attacking in order to force the other side to stay on defense. This way they
64 can hope either to wear Barr out so he gives up and moves on, or keep him so distracted
65 he cannot proceed efficiently giving them a chance to rig enough elections to take over
66 the Senate and impeach Trump. They got a potential 10,000 more votes with the recent
67 release of many fake Asylum seekers into our country. We are watching a coup!

68 What do the Dems have to attack Barr? Have you heard them calling him a liar? Yeah!
69 The are putting it out there that Barr lied — would you like to know what they are
70 referring to when they say Barr lied? Okay.

71 Barr said he and Mueller were on the same page regarding his conclusions given in what
72 we are calling the Mueller Report.

² Ibid.

73 Furthermore, he said he was not aware of any statement from Mueller that contradicted
74 his, that is Barr's, conclusions on the report.

75 A letter surfaced — leaked by Mueller or his representatives, no doubt — in which
76 Mueller expressed concern that Barr's four page summary of Mueller's report did not
77 "fully capture the context, nature, and substance" of Mueller's
78 report.

79 Now it's being put out that Barr lied — that he purposely
80 attempted to mislead the Congress when he expressed his opinion
81 that Mueller and he were on the "same page" with regard to his
82 conclusions about Mueller's report.

83 Did Barr lie?

84 Earlier I pointed out some things I called lies — let's review them
85 briefly.

86 Mueller, Comey, and we should throw the Obamster in there, these
87 men claimed they actually believed the Russian collusion narrative
88 was true, and that in good faith they were investigating these
89 serious allegations. The collusion theory was supported almost
90 entirely on what we know is a fake dossier that we have reason to
91 believe was fed to Christopher Steele, an ex British Spy assigned to
92 covert operations in Russia — this dossier was used to obtain FISA
93 warrants to *spy* on Trump's campaign. What we know now is that
94 the dossier was purchased by Hillary through a firm she hired to do
95 opposition research on Trump, called Fusion GPS, who obtained
96 the dossier from Steele, and who planted it in the DOJ through an
97 employee whose husband worked in the department. Her name is
98 Nellie Ohr and his name is Bruce Ohr.

99 Bruce Ohr testified that he was upfront with the FBI and the DOJ
100 about the dossier and its source. He testified that he warned them it
101 could be biased—but this information was not included in the
102 FISA warrant application — so we may presume the judge did not
103 have the benefit of this information.

104 Schiff tried to dismiss Ohr's testimony by saying his involvement
105 came after the election and after the warrants were issued. Ohr
106 contradicts him — in fact, the original testimony of Ohr
107 contradicts Schiff's claims — Schiff either did not bother to look at
108 Ohr's testimony, or he simply made this up in order to dismiss
109 Ohr's damning testimony.

110 Mueller did not include any of this in his report. He did not bring
111 out that Ohr had informed the FBI and the DOJ of Steele's intense
112 dislike of Trump or of his suspicions that the dossier could be
113 tainted; he does not mention Fusion GPS — or that Hillary
114 purchased the dossier —

115 What? You say someone is calling me a liar now; okay, why?
116 Because Ohr is mentioned in the Mueller Report? Where — oh,
117 that's right. I remember reading that — it's footnote number 774,
118 on page, what was that, right, p. 111 and it's a quotation of one of
119 Trump's tweets — hahahaha! You see; that's how it works these
120 days. Okay, I did not lie! I reported that Mueller did not bring out
121 that Ohr had informed the FBI and DOJ in advance of the
122 suspicious nature of the Dossier — so someone finds a quotation of
123 one of Trump's tweets in the Mueller report that mentions Ohr,
124 which makes no reference to Ohr's damning testimony —

125 So Barr expresses his opinion that he and Mueller see eye to eye.
126 Eye to eye on what? What was the context of that statement? Barr
127 expressed his opinion that he and Mueller agree that there is no
128 evidence of collusion between Trump and Russia, and that as far as
129 obstruction is concerned, as Barr was careful to clarify, Mueller
130 obviously did not think there was sufficient evidence to charge but
131 felt it was inconclusive and left it for Barr to decide.

132 Barr looked over the report and agreed — the evidence is
133 *inconclusive*. This supports the opinion that Barr and Mueller see
134 eye to eye regarding their conclusions — Mueller's letter
135 responding to Barr's summary assessment does not contradict this.

136 Mueller expressed concern that in his opinion Barr's summary did
137 not "fully capture the context, nature, and substance" of Mueller's
138 report.

139 I've read enough of the report to justify me making the statement
140 that I agree with Mueller's representation of Barr's four page
141 summary. It certainly did not "fully capture the context, nature,
142 and substance" of his report.

143 Barr, very appropriately, dismissed all of Mueller's efforts to build a
144 case out of no evidence — he ignored the obvious efforts by
145 Mueller to paint Trump as obstructing justice when, as is
146 evidenced by Mueller's refusal to commit himself and recommend
147 charges, there was insufficient evidence supporting those charges.
148 In fact, when you read the report, it's embarrassing that Mueller
149 spent all this time and money because it's obvious each of the
150 anecdotes Mueller uses to justify suspicion of Trump is entirely a
151 matter of perspective. If you hate Trump and are convinced he
152 obstructed justice, you can turn each story Mueller cited into
153 support for that suspicion. If you support Trump, it's just as easy to
154 see these stories as nothing more than Trump being Trump — with
155 no intent to obstruct justice, but with every intention to see that
156 justice was honestly sought and served.

157 But this is irrelevant when it comes to LAW! I know enough about
158 evidence to tell you there is nothing in what Mueller reported that
159 could stand in court as evidence of obstruction.

160 Barr has said repeatedly, there is no evidence in the report that he
161 believed could be defended in a court of law — it's like the
162 exchange I watched recently between Barr and Feinstein. Feinstein
163 laid out what to the laymen might have looked like a "gotcha" —
164 Trump instructed McCabe to fire Mueller, then tried to get
165 McCabe to change his testimony — then Barr comes back with,
166 well, in point of fact, Trump instructed McCabe to inform
167 Rosenstein that there was a conflict of interest concern with

168 Mueller. That, as a matter of LAW, the President has the power to
169 fire the special prosecutor if he elected to do so. That could not be
170 obstruction of justice — if he fired Mueller for conflict of interest
171 and someone else took his place — and the bottom line is there is
172 no way to prove intent to obstruct justice. In fact, in balance, all
173 Trump's actions were an obvious cry for JUSTICE to be served.
174 Barr made the point that this would be arguable in court and an
175 effort to prove Trump acted with malicious intent to obstruct
176 justice would be vain.

177 Apparently, that's what Mueller thought too — so instead of
178 inflame the ire of all his fellow Trump haters, he dropped it into
179 Barr's hands to make the call. That way, when the right thing was
180 done, Mueller would not have to take the heat.

181 Furthermore, Mueller crafted this report intentionally to stir as
182 much suspicion against Trump as possible — it's too bad, I think
183 Trump should have his say in all of this. He should have his
184 lawyers go through the Mueller Report and dismantle it piece by
185 piece and create an answer to the Mueller Report. But, that might
186 be a distraction.

187 So, who are the liars here? Washington Post puts out a list of 10K lies Trump told, and
188 after you dismiss the occasions of misstatements, or inadvertent errors, you know, stuff
189 like 57 states, or the misspelling of tomato, or was that potato (why do we want to put an
190 e on the end of those words?), you know, did saying he visited all 57 states during his
191 campaign make Obama a liar? Once you dismiss all that sort of nonsense, you come to
192 ZERO lies — zero times Trump said something purposely in order to deceive someone
193 into believing something he knew was not true — but in the list, we find 2,500 occasions
194 when the Washington Post LIED — yep! Flat out LIED — or did they simply fail to get
195 their facts straight before they released their list?

196 Okay, the next thing is TRUMP IS A LIAR! They tried TRUMP IS A RUSSIAN SPY and
197 TRAITOR, they've tried TRUMP IS A RACIST, none of these have worked, so now they
198 are going to try TRUMP IS A LIAR. Anyway, I've gone over my time for this segment.
199 Hold on through the break; I'll be right back.

200 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse,
201 your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church
202 belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
203 liberty.

204 Some very exciting things are developing on the abortion front in our war to protect the
205 sanctity of life, to defend the most helpless. Alabama has passed a law making abortion an
206 act of murder unless the mother's life is in danger. The intent is to push this to the
207 Supreme Court hoping the court will revisit Roe. Wow! PRAY!

208 Those of you who participated in our spiritual warfare prayer assault teams — remember
209 how we prayed for this very thing. But we must not think Satan will not resist. The enemy
210 against life is rising up more determined than ever to spill the innocent blood —

211 You probably heard about the pro-life display on the University of Texas-San Antonio
212 campus — rows and rows of red crosses, each memorializing an aborted child. It's called
213 the "Cemetery of the Innocents." A group of students represented a group called
214 "Students United For Planned Parenthood" decided to conduct a counter-protest —
215 holding placards that declared "I Stand With Planned Parenthood" — so you are getting
216 this, right? Planned Parenthood is essentially about one thing, and that one thing is
217 abortion, and supporting abortion rights. So these kids waving their placards are mocking
218 abortion — one sang "Stop, hey, hey, what's that sound, all the fetuses are in the ground"
219 dancing mockingly — a couple of them bragged about having abortions — and shouted
220 they were proud of their abortion. One kid pointed to a red cross and said, "that's mine
221 right there." Another said getting her abortion is her biggest "kink." I understand the
222 word *kink* is used today to speak of something unconventional usually in the way of
223 bizarre sexual behavior. But I'm not sure how it relates here — unless she means having
224 an abortion is a flaw in her character that hinders the correct function of her conscience
225 —

226 Anyway, we organized spiritual assault teams that concentrated fervent and effectual
227 prayer with times for fasting and prayer against the strongholds Satan has established in
228 this country protecting this modern holocaust — specifically that the truth about abortion
229 would become clear to everyone, that people would wake up from the lies that have been
230 used to keep this atrocity legal — and we are watching it happen today. Remember,
231 however, that we also said, often, it will remain to be seen how America will respond to
232 the TRUTH when it gets out there. These kids represent a significant number of young
233 people today — but not all of them, and not even most of them.

234 We are seeing similar things develop in the war to protect the traditional family as the
235 foundational nucleus of society — you know, the much maligned biblical model of family
236 popular back when Americans understood human gender at least as well as they
237 understood gender throughout creation — male and female.

238 The LGBTQ activists are pushing their agenda harder than ever. Talk about the "enemy"
239 coming in like a flood — California schools want to teach children as young as PRE-
240 KINDERGARTEN. I kid you not! The proposed California Health Framework (they
241 always bury their agenda in language like this — don't be fooled. It's a very old device.)
242 I'm taking this information from an article written by Brenda Lebsack, a 20-year veteran
243 educator and board member of the Orange Unified School District board of education.

244 In chapter 3 of the proposed law, at line 1847 the draft recommends for K-3rd grade
 245 children a book titled *Who Are You?* This is recommended as a “guide” to help them
 246 develop their gender identity.

247 Brenda writes: "This book introduces young children to the idea that gender is a
 248 spectrum. This means genders are unlimited and ever-expanding, rather than confined to
 249 two biological genders. In the book, gender is described as, “boy, girl, both, neither, trans,
 250 genderqueer, non-binary, gender fluid, transgender, gender neutral, agender, neutrois,
 251 bigender, third gender, two spirit...” Neutroids identify as no gender, or genderless.³ You
 252 can probably figure out the rest of them.

253 Of course, this is contrary to nature and to nature's GOD, it's counterintuitive to anyone
 254 that still has the ability to engage in reality — none of this is applied to the animal
 255 kingdom, only humans are so confused, so many of them, anyway, that they no longer are
 256 able to distinguish between male and female genders.

257 What is worse is that California liberals want to teach this in our public schools. We are
 258 already, what, what do we rank now nationally? I think we are 44th out of 50 States in
 259 education.

260 Almost at the very bottom — back when we understood gender and continued to support
 261 the traditional model of the family, California ranked number one in education — now
 262 we have sunk to 44th out of 50 states.

263 We have warned all along that the homosexual agenda would eventually destroy the
 264 family, and most often we were laughed at and dismissed as alarmist.

265 Brenda tells us "The draft includes controversial teaching about sexual relations, sexual
 266 orientation and gender." She points to [Chapter Five](#), Line 925, where it explains that some
 267 students may be "non-monogamous" — of course, meaning not inclined to connect to
 268 one partner for life. Therefore, the curriculum encourage teachers to use the term
 269 ‘partner(s)’ to be "more inclusive.” One LGBTQ resource explains that polyamorous
 270 sexual orientation (many partners) is "defined as “the practice of, desire to, or orientation
 271 toward having consensual non-monogamous relationships (i.e. relationships that may
 272 include multiple partners).”

273 Do you see what is happening here? — They have created a *sexual orientation* called
 274 *polyamorous*. They have given it a technical, almost scientific sounding definition — this
 275 is what they have done to other *sexual orientations* and then used this to say we cannot
 276 "teach" children that these things are WRONG behaviors. We cannot TEACH our
 277 children what we believe about these things. In Canada they recently passed a law that
 278 allows the government to take children from the homes of parents who refuse to accept
 279 their child's perceived gender identity.⁴ — You see! It begins as something we are going to
 280 teach them at school and moves to something you cannot contradict at home.

³ <http://neutrois.com/what-is-neutrois/>

⁴ <https://www.christianpost.com/news/ontario-passes-law-government-seize-children-parents-oppose-gender-transition-186332/?fbclid=IwAR2yVzW3ZijohAag6XTZ5HXg4RQ5YyGiXidJX1hSJP-JXEDShBSu1hnixeY>

281 Teaching children this nonsense undermines the foundation of family — the integrity of
282 mother and father bringing their children up in the nurture and admonition of the
283 LORD.

284 Obviously, in the end, it is the children that suffer most from these things —

285 They are making things identified in the Bible as *sin* into human rights issues, then
286 making it out that we are opposed to their *rights* because we disagree with their ideas
287 about human sexuality — and before long they are making laws that prohibit saying
288 things against these behaviors — so it becomes against the law to preach against
289 homosexuality, or, if this continues, it will be against the law to preach against bigamy or
290 fornication — or adultery for that matter.

291 So, not only do they insist on taking your children and indoctrinating them in these
292 perverse beliefs, but they will, at their first opportunity, deny you the right to even
293 contradict these dangerous teachings in your home, to your own children.

294 I've said it often — special rights always destroy universal rights. It's impossible to
295 maintain any idea of inalienable rights divinely endowed in an environment that hates
296 God and hates His laws.

297 So, I came across an article, an OPINION piece published in USA Today, April 29, 2019,
298 titled "American churches must reject literalism and admit we got it wrong on gay
299 people."⁵ It was written by Oliver Thomas, *opinion columnist*. Oliver Thomas is a retired
300 American Baptist minister and a member of USA TODAY's board of contributors. His
301 article represents what I commented on my facebook repost of the article that it is among
302 the most intelligent displays of ignorance I've come across.

303 The thesis of his article is that unless American Christians wake up and realize that being
304 a "faithful Christian does not mean accepting everything the Bible teaches" we are going
305 to continue hemorrhaging members — so, in his view, a church cannot thrive today that
306 believes everything the Bible says is true.

307 Later in the article he says Jesus was only a man and limited in His understanding to what
308 was known in His day. That we are far more enlightened now; we know things He did not
309 know.

310 Well, to begin, this tells us Oliver Thomas is not a Christian — the most fundamental
311 belief of Christianity is that Jesus Christ is the SON of GOD by the woman's seed, and so
312 son of Man — that He is GOD MANIFEST IN THE FLESH. To deny the Father and the
313 SON is to deny the foundation of Christianity, so Oliver Thomas is not speaking for
314 Christians, since he isn't one.

315 His premise then is that Christians must abandon beliefs that literally define what it
316 means to be a Christian.

⁵ https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2019/04/29/american-chur...=IwAR2Ej5G_BSVQJOgKKR-vzpDJPRQvPH25TId4hL-PTAZyyUO2lup-jtzuSQs

317 Finally, with regard to his essential thesis and premise — 1. we must abandon the idea
318 that being a faithful Christian requires that we believe everything the Bible teaches, that is,
319 we must not regard the BIBLE as GOD's GREAT REVELATION OF HIMSELF to US and
320 2. we are the happy people who have received a "great revelation": quote: "A great
321 revelation has occurred that is bringing joy and happiness to millions, but it is being met
322 with resistance and retrenchment from many of [his] colleagues inside the church."

323 Okay, so we must discard our confidence in the REVELATION OF GOD to man in the
324 WORD OF GOD — the BIBLE, and embrace the new "great revelation" — and what is
325 this new "great revelation"?

326 The great revelation is that LGBTQ people are just like the rest of us — only LGBTQ.

327 The GREAT REVELATION that inspired the rise of Christianity — the WORD OF
328 GOD, manifest in the flesh in Jesus Christ, God's SON, and revealed to us in His GOD
329 BREATHED BOOK — the BIBLE, that has served as the foundation of our culture and
330 our nation, that has been beloved by our nation's founders, and our fathers going back
331 many centuries — rooted in the promises of God to ABRAHAM, ISAAC, and JACOB —
332 the book upon which this nation and its laws were founded, and a foundation upon
333 which has risen the greatest nation the world has ever known — we are now to turn and
334 embrace a NEW REVELATION — that is to be our new foundation — the new
335 foundation for our laws, and our culture —

336 This NEW REVELATION is indeed becoming the fountain of new laws, and a new
337 culture.

338 New laws that infringe upon our inalienable, and even our natural rights, and a new
339 cultural world-view that sees gender as fluid among mankind but fixed in nature
340 everywhere else — I'm coming up on my time — and I will address this Sunday night at 6
341 pm when I call you to prayer over this — but I wanted you to see what's going on here.

342 A NEW REVELATION is replacing the REVELATION upon which this nation arose —
343 and the new one is becoming the foundation upon which a new nation, a new body of
344 laws, a new system of cultural values will emerge —

345 That is the essence of the article — and I dare say many reading it will miss the essential
346 message of this modern day false prophet.

347 What the church needs today is to RETURN to the GREAT REVELATION of GOD in
348 CHRIST and in HIS WORD.

349 As for the allegations in this article of contradictions in the Bible — nothing new there,
350 the same old superficial misreading that unbelievers have tried to use in the past and
351 failed — the foolishness about the Bible supporting the practice of slavery or the
352 oppression of women — it's nonsense as every person knowledgeable of the Bible knows
353 — but I will thank the irreverenced for the opportunity engage the public on these issues
354 — yet again!

355 I'll close with this — understand the battle we are in Christian — the Holy Ghost is
356 present in us to reprove the world of sin, righteousness, and judgment —

357 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them.
358 It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE ON and you will ride like Revere and spread
359 the warning, (Essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say LIGHTS
360 OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and disagree strongly with me. So, what
361 will it be today, lights on, or lights off?

362 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the
363 CONTACT button and send me an email.

364 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.

365 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

366