Brain Massage*: Show No. 718 The "War" Report From The Brain Masseur / The Definitive Proof Trump Is NOT Racist (Part Two)

Jerry Scheidbach



Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in

Original Air Date: 03.16.19

Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist C Santa Maria, CA—and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

3 [TRUTH]

 $\overline{22}$

I want to complete my definitive proof that Trump is NOT racist — but before I do that, let's do some touch and goes on the pertinent news this week.

Newsome is queasy about the death penalty for murderers — but he is perfectly okay with the death penalty for innocent babies in the womb, and in fact, wants taxpayers to pay for them.

And he likes this too — HHS extends disgusting contract with university to create "Humanized Mice" — okay, that's weird enough. But get this, they are using "late-term aborted babies in this research. So there goes another, what is it, 100 million taxpayer dollars in research using aborted babies. In California, we pay to murder the babies, and then pay for scientists to use the babies murdered in research.

A high schooler was suspended because she posted Bible verses in School that had LGBTQ pride flags decorating the halls.¹ The principal allegedly said she was "targeting the organization" in her school that displayed the homosexual propaganda all over her school. So, a Christian has no right of free speech to express his or her views but the LGBTQ organization in her school has the right to free speech to promote their point of view — a point of view that is an assault on the beliefs and values traditional Americans have held for centuries? It's like I've said — homosexual rights cannot co-exist with a Christian's rights — here you have a situation where the Christian is not arguing the LGBTQ crowd cannot express their opinion, she is willing to cede that right to them. But the Homosexual activists are NOT WILLING TO YIELD THAT RIGHT TO CHRISTIANS — think about that!

A "far-right" White Supremacist attacked a Mosque in New Zealand killing 49 — Trump is to blame for his hate speech against Muslims — which, by the way, does not exist. Can you believe these people? Can any story be about, well, about what it is, and not about Trump? Anyway, this guy left a, I think it was a thirty-one page, manifesto in which he essentially declares his actions are in retaliation against what he considers a Muslim invasion of his country, among other things, specifically mentioning the Muslim driver that mowed down eleven year old Ebba Aukerlund in 2017 in Stockholm. In fact, her name was inscribed on one of the guns he used in his attack. Of course, he is described as "far-right" — when consistently the so-called "far-right" do not support conservative principles or represent conservative values. For example, in this case, the guy states in his

 $^{^1\,}https://www.yahoo.com/lifestyle/high-schooler-posted-bible-vers...d=IwAR2LFrMefdeqX4KhvQXszA0pkjvhTHPAwcs\ WeoQrWS65g3s88106bLuDb70\ Page\ 1\ of$

- manifesto that he could be called far right or far left depending on the definition. He declares that he is not a conservative, because "conservatism is corporatism in disguise."
- Then you dig deeper. He reveals that he was first a communist, then anarchist, then libertarian, then eco-fascist. What is an Ecofascist?
- "Ecofascism is a theoretical political model in which a totalitarian government would require individuals to sacrifice their own interests to the "organic whole of nature" and which would rely on militarism, expansionism, and possibly racism to defend the land." Wikipedia
- That sounds like the Democratic Party USA. Hey, the country with a political system most in alignment with his beliefs? China.
- The reason he choose to use firearms is because, according to his own manifesto, he knew the Western media would lob onto that fact and it would give his story 24/7 exposure.
- 47 His aim, to provoke civil war in America through exacerbating tensions between the left and right.
- What led him to do this? He explained it is generally because he feels angry that nothing is being done about immigration, that the Muslims, whom he calls "invaders" are displacing his people and his culture, and that the trigger that turned this dissatisfaction into rage was when a Muslim immigrant ran down an eleven year old in Stockholm in 2017 in a terrorist vehicular terrorist attack.
- You know, it's interesting that the MSM in America will almost certainly attempt to blame Trump for this; in fact already they are throwing it out there. But when you read this guys manifesto, it becomes apparent that he is one them. This consistently happens. These people who do these things are never Christian or Jewish conservatives it's always some guy that identifies with communists, in fact he identifies as a socialist, by the way, believes in the worker owning means of production so long as the worker is of the nationality of the country they are in and it's always like that. Why do you suppose?
- Well, look at the spirit of these people as it acts out here in our country. Who are the people physically attacking their political opponents? It's never the conservative attacking a liberal, it's always a liberal attacking a conservative.
 - Just today, during show prep, I came across two more stories of somebody being physically, or personally assaulted by a liberal over a MAGA hat. One guy was run out of a bar because of his MAGA hat, and some 18-year-old liberal admitted to slashing the tires of a car because she saw a MAGA hat in the cab. It's always liberals who are mean, aggressive, and physical in their attacks. Remember the liberal that beat up a conservative on the Berkley campus. I hope that bully ends up in jail.
- And while we are talking about liberals attacking conservatives wearing MAGA hats—remember the 16 year-old kid that was libeled by CNN and the Washington Post? You know, the fake Indian story—the older man that rudely got into the face of this kid in a MAGA hat and attempted to intimidate him and CNN and Washington Post smeared this kid all over America probably ruining any chance for him to have any kind of normal

65

66

67

68

69

- life well, the family sued, CNN for 275 Mil. and Wapo for 250 million. And I pray he wins!
- It's the liberals who act out on their political differences physically, who attack people that disagree with them in an effort to ruin their lives, to drive them out, make them hide—wear your MAGA hat, but never go alone. Have someone with you ready to video this stuff and sue them every time they pull this stuff.
- And, yes, that is the CHRISTIAN thing to do. Haha! But really, it is. I'll address that in the Issachar Report this Sunday night.
- So it's always the liberal that is caught physically assaulting a conservative, yet here is a Liberal, an Asian running for President, who said he is concerned that as the whites become the minority they will "resort to outright deadly violence against Asian Americans."²
- $\,$ Hmmm. You know the Bible says the "wicked flee when no man pursueth" (Proverbs $\,$ 28:1).

And finally, let's touch and go on the *Russian Collusion* conspiracy to execute a coupe against a sitting President — another example of the aggressive, mean, evil, wicked, dirty fighting tactics of the Left these days. Guess what, of course we knew this all along — but now it's out in the open. Lisa Page said FBI discussed charging Hillary Clinton with gross negligence in 2016 in the matter of email-gate — but Obama's DOJ shut it down. Flatly ordered the FBI do not charge Hillary. That's right! That's how the left plays. And they get away with it because they have media covering them, and a spineless, amoral, wicked leadership in their party that closes ranks and defends these high-profile criminals in their party, and an opposition party that has no spine — or that is infiltrated with globalist operatives whose task is to NEUTERALIZE the conservative party when it's in power. I am watching this and it is looking more and more like the Aristos in the Republican party, every time, fumble about, and fool around and get nothing done when they are in power.

The theory has been they don't know how to win, or how to wield power when they have it. I'm beginning to think these characters are specifically planted to do one job — neutralize the conservatives when they are in power until the Dems can work their way back in. You have got to throw out every one of these characters that keep resisting what is good for this country. VOTE CHRISTIAN CONSERVATIVE —

Gotta go to break. Sanctuary State CA — how's that working for you liberals in this state? "On Monday, Carlos Eduardo Arevalo Carranza, 24, an illegal alien from El Salvador, was arrested for allegedly beating and stabbing Bambi Larson, 59, to death in her own home in south San Jose two weeks ago. According to ICE, Carranza had at least 10 prior arrests over the past three years, including several convictions for drugs, kidnapping, battery against a police officer, and burglary. ICE placed detainers on him six times, two with the

 $^{^2\} https://www.theblaze.com/news/dem-presidential-candidate-insecure-white-majority-may-begin-shooting-up-a-bunch-of-asians?utm_content=bufferaf4db&utm_medium=social&utm_source=twitter.com&utm_campaign=theblaze$

Los Angeles Police Department Central Jail in 2016 and four with the Santa Clara County
Jail between May 2017 and January 2019. **The two jurisdictions ignored the detainers**each time. According to ICE, the most recent detainer was issued in January after
Carranza was picked up on drug charges. This senseless and completely avoidable murder
happened while Carranza, a self-admitted gang member, was on probation for possession
of methamphetamine, paraphernalia, false imprisonment, and burglary."

Newsome — a soft hearted guy! A real sweety liberal. He has so much sympathy for the illegals he has made this state a sanctuary state, and so much hatred for Trump he has forbidden our State for cooperate with ICE. THAT'S WHY THIS HAPPENED. Newsome is responsible for this, and everyone who voted for him. (How do you like that, liberal? How do you like it when a conservative starts doing to you what your representatives do to us? How do you like being told that because you voted for someone, you are responsible for any negative thing that happens — but, wait! Actually, Trump supporters are happy to take responsibility for what he is doing because he is making America Great again. So, okay, I'll take it. Now, you take it. You voted this guy in, he made California a sanctuary state, he has declared he will not cooperate with ICE, now this woman in San Jose is DEAD and her blood is on your hands. Soft hearted? No, soft-headed! Queasy about killing murderers, okay with killing babies in the womb. All mushy about protecting the illegal criminal immigrants flooding out state, HEARTLESS WHEN THEY COMMIT MURDER! These people are perverted. They have perverse affections. Okay, gotta go to break. I'll be right back. "Without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, ..."

Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our liberty.

Okay, let's finish the definitive statement on Trump's racism — proving he is NOT a racist. In last week's show I carefully laid out the etymology of the words *racist* and *racism*. We showed that Trotsky did not invent the word in 1930, but that in fact it was in use by Darwin in 1859 in the title of his book *On The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life.* And before him it was in use in the early 1800s in France, taken from an Italian word, *razza*. It meant breed, or lineage. Of course, certain Hispanic groups in California use Razza to refer to the Hispanic *race* and issues related to their concerns: stuff like flooding California with Mexican immigrants in order to overwhelm the political system and take California under Mexican rule. It's the belief in the superiority of the Mexican race; a sort of nicely tanned version of White Supremacy. Anyway, I laid out the evolution of the word to its present meaning and use. The bottom line is that *racist* was identified with a belief in racial superiority connected with an aggressive mission to dominate, or subjugate, inferior ones.

³ https://www.conservativereview.com/news/sanctuary-state-california-aids-another-brutal-murder-american/

- 153 So, a racist is someone who believes he is superior, or inferior, to others based on racial
- 154 identity. We showed that it is the Democrats today that are racists — who view other
- 155 races as inferiors, as dependents. Whereas, we do not measure a person by their race,
- 156 rather we measure them by their character: not by the color of their skin but by the
- 157 content of their character, right?
- 158 Then we began exploring the question whether Trump is racist. We began by pointing
- 159 out his Presidency has done more for people of color than the Democrats have done in all
- 160 their pretentious posturing as there to take care of the inferior minorities who in their
- 161 opinion cannot take care of themselves.
- 162 Then we began looking at the specific charges liberals use to prove Trump is a racist. We
- 163 addressed the inside favorite: Turmp's alleged discrimination against blacks in his rental
- 164 policies and practices in New York. Proved that to be a false charge. Never could prove it,
- 165 never charged, paid no fine — it was New York trying to strong arm Trump into taking
- 166 on Section 8 renters and he did not want to get involved with it.
- 167 Then the charge that he treated black differently than whites in his casinos — all denied,
- 168 and no allegation proved, no witnesses, no corroborating evidence. Hear-say! It's enough
- 169 to convict you with Liberals who hate you — and ask Hillary, nothing will convict you if
- 170 they have a reason to protect you. So!
- 171 Then there is the full-page ad in New York newspapers urging the death penalty for
- 172 anyone raping and killing a fellow-New Yorker. I quoted from the ad, and explained that
- 173 this is not a case of racism, it's a case of anti-criminal coddling.
- 174 Next, this definitive list accuses Trump of having an obsession with Dark-skinned
- 175 immigrants. That's insulting! The fact is Trump hired immigrants all the time to work in
- 176 his casinos and hotels — and treated them fairly and paid them well, by the way. It's
- 177 ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS that is the problem — and, by the way, this has been a growing
- 178 problem for all of us, and I mean all Americans of every color and non-color (black and
- 179 white are not, technically speaking, colors) are concerned about the issue.
- 180 He made a comment about MS-13 and the Liberals twist it into a comment about all
- 181 immigrants — If I was an immigrant I'd be insulted by the liberals because they are the
- 182 one assuming all immigrants are alike and speak negatively of one is to speak negatively
- 183 of all — once again, it's the liberals who are racists.
- 184 Trump calls for the shutting down of immigration until we can fix it, calls for all Muslims
- 185 specifically to be banned from entering the country or re-entering if they are citizens until
- 186 we can properly vet them. That was in the heat of 9/11 — and it was not a racist policy, it
- 187
- was about protecting the national interests of the country. Unless there is something the
- 188 Liberals know about FDR that we don't, he interned the Japanese during WWII to protect
- 189 the national security, not because he was racist against Japanese people. Or, well, you
- 190 know, now that I hear how the Liberals think about this, maybe not. It might simply be
- 191 another of a long list of situations where when conservatives do it it's evil and when
- 192 liberals do it it's for understandable reasons. Well, that's where we left off. Let's pick it up
- 193 from here.

- I'm using the New York Times definitive list proving Trump's racism, written by Leonhardt and Philbrick, and published January 15, 2018. It's titled, "Donald Trump's Racism: The Definitive List.
- 197 Continuing with the heading "An Obsession With Dark-Skinned Immigrants."

"Trump said a federal judge hearing a case about Trump University was biased because of the judge's Mexican heritage." What did Trump actually say? On June 2, 2016, after the Judge in question, Judge Curiel, ruled against Trump, Trump told the Wall Street Journal that he thought Curiel had a conflict of interest. Because he was convinced the merits of the case supported a ruling in his favor, that the Judge's Mexican lineage and affinities (not to mention he was an Obama appointed judge) probably influenced his decision. This is no more a racist statement than it is racist for the New York Times to include this as proof Trump is a racist. Understand? Look! Trump gets a ruling he did not expect, and one that he believed was wrong, and he is trying to figure out why, and he looks at this judge, and he is a Mexican, and so he reasons, the guy must be a racist. I don't agree with that sort of thinking — I think it's careless, and presumptuous. Which is exactly what I think about using this as proof that Trump is a racist. If anything, it shows that Trump is also concerned about iniquities occurring on the basis of race — and don't tell me you don't see that he has been the victim of this sort of racism hundreds of times.

But the point is, making such an assessment is not presuming some superiority of his race over another. Trump was no more racist to suspect racism was at the root of this Judge's ruling than Leonhardt and Philbrick are racist for suspecting Trump is racist because he thought the Judge was. It's just more evidence of how hard they have to reach and stretch to find something to justify their charge.

Next: "In June 2017, Trump said 15,000 recent immigrants from Haiti 'all have AIDS' and that 40,000 Nigerians, once seeing the United State, would never 'go back to their huts' in Africa." But then again, there is the ever-necessary context of these things. Trump was angry that his team was not following through on his instructions regarding immigration policy and stormed into his office waving a paper that reported 2,500 immigrants from Afghanistan had received visas — he said, "that's a terrorist haven." He told them they were making him look foolish, and like he did not take his promises to the American people seriously. He was unhappy, okay? He spoke of the 15,000 from Haiti, then, according to this report, he grumbled, "they all have AIDS." Well, I am going to guess the good liberals like Leonhardt and Philbrick never use hyperbole when speaking, especially when they are exasperated, or angry, so, you know the super humans that they are — stones in hand — ready to cast them at any conservative who uses such rhetorical devices when speaking emotionally — and then he spoke of the report that 40,000 Nigerians who got temporary visas into the country that once they got a taste of America, they would "never go back to their huts."

Do I need to elaborate on this? You are, more than likely, not one of the super-human liberals who never make outlandish statements — oh, wait a minute, Liberals do this all

⁴ See https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/23/us/politics/trump-immigration.html

the time. They make snide remarks about Christians — which is a kind of racism, you know, when you really think about how liberals use that word today — at the very least it is bigotry — and yet they consistently get a pass. Besides, what Trump was saying was grounded in truth. Haiti is rife with sexually transmitted diseases — and many people from Nigeria live in what we would call huts. At least that's the pictures we see all the time — taken by liberals and put in front of our faces over and over again —. That statement can be more about exasperation that his team allowed 40,000 immigrants to come in whom he believed would disappear in the population and we'd never find them than it has anything at all to do with racism — a belief in the superiority of his race over others and a desire to dominate or subjugate them.

Finally, under this heading, Trump's obsession with dark-skinned immigrants — they include this little nugget: "At the White House on Jan. 11, Trump vulgarly called for less immigration from Haiti and Africa and more from Norway." Well, whether it was vulgar could be something we could discuss, but whether it was racist, well, that's nonsense; unless liberals are here admitting their own racism. Let me explain. Remember when Blacks complained that whites dominated TV and Movies and felt underrepresented that it made America look all white, and suggested they did not exist? I agreed with that, by the way, and I think TV and movies have been very much enriched by including the in many cases exceptional talents of many wonderful black actors and actresses. (We don't need to include in our discussion the technical fact that filming black actors and actresses was challenging back in the day, but nevertheless, it is true that something needed to be done about this, and I certainly welcome it — Denzel, frankly, is one of my favorite actors. Will Smith is another that I enjoy. Anyway! I remember this. Also, I can see the sense of many white people today wondering about the massive influx of "people of color" and beginning to become concerned about the impact this is having on our culture particularly when we find ourselves continuing to be pushed aside, losing our language, losing even our political values and beliefs are being disrespected — some burning our flag in our streets — refusing to stand for the national anthem — it's getting wearying so, once again, on a visceral level, Trump channels American angst — but taken in whole, it's not a racist statement to ask why don't we have more immigrants that "look like us"? Isn't that what the black community was saying back in the 60s, why don't we see more people on TV that look like us? I don't think that was a racist thing, coming from the Blacks, I mean, and I don't think it should be assumed to be racist when a white man asks a similar question.

The next heading, or category of proofs that Trump is racist is titled: Obama As Unqualified, Lazy, And Un-American. And the first thing in this list is that Trump "spent years suggesting that the nation's first black president was born not in the United States but in Kenya." Leonhardt and Philbrick decided to add a lie to this charge and say that this was "a lie that Trump still has not acknowledged as such." Trump did back off that and took credit for compelling Obama to finally release his certificate — but as far as whether this makes him racist, good night! The New York Times writers are so bigoted, and racists, they see bigotry and racism in anything that resembles an opinion they don't

276 like about someone they do. Really? I can't express a negative personal opinion about 277 Obama without being called a racist?

As for the birth certificate thing, I can tell you that I probably qualify as the nearest thing many of you will ever meet as an expert on the subject — the jury is still on that. There continues to be substantive reason to doubt Obama's birth story and it's exactly as I predicted back when this first came up. If Obama had come out with his birth certificate immediately, and had not sent his lawyers all over the country attempting to quash every legal effort to compel him to show his certificate, this would have gone away long before it became an engrained suspicion in the American pysche — and believe me when I tell you that many Obama supporters actually, when they look at the evidence, become suspicious, but they say, effectively, that they don't care.

287 So, questioning Obama's birth-story does not a racist make, or prove.

> Next, "Trump called Obama (who was editor in chief of the Harvard Law Review) 'a terrible student, terrible." Again, how does that make someone racist? This should disturb every freedom, free speech, and freedom of thought loving American very deeply. These people are saying that if the subject is black, you can't have any opinion about him that is negative, that if you do, it means you are racist. And here, we go back to Obama's refusal to open his records for public scrutiny. He refused to allow us to see his academic records. We still don't know why. And besides that, the testimony we received from those who knew him in school, and, by the way, from his own book, indicates that he was not a good student. So, whether Trump is right or wrong in his assumptions about Obama, they were constructed upon rumors that circulated during that time about him, and there was never one time that Trump, or anyone else I know, said Obama must have been a bad student, after all, look at the guy, he is black you know! Watch for some devil to edit this and make it out that I made that statement. One of the most brilliant scientists in America's history was a black man with the name Goerge Washington Carver. Ben Carson is one of the most brilliant surgeons in America today, and Trump loves the guy. Come on!

304 And, well, here we go again; I'm out of time. But I think I'll proceed in this way until I've 305 gone through the entire list, then I'll combine all these segments into one audio file and 306 post it for you.

307 I feel like I need to stay in touch with current topics of concern to God fearing patriots, but also, I want you to have a properly documented and well thought out response to the 309 charge that Trump is racist. So, next time, we will take up the New York Times definitive 310 list proving Trump is a racist and I'll show you that they have absolutely NO CASE to support the claim.

312 What they don't like about Trump is that he is an AMERICAN who gets it. He has 313 connected with the current state of the soul of this country and people are connecting to 314 him on so many levels it scares the Progressives — because it threatens their agenda to 315 drag America down into the slime pits of Socialism and turn this country into another

316 Venezuela.

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

308

311

- 317 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them.
- 318 It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE ON and you will ride like Revere and spread
- 319 the warning, (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say LIGHTS
- 320 OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and disagree strongly with me. So, what
- will it be today, lights on, or lights off?
- 322 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the
- 323 CONTACT button and send me an email.
- You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.
- God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.