Brain Massage[®]: Show No. 717 The Definitive Case That Trump Is NOT Racist

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 03.09.19

- 1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in 2 Santa Maria, CA—and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.
- 3 4 5 Somewhere in American media Trump is being called a racist as we speak. He is called a racist at least, well, let's see, 100 times every day? Well, that might be an exaggeration -
- probably more like 400 times every day.
- Is President Donald Trump a racist? Was he ever a "racist"? What is the basis for this
- 6 7 8 charge? What is the case supporting the charge? And, while we are at it, what exactly is
- racism and then the big surprise, Democrats are the racists calling everyone else racist —
- 9 a case of the kettle calling the pot black. (Watch for it, someone will certainly cop on that 10
- and call it a *racists statement*.)
- 11 The left claims that at the core of their hatred for Trump is their belief that he is racist. 12 Hate racism; hate Trump, that's it. In this show I intend to explore that charge 13 thoroughly, and, of course, honestly.
- 14 My friends — it's time for your Brain Massage®
- 15 [TRUTH]

16 Where did this word come from? A popular meme has been circulating for some time 17 alleging Trotsky¹ coined the term in the 1930s and used it to discredit all who opposed 18 Communism. Trotsky's memoirs, published in 1930 under the title Leon Trotsky, My Life, 19 contains only one paragraph, in chapter 30, where he speaks of racism in any way 20 meaningful to our concern with the word. Earlier in the book, in chapter 16, he speaks of 21 "the Russian races,"² in chapter 21 he speaks of the "Latin race," but it is in chapter 30, In 22 23 Moscow, that you find any use of the term in connection to what he called "the Whites." The "Whites," however, were not *white* people merely — the army that fought in favor of 24 monarchy for governance and capitalism for economics were called "the White Army." 25 Trotsky's army was called the Red Army. In this paragraph he speaks of talking with 26 Lenin about his role in the aftermath of the revolution, and bringing up "the question of 27 race." After admitting that Lenin was right, that the issue of race was irrelevant at that 28 time, he noted that, however, those of the defeated "White Army" hated Trotsky, and 29 made his Jewish ancestry an issue. He tells of a supporter who was accosted by one of the 30 White Guard writers who chided him for fighting under the command of a Jew. The 31 Trotsky admirer "retorted with warm conviction: 'Nothing of the sort. Trotsky is not a 32 33 Jew. Trotsky is a fighter. He's ours ... Russian! ... It is Lenin who is a communist, a Jew, but Trotsky is ours ... a fighter ... Russian ... our own!""3

¹ https://www.marxists.org/archive/trotsky/1930/mylife/index.htm

² https://www.marxists.org/archive/trotsky/1930/mylife/ch16.htm

³ https://www.marxists.org/archive/trotsky/1930/mylife/ch30.htm

Anyway, this meme originated with some White Supremacist organization — so, take it
 from there. Trotsky did not invent the word.

36 Neither did Darwin, by the way, who used the word before Trotsky. "The Western 37 nations of Europe . . . now so immeasurably surpass their former savage progenitors [that 38 they] stand at the summit of civilization. . . . The civilized races of man will almost 39 certainly exterminate and replace the savage races through the world."4 Indeed, the 40 original title of Darwin's infamous book was On The Origin of Species by Means of 41 Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. Darwin, by 42 the way, abhorred slavery — but he believed that as far as evolution was concerned, the 43 blacks were inferior. Darwin, therefore, was a racist. Right? I'm getting ahead of myself, 44 but here is a thought.

- All Western Civilization, yea, all scientific communities around the world build their
 scientific theory on the teachings of a racist a man who believed the "white race" was
 superior to all others. And so, by extension, all science today is *racist*, right? Hmmmm.
- 48 But Darwin did not invent the word either.
- 49 The root of the word *race* runs into a French word that was taken from a Latin word 50 *razza* and has the same meaning: breed, or lineage. In 1854 the word *racial* appeared, 51 used to describe differences in groups of people identified by common physical traits. 52 This is how the word came to be used in Darwin's writings in 1859. He was very hip! The 53 French were using the word in their agitations for social change in the late 1800s and 54 early 1900s.
- 55 The theory that racial causes (breeding, lineage) might account for cultural, and 56 character, as well as physical differences.⁵
- 57 In fact, by the time Darwin published, the word *race* had come to be argued as a 58 contributor even of religious differences from one culture to another. In *Blackwood's* 59 *Edinburgh* magazine an article appeared that suggested "racial diversities" were in some 60 measure responsible for differences in religious expressions.⁶
- 61 In California, in 1874, the *Philadelphia Inquirer* published an article that claimed 62 Californians were guilty of *racial prejudices*, and that the Chinese bore this quietly.⁷

⁴ Darwin, Charles, *The Descent of Man*, np. See https://www.thegospelcoalition.org/article/what-your-biology-teacher-didnt-tell-you-about-charles-darwin/

⁵ http://www.thiscruelwar.com/of-racist-racistov-and-leon-trotsky/ See Three years later, the meaning expanded slightly and referred to characteristics of a particular race. Blackwood's Edinburgh

magazine out of London noted various "racial diversities" that were "reflected in the character of religion." "racial, adj. and n." OED Online. Oxford University Press, September 2016. Web. 3 November 2016. Here.

⁶ The source cites an entry for the word *racial* in the Online Oxford's University Press, September 2016. Web. 3 November 2016.

⁷ The Philadelphia Inquirer; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Mon, Aug 9, 1875 – Page 7. Here. See

https://www.newspapers.com/clip/7290255/racial_prejudice/ "The actual quote: Chinese Immigration to California is on the increase. The total arrivals since 1862 are 113,074. The last Steamer brought 933 Chinese to San Francisco. The Californians abuse them mercilessly, but they find it profitable to go there, and submit to manifestations of racial prejudice very quietly."

- 63 Essentially, up to the mid 1900s, the word was understood as a classification of human 64 beings based on physical characteristics.
- 65 But, as you can see, it began to be used more broadly, to speak of social, or cultural differences also.
- 67 By the time we get to Darwin, and then to Hitler — the word had come to maturity in its 68 meaning. Trotsky nailed it in his treatise: "What Is National Socialism," published in 1933 69 "On the plane of politics, racism is a vapid and bombastic variety of chauvinism in 70 alliance with phrenology." Chauvinism, understood in Trotsky day, was exaggerated or 71 aggressive patriotism, and *phrenology* was the study of the shape and size of the skull as a 72 way to predict the character and mental capacity of someone; it's obvious that even 73 Lenin's whip — Trotsky — saw the truth about racism early on. A vapid and bombastic 74 *variety* of assumed superiority based on physical attributes that identify you with a certain 75 group.
- 76 Like, "Black Supremacy," or "White Supremacy," or you get the idea.
- 77 This is the sort of thinking that leads to slavery, genocide, Auschwitz, Dauchau —
- 78 But how did we get from race (categories of human beings based on physical attributes)
- 79 to racial (character, cultural distinctions, and so forth, influenced by racial origins) to
- 80 racism (the pretense that one race is superior to another based on things like well, 81 phrenology, for example) to racist?
- 82 Interestingly, the word *racist* first appears in 1924, in the Portland *Oregonian*, 83 editorializing on the elections then going on in Germany, and expressing the opinion that 84 "elections show the Germans at the moment want neither racists nor communists — that
- 85 is, neither extreme nationalists nor extreme revolutionists."8
- 86 Well, they got it partly right. Hitler was no communist. But he was certainly a racist.
- What is interesting about the editorial in the Oregonian is that here is the first use of the
 word *racist* and it includes a definition: *extreme nationalist*. The writer of the article, or
 editorial, evidently saw *racist* as a synonym for *extreme nationalist*. (Not nationalism, a
 loyalty and preferential deference to one's own nation—but, *extreme nationalism*, which
 is militaristic in nature, and exceedingly obnoxious to human rights.)
- And so, apparently, the Oregonian editor presumed *race* was a *national* identification.
 And a *racist* identified an extreme sense of superiority over other races/nations.
- 94 Today, that seems like a really odd way for an American to think of race. However, 95 understand that this is only another stepping stone taking us to its use and meaning

⁸ I can't find the name of the source, and it's too bad, because he or she did an excellent job on this article. He, or she, cited the following source: The OED holds that the first printed usage came from the Portland Oregonian in their December 14, 1924 edition: "The elections show the Germans at

the moment want neither racists nor communists – that is, neither extreme

nationalists nor extreme revolutionists." "racist, n. and adj." OED Online. Oxford

University Press, September 2016. Web. 3 November 2016. Here. See http://www.thiscruelwar.com/of-racist-racistov-and-leon-trotsky/

- 4
- 96 today. The bottom line is that *racist* was identified with a belief in racial superiority connected with an aggressive mission to dominate, or subjugate, inferior ones.

98 This is the belief that Democrats used to justify slavery. This is what, in the minds of the 99 Jihadis, justifies 9-11, and every other terrorist act. *Racist* mentality justifies beheading 100 Christians, crucifying them in front of their children while telling the children to convert, 101 who if they don't are beheaded. Now, granted, these are barbaric forms of racist 102 sentiment.

- Since the Civil War in America, the *racist* sentiment has morphed significantly. But the essence of *racism* remains the same. The prejudicial belief that one race is superior to another, or the belief that this or that race is inferior the barbaric version enslaves by force, and compulsion but the new racist, in America, enslaves with *compassion*. Feeling very guilty about how they treated slaves, now the modern Democrat looks at them as pitiful inferiors who need their protection and provision.
- 109 Let's summarize the actual meaning of these words and then I'll come back in segment 110 two and we'll address the specific question, is Trump a racist?
- 111 A racist is someone who believes he is superior to others based on racial characteristics.
- 112 He, or she, believes certain races of people are inferior, and depending on sentimentality, 113 they will see themselves as gracious superiors reaching down to the pitiful inferiors to 114 feed them, like they were their pets, and promise them their Obamaphone - it's what 115 contrasts conservatives and liberals today — it was not always that way, but this has 116 developed to the place where progressives view so-called minorities as needing them, as 117 unable to do for themselves, incapable of rising up from under their imagined oppression 118 of the white man. Well, I'm here to tell you that this white man respects the dignity of 119 every man, and, when I heard Martin Luther King say he dreamed of a day a man would 120 be judged by the content of his character and not by the color of his skin — I mean, that 121 just sunk in deep into my soul! The righteousness of that statement settled down into 122 heart and became part of the foundation of my thinking.
- A racist thinks he should be judged by the color of his skin and not by the content of hischaracter.
- This same thing becomes clear when you view the differences in the way conservatives handle the issue of poverty. We don't see impoverished people as pitiful, or incapable. We see them as PEOPLE, who have the same responsibilities as everyone else. We are always glad to help — but we are never glad to take over their responsibilities. I'll be right back.
- Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse,
 your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church
 belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
 liberty.
- Okay, we hammered out a clear definition, a real definition of *racist*. The way liberals use it today it's anyone who disagrees with their politics. The rationale is that their politics are nice and help the pitiful people who cannot help themselves, and so anyone opposed to them is mean and does not want pitiful people to be helped — and so they brand them

racist. But the racism is in their attitude toward those they view as inferiors that need
their protection and provision. Most of the people who oppose their politics do so
because of the great damage their policies bring upon those they say they are trying to
help.

141 We look at each person as an individual and expect them to take responsibility for 142 themselves and their families, to use their God given talents and intelligence to take 143 advantage of the amazing opportunities afforded to us in this country and take the 144 initiative to make their own contribution to their success; and, based on their character, 145 we will offer whatever assistance might be necessary to help them along. In this country 146 there is always a place for someone willing to get smart and work hard. We don't view 147 people as pets that we, as a nation, are obliged to "keep." We believe in the dignity of every 148 man woman and child created in the image and likeness of God — and we believe that 149 includes every man woman and child on this planet.

150 So, we did the work of running down a proper, true definition of the word *racist*.

151 In short, a racist is anyone who views themselves as superior to another based on the 152 color of their skin. If Michael Jordan thinks of himself as a better basketball player than 153 me, that's not being racists; that's being a realist. If he thinks his superiority over me as a 154 basketball player is because he is black and I'm white, that's getting closer to racism, but 155 it's actually still not *racist*. That's simply a reasonable conclusion based on general 156 observations that might or might not have credibility depending on what objective data 157 might be presented. But it's not a racist opinion.

158 On the other hand, if he thinks his superiority as a black athlete makes his race superior 159 over other races — well now, that's what a racist does.

Anyway, the racists in America today are those who think in terms of skin color — who are constantly talking about race — and who use the charge of *racist* against people who don't even think in terms of race. We might judge people on the basis of their character, but if that judgment falls against someone who happens to be of another color, this is immediately viewed as racist — even when race has nothing to do with the judgment.

165 Okay, let's look at the charge that Trump is racist. And I think we should begin with his current record. After all, isn't it what a man does, or is doing, that serves as the basis for how he should be judged? Not that past abuses should be ignored. But I think we should look at the record immediately in front of us as a starting place.

None of the reasons I want to start here have anything to do with me finding that Trump used to be a racist but now he is not. I have gone over every proof the liberals have put out there and I can tell you right now, Trump is not a racist. But he is a realist, and he is not afraid to make judgments, right out loud, in front of God and everybody, that are based on what he observes in the character of others without regard to concerns someone might call him a racist.

As an aside, I Googled "Trump's record in helping Blacks and Hispanics." The first hit,the top of the list is "What Trump Has Not Done For African Americans And Hispanics."

- 177 Washington Post, by the way. So, coming into this election, don't think for one moment
- 178 Google will not try to influence the election of course they will. Keep that in mind!
- 179 "This year, African-American unemployment fell to a record low of 5.9 percent.
- 180 "Total African-American employment has increased by 976,000 since President Trump's election and stands at a record high.
- 182 "The poverty rate for African Americans reached its lowest level on record in 2017."9
- 183 Now, Liberals have a special math they apply when calculating unemployment that was
- invented to help Obama not look so bad: it does not take into account those that dropped
 out of the job market. Look, there is a reason that so many are rejoicing over the Trump
 economy and you did NOT HEAR THAT under the Obama economy. Why have
 Obama's fans suddenly come up with this *it all started with Obama* mantra but the
 blacks and Hispanics NEVER came out shouting Obama's praises for his economy? It's
 because the fact is the economy was DEPRESSED under Obama and it's ecstatic under
- 190 Trump.
- African American employment increased by 976,000 since Trump's election if that had
 happened at any time during Obama's administration, you would have never heard the
 end of it. So when you go online and you see all these Harvard Libs with their charts
 trying to prove Obama is the one that got this started, remember that while figures don't
 lie, liars figure.
- 196 The fact is the Trump economy is even better than it appears in the numbers. That's 197 because hundreds of thousands have re-entered the job market - so with hundreds of 198 thousands reentering the job market you might expect the jobless numbers to increase 199 because you have so many new people looking for jobs, but the opposite is happening. 200 What this means in terms of percentages is the numbers don't quite reflect what's 201 happening. More people moving into the job market should drive the unemployment 202 numbers up — but in fact you have more people looking for jobs and yet the 203 unemployment number is going down. That means there are a lot of jobs opening up in 204 this economy — and that is what's happening.
- Another important number to look at is the welfare roll. Since Trump was elected, a little over 3.5 million have stopped taking food stamps. Reagan was right when he said we should measure welfare's success by how many leave welfare. Well, the welfare rolls doubled under Obama,¹⁰ then it dropped a little and leveled off in 2014, and 2015, but since Trump has been elected, it dropped precipitously, 3.6 million since Trump was elected President.

⁹ https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/president-donald-j-trumps-policies-delivering-record-breaking-economic-results-african-americans/

DDkQ9QEwAHoECAQQBA#imgrc=H3rJfw42feuk2M:&vet=1

- trying to protect the legacy of the worst president in America's history to save the Party;
- 213 but American's are experiencing the Trump economy; and Americans love it.
- Okay, I'm going to throw some numbers out there and I realize some eyes will gloss overbut hang in there with me because I need to lay this out.
- 216 "During the Obama years, median family income for black Americans declined 10.9%.
- 217 "From June 2007 to August 2012, the black workforce decreased from 58.6% in to 52.8%.
- "The black labor force participation rate, which rose throughout the 1980s and 1990s,declined sharply under Obama but now it stands at 61.4%.
- 220 "Black home ownership fell from 46.1% in 2009 to 43.3% in 2014.
- 221 "The percentage of black Americans living in poverty expanded from 25.8 to 27.2%."¹¹
- And here's the thing. Trump was not trying to help any specific demographic, except one: Americans! He has proved what I've known all along — work to help everybody, everybody wins. Try to help only a segment of the economy, everybody loses. He has shown that despite all the efforts of the modern hate-America-Democrats — this is still a great country. MAGA — all the way!
- 227 Now, as to the specific charges: let's look at them. I have three documents I'll draw from. 228 One was prepared by USA Today writer, Christal Hayes in an article titled "Here are 10 229 times President Trump's Statements Have Been Called Racist," August 14, 2018.¹² 230 Another was prepared by uber liberal Dana Milbank of The Washington Post, December 231 1, 2015. His article is titled: "Donald Trump is a bigot and a racist."¹³ Then there is 232 "Donald Trump's Racism: The Definitive List," by New York Times' David Leonhardt and 233 Ian Prasad Philbrick, Jan. 15, 2018.¹⁴ I'll be mixing these together where they overlap, 234 using the "Definitive List" as the outline.
- 235 First, "the New York Years." This one is a favorite. Trump was accused of discrimination 236 in his rental policies in New York in 1970; yeah, this goes back to the Nixon years. But it's 237 also one that gets little notice outside of their private circles. Why? Because he was never 238 convicted! He denied the charges, never even paid a fine in protest. To settle with New 239 York, he agreed to post a letter stating his policy clearly, and posting his policy at his 240 rental offices, or something like that. You see; here is what was happening. Some blacks 241 wanted to rent his apartments and he refused to do it because his office followed his 242 criteria for qualifying applicants, criteria that had to do with ability to pay, and whether 243 they had the character to take care of his house. So they found someone who would 244 complain, they attempted to charge him, and it failed.

7

 $^{^{11}\} http://www.printfriendly.com/print?url_s=uGGCkllpBAFGvGHGvBAmpBz...-nyrEG-wBHEAnyvFG-FnLF-znxr-nzrEvpn-tErnG-qrrCyL-rApBqrq-EnpvFzl$

¹² Christal Hayes, USA TODAY Published 4:00 p.m. ET Aug. 14, 2018 | Updated 11:39 p.m. ET Aug. 14, 2018 Here are 10 times President Trump's comments have been called racist

⁶⁵³b65c809eb_story.html?utm_term=.c59180f56969

¹⁴ Leonhardt, David and Philbrick, Ian Prasad https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2018/01/15/opinion/leonhardt-trump-racist.html

- Why would New York come after him? They wanted him to rent to section 8 recipients and he did not want to get into that market. Simple as that! You can call that racist if you want to, and you will call it racist if you are one. Because in that case you believe he *actually should* make decisions about renting according to skin color; but he chose not to.
- Next, "Trump treated black employees at his casinos differently from whites, according to multiple sources." This is all hearsay. All we have is the word of some disgruntled employee and Trump's denial. We don't have a situation where we have witnesses to corroborate these stories.
- 253 One anecdote is that when Trump would visit his Casinos the blacks were ushered out 254 and hid in the back room. Okay, like Trump did not know he had black people working 255 for him in the Casinos, when we know for a fact he knew he had black people working for 256 him in hundreds of other jobs. Weird! For example, supposedly he said, "Black guys 257 counting my money! I hate it ... I think that the guy is lazy. And it's probably not his 258 fault, because laziness is a trait in blacks." Wow! Pretty racist. Almost as racist as some of 259 the things I've heard tell Hillary has said, and the Bill has said, or that LBJ said, or how 260 about as racist as Obama's comment about Bible and gun clingers? Shame on Trump if he 261 said this. But, again, he denies it! No one has stepped forward to corroborate it. So it 262 becomes fodder for the Trump haters; but, as you have noticed, it simply does not stick! 263 Why? Because if Trump was someone who talked like this, there would be hundreds of 264 such anecdotes and there would be corroboration.
- 265 Third, Trump took out an ad in New York newspapers "urging the death penalty for five 266 black and Latino teenagers accused of raping a white woman in Central Park." Trump was 267 really worked up over this case. All the evidence indicated their guilt — if you read the ad, 268 however, there is not a racist statement anywhere in it. For example, "Many New York 269 families — White, Black, Hispanic and Asian — have had to give up the pleasure of a 270 leisurely stroll in the Park at dusk ..." His rant was "what has happened to the respect for 271 authority, the fear of retribution by the courts, society and the police for those who break 272 the law, who wantonly trespass on the right of others?" And so forth. You should read the 273 entire ad; I cant' take more time for it now. There is not one racist sentiment expressed in 274 that ad - be only thing is it just so happens that the case he was worked up about275 involved blacks and Latinos. That's it! You see; if you are angry about a crime committed 276 in your community, you don't dare express your concern if it involves one of the liberal 277 protected races. I understand these kids were exonerated in the court because of DNA 278 testing — and that Trump continued to believe they were guilty — but you see this is 279 what I love about trump. It's as if he has race blinders on. He does not care about being 280 called a racist, he believed the preponderance of evidence that said they did it and 281 doubted whether the DNA evidence had been rigged. Well, that might be a lot of things, 282 and he was, in my opinion, probably wrong; I don't know. But that is not racist - the 283 idea that it is racist is a suspicion based on something they want to believe.
- Okay, next:
- 285 "An obsession with Dark-Skinned Immigrants." Really? These New York Times writers286 tell us this began in his 2016 Presidential campaign. They write that Trump was

"Disparaging immigrants as criminals and rapists." (These people have something broken in their brain that does not allow the word *illegal* to appear anywhere in their thoughts.)
It is something I most despise about these sorts of liberals — do you understand that it is a FLAT OUT LIE THAT TRUMP WAS DISPARAGING MEXICAN IMMIGRANTS AS CRIMINALS AND RAPISTS? It's a wicked, and evil thing to make such efforts to destroy a man's character in this way.

293 I might as well say David Leonhardt and Ian Prasad Philbrick are disparaging all Whites 294 in America with their comments about Donald Trump. It's nonsense. Trump is talking 295 about those who illegally cross our borders, A. and then B. MS-13 gang members. Uh 296 huh! That's right. In context of his speech he was talking about the fact that MS-13 gang 297 members cross our borders with other illegal aliens and commit these horrendous crimes 298 — but guys like these New York Times writers twist it into Trump was "disparaging 299 Mexican immigrants as criminals and rapists." They were not even immigrants, they are 300 criminals crossing our border illegally; and MS-13 gang members are using them as cover 301 to sneak in.

Because Trump wants to protect Americans, and called for a complete shutdown of Muslims entering the United States, including Muslim-American citizens who were outside the country at the time, he is a racist? So, what was FDR when he ordered the internment of Japanese American citizens during WWII? Such situations are indeed troubling, and frankly I am uncomfortable with the idea of barring a citizen from returning to his country, or taking citizens off to internment camps—but this was not about racism.

309 These are policies that we should debate, and discuss — but to assume they make Trump 310 a racist is absurd. How about they make him an American-Firster? You know. Sorry folks, 311 can't let you in right now, we have to make sure you are not going to blow us up.

Trump once expressed the opinion that — you know what? I'm going to have to make this a two parter. I've run up on my time.

- 314 So, next time, we'll pick it up from here; and listen, we are not going to leave one of these 315 proofs unanswered.
- 316 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them.

317 It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE ON and you will ride like Revere and spread

the warning, (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say LIGHTS

319 OFF -- which means you reject what I'm saying and disagree strongly with me. So, what

- 320 will it be today, lights on, or lights off?
- 321 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the322 CONTACT button and send me an email.
- 323 You can leave a message on our Lights On hotline: 805.314.2114.
- 324 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.