Brain Massage[®]: Show No. 714 Republican Senate Joins Democrats To Throw President Trump, And America, Under The Bus.

Jerry Scheidbach

<u>25</u>

26



Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA—and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

Original Air Date: 02.16.19

Republican Senate caves to the House Dems, uses threat of government shut down to betray America to those who want to destroy the U.S. — drops the wall on Trump!

What is the real *end game* for the Dems, for the GOPers, for Trump, and then for US?

Let's see, here is a headline for you, "Cory Booker beclowns himself during Neomi Rao confirmation hearing." I listened to some of Booker's inquisition of Neomi Rao, Trump appointee to take Kavanaugh's seat on the court of appeals, D.C. circuit. I am deeply disturbed by this trend in the Democrat party to set up courts of inquisition unabashedly using religious tests to disqualify appointments to our courts — another example of Christians need not apply.

Is there a general move to intentionally push Christians out of public life? I've experienced this personally — right here in our court in Santa Maria, by the way. I'll tell you about it.

Hmmm, let's see — Dinesh called a racist by an all white mob of protestors at Dartmouth College — black commentator, Terrence Williams, tweets — "Look at these college students @dartmouth throwing temper tantrums & screaming racism because @DineshDSouza is there. He is a successful immigrant & I thought they love and support all immigrants." On the video he attached he called them out — here is a group of all white college students calling a minority a racist? I thought minorities could not be racist. Here you are attacking an immigrant; I thought you loved all immigrants. I thought you were the people of tolerance —

McCabe — will he be the John Dean of collusion-gate? Some in my audience are too young to remember that Dean is remembered for being the one who ultimately brought Nixon down in the infamous Watergate scandal back in the early 70s. McCabe probably is not a parallel to Dean, he is playing a very different role in collusion-gate — his role is rather more like that of Deep Throat! That's the FBI agent that leaked inside information about the Watergate investigation — and there is another difference. Andrew McCabe is undoing the Dems investigation, a sort of reverse Deep Throat. He is inadvertently revealing some very dirty secrets — like in 2016 when Trump was elected a plot was considered to conspire against the President, to frame him with a false charge they could use to initiate the 25th — to have him removed from office: a conspiracy to overturn the election of the American people.

Well, maybe I'll get to that — hmmm, the Smollett attack is looking more like a hoax as the investigation proceeds. The two caught on video that were thought to have been involved — turns out they were former cast members on Empire — Smollett's gig! So they are buds — Nigerian — a far cry from the white MAGA hat homeys throwing bleach and

- racist slurs that as reported by Smollett. But, the investigation continues so, who knows! They might find an Oswald or two they can pin this on hehe. Look, such
- behavior is outrageous and anyone perpetrating such racist bigotry must be brought to
- 41 justice. Can I get an Amen?
- The Green New Deal will be voted on in the Senate and Schumer is none too happy about
- 43 it! McConnell wants to allow Senator's to go on record in support or in opposition to
- 44 AOC's push for socialism in America as we go into the 2020 election. Oh yeah, California
- 45 governor Gavin Newsome gave a 28 minute State of the State address: heavy on emotion,
- light on substance, typical Dem-speak.
- Well folks, it's time for your brain massage.
- 48 [TRUTH]
- 49 Republican Senate caves to the House Dems. What is the real end game for the Dems, for
- the GOPers, for Trump, and then for US?
- More than 60% of the American people want the wall (you'll notice they are trying to
- 52 transition to *barrier* the animus against Trump runs so deep in the psyche of these
- people they simply cannot yield to him any credit for anything he has done. He talked
- about the wall throughout his campaign and he is actually getting it built, too, but you
- 55 would never know.
- Anyway, they can't have a report out there that says more than 60% of the American
- people want the wall so they have it say, 60% of the American people want the *barrier*.
- It would be funny if it were not so sad.
- 59 Enough Republicans in the Senate joined with Democrats to pass the budget deal all
- that is left is for Trump to sign it. What's in this bill, and will Trump sign it?
- 61 McConnell tells us he talked with Trump and that Trump will sign the bill and call for a
- 62 national emergency to get funding to build the wall, and that he would support the
- national emergency. It sounds like he negotiated a deal with the President, you sign the
- bill, and we will stand behind your declaration of a national emergency.
- 65 However, I understand there are things in this omnibus bill that undermine the
- 66 President's policies on immigration and there is concern that one provision in it could
- block building "the wall" even if the President had the funding he is asking for.
- 68 In other words, I'm being told there are some items in this bill that undermine the
- President's promise to protect us from the illegal invasion from our southern border.
- It's over 1000 pages of legaleze how can anyone be expected to have time to read over
- this before they decide how to vote? I'm being told there are some provisions here that encourage illegal immigration. Provisions for a fast track to amnesty, providing what
- encourage illegal immigration. Provisions for a fast track to amnesty, providing what amounts to recreational centers for those caught attempting to cross our border illegally
- 74 and very little if any provision for the WALL.
- 75 I'm told there is a provision allowing localities to deny a Federal wall to be built in their
- 76 jurisdiction and a number of other alarming things about this bill —

- I've browsed, briefly browsed over the language of the bill and I must say, I did not notice any of these things in the summary or in the language but, hey, this is a 1000 + plus page document and I would need an entire day to pour over it before I could say I'm comfortable with it.
- One thing I would recommend to our President, he should not sign it until he has had people he trusts supports his agenda on immigration reform read over it and advise him of its contents.
- Now, I've not heard that Trump has signed it I have heard that he is going to sign it, but no definitive report that he has signed it.
- 86 If the bill incorporates the sorts of provisions I'm being told it does, I pray he becomes alerted to this soon enough and does not sign it.
- 60+ percent of the American people want the wall funded. When it comes to him declaring a national emergency to circumvent Congress and direct funding for the wall is not so well supported something like 30% maybe it's closer to 40% but the American people want the wall but those governing us do not want the wall.
- $\begin{array}{ll} 92 & \text{There are many reasons for this} \text{but don't underestimate the power of drug money to} \\ 93 & \text{influence corrupt politicians.} \end{array}$
- 94 Don't underestimate the influence of a lust for power to corrupt some others of these 95 swamp creatures and motivate them to undermine the will of 60%+ of the American 96 people. Some think it is a political win to have illegals come into our country and vote. 97 (Real Americans would not vote Democratic today if they had any sense of what is going 98 on in that party. The survival of that party today totally depends marxist socialists 99 flooding our voting booths — they depend on people voting whose motivation is one 100 thing — stay in the country — it's the most bizarre thing — so many here illegally voting 101 in our elections who could care less about America, and only care for their personal 102 situation. Socialist politicians are manipulating the system to stay in power hoping to 103 transform America into a communist dictatorship.
- They have manipulated the language of debate very successfully, they are winning what I called the rhetoric wars several years ago, and that's because the communists control the MSM and the Education system in this country and they have been promoting communist policies in our government for decades under other names so that today, ironically, the principles of communist socialism, are advocated under the name of Democracy.
- And one party is so overrun with socialist/dictators people who believe the government should own the means of production and be empowered to distribute the wealth of the nation as they see fit to destroy the inalienable right of a man to OWN the product of HIS OWN LABOR and to keep what his PARENTS pass to him or her—
 it's robbery, plain and simple —
- I'm getting off into the Green New Deal and I want to save that for the next segment but the fact is, our government DOES NOT REPRESENT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE or

- the INTERESTS OF AMERICA. And I'm talking about both sides Democrats, especially, but the Republicans too.
- 119 If half the things I've heard are in fact in this bill, no Republican should support it.
- 120 I agree with Rush, when it comes to the immigration issue, there is only one party in 121 Washington; it's the OPEN BORDERS party. They simply do not want to secure our 122 borders, and when you start asking why, you find there are layers of motivations ranging 123 from the simple minded idea that we don't want to bar poor people from coming to our 124 country to find a better life, all the way to, they are getting big payments from Drug Cartel 125 money and/or they are connected to the drug and sex trafficking operations and want to 126 facilitate their business interests — that is part of this and we need to pray God will 127 expose it. Sometimes it's two or three steps removed from the politician promoting these
- horrible policies but it's there.
- 129 Essentially, though, it comes down to this. Illegal immigration provides voters for the
- Democrats and as they push their socialist anti-capitalist, and anti-Christ agenda harder and harder they are losing their control over the black vote and the woman vote, because
- there are enough there who actually do love America, who understand the reason we are
- there are enough there who actually do love America, who understand the reason we are the land of the free is because of the principles ensconced in the Declaration and
- 134 Constitution and so the Democrats are becoming increasingly desperate to control the
- immigration vote so, it's all, vote for us we will make you legal. But the dirty little
- secret is they won't they will never give up their ability to control you.
- Remember, the devil always wears the face of compassion while by his lies he stirs up evil
- passions, seducing us away from our only protection faith in God and TRUST in the
- 139 TRUTH.

141

- Hold on through the break. I'll be right back.
- Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse,
- your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church
- belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
- liberty.
- 146 "Cory Booker beclowns himself during Neomi Rao confirmation hearing." On my way
- back from Sacramento, where I had the pleasure to hear some truly God fearing patriot
- representatives in our State government speak to us about what's going on in Sacramento
- and what they are doing to protect our liberties from the communist tyrants there
- working day and night to steal from us our liberties (by the way, this group of pastors
- are rallying to Pastor John Evertson's leadership in California of something called Awake
- 152 America California. Look it up online and jump in and help us) anyway, on my way
- 153 home ...
- 154 I listened to some of Booker's inquisition of Neomi Rao, Trump appointee to take
- Kavanaugh's seat on the court of appeals, D.C. circuit.

- He was badgering her to declare her personal beliefs about morality as if somehow her
- religious beliefs and convictions could disqualify her from holding a position on the
- 158 court.
- 159 I was not really thrilled with her responses, either, but the fact is, these questions have no
- place in ascertaining the qualification for holding a position on our courts.
- Booker asked Rao whether she though homosexual relationships, in her opinion, were
- 162 immoral.1
- She attempted to dodge the question: "Umm, Senator, I'm not sure the relevance of that
- 164 ..." and Booker interrupted her, "I think it's relevant to your opinion if you think African-
- American relationship are immoral, do you think gay relationships are immoral?"
- 166 She answered, "I do not."
- Booker was not satisfied with that answer. He followed up with "Do you believe they are a
- 168 sin?'
- Rao answered, "Senator, my personal views on any of these subjects are things I would
- 170 put to one side."
- Booker charges in: "So you're not saying here whether you believe it is sinful for two men
- to be married. You're not willing to comment on that?"
- 173 To which Rao replied: "Senator, no."
- Booker wants to amplify her response so he used an old trick: "Excuse me? I didn't hear
- 175 your response."
- Rao answered: "My answer is that these personal views are ones that I would put to one
- side, whatever my personal views are on this subject, I would faithfully follow the
- 178 precedents of the Supreme Court."
- 179 Stage was set Booker went off on a screed about past abuses, of long histories of
- violence, intimidation, and bullying, often done in the name of religion but what does
- any of that have to do with questioning someone about their qualifications to hold a
- position on the court?
- 183 Wait! Hold on! Think about this.
- 184 All of the jurists claim some religious persuasion. His tirade indiscriminately
- discriminated against all religion there is only one conclusion anyone could take from
- his monologue religious people who hold traditional beliefs about sexual morality have
- 187 no place on the court, or in government, or in public life —
- 188 In other words, was he accusing Rao of perpetrating violence, intimidation, bullying,
- against anyone? No! His only accusation was that such things have been done in the name
- 190 of religion.

¹ https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/cory-booker-beclowns-himself-during-neomi-rao-confirmation-hearing

- Well, Booker, such things have been done in the name of atheism too; millions have been murdered in the name of Socialism, millions have been murdered in the name of
- murdered in the name of Socialism, millions have been murdered in the name of Communism (I know, same thing). Hey, Mr. Booker, since 1973 more than 54 million²
- babies have been murdered in the wombs of their mothers in the name of choice. Hey,
- Mr. Booker, Christians are being harassed, bullied, intimidated, and even physically
- attacked in the name of gay rights, and abortion rights —
- 197 And if it was only Booker we have been seeing this sentiment, this business, of
- applying a religious litmus test on candidates for appointments to our courts coming
- from Democrats for a while now: Feinstein, D. CA, Kamala Harris, D. CA, Mazie Hirono,
- D. Hawaii, Sheldon Whitehouse, D. RI, Dick Durbin, D. ILL. All of these Democrat
- Representatives and Senators have attempted to impugn the faith of those Trump has
- 202 nominated to the court —
- I am deeply disturbed by this trend in the Democrat party to set up courts of inquisition
- 204 unabashedly using religious tests to disqualify appointments to our courts another
- example of Christians need not apply.
- I wish these nominees would turn the tables on these yahoos. I guess they are concerned
- 207 they will not get the recommendation from the Congress they think they need the
- 208 Constitution says the President appoints and the Congress gives their advice and consent
- 209 and for decades the Congress has always deferred to the President's nominations and
- 210 the only concern they raised in their questioning of the candidates was strictly limited to
- their judicial qualifications their personal opinions were off limits.
- That has changed. And it has changed because Democrat appointed jurists have
- aggressively used their influence on the court to advance their Democrat agenda in
- other words, the Democrats know they appoint Jurists who will faithfully promote their
- agenda and they are afraid of judicial activism working the other way for a change and
- so they are concerned.
- Look, I'd say, Senator, you are rightly concerned about judicial activism. I appreciate that
- 218 concern, in fact, I share in that concern. For many years now we have had appointees
- from your side of the aisle who have used their position on the court to advance their personal agendas. They have acted as if they were the law, and used their power on the
- court to advance their personal opinions about social issues and I resent that. So, allow
- me to assure you that I will not be a judicial activist like so many judges have been that
- you approve of. I am different. I will rule based on LAW.
- My personal opinions will not influence my decisions. If a case comes before me
- regarding abortion, I will rule according to the law of the land. If a case comes before me
- on the issue of homosexuality, or gay marriage, I will rule according to the law.
- Making law is something you do adjudicating cases is the work of applying the law to
- each case as it appears before me.

² https://www.politifact.com/new-jersey/statements/2012/mar/18/chris-smith/chris-smith-says-more-54-million-abortions-have-be/

- Now, as for my personal beliefs. That is none of your business, officially, that is. However,
- 230 if you would like to have a theological discussion about sin, I would be very glad to oblige.
- 231 I'm sure we can find space on my calendar to meet for a private and personal
- conversation, I'll have one of my secretaries contact your office.
- 233 If the Senator persisted, I would cite the Constitution prohibition against a religious test
- and, playfully, rule him out of order, or something like that.
- 235 The Senate is not GOD Senators are servants of the American people sworn to uphold
- the Constitution.
- No Senator has a right to badger a nominee, nor does any Senator have the right to apply
- 238 a religious test for holding office. That is contrary to the LAW Ted Cruz was right to
- chastise the Senator from Illinois for his unlawful inquisition.
- The Green New Deal will be voted on in the Senate and Schumer is none too happy about
- 241 it! McConnell wants to allow Senator's to go on record in support or in opposition to
- AOC's push for socialism in America as we go into the 2020 election.
- What's with this Green New Deal? The first summary put up by AOC's team specifically
- stipulated economic security for all in America, whether they were unable or unwilling to
- 245 work. When this was brought out and AOC started getting hammered for such a
- ridiculous proposal, they pulled that doc off and put up a rewrite. The rewrite says the
- new deal will guarantee economic security to all Americans or, actually, to every one in
- America, which leave it open to everyone residing in our country.
- That's even crazier than Communism at least under Communism the people had to
- work. If they did not work, where the government told them to work, how long the
- 251 government told them to work, as hard as the government told them to work, then they
- 252 were arrested as hooligans, sent to prison, where they were force to work. Of course, the
- 253 trick there was that if you were a Christian, you were not allowed to get a job, so you were
- 254 then arrested for being a hooligan, and put in prison and forced labor.
- 255 Unless you would recant, denounce your belief in God then you could return to society
- and get a job in government employment because everyone worked for the government.
- 257 Of course that system collapsed after 70 years so do we have to go into 70 years of
- darkness and oppression before we figure out that people like AOC have no idea what
- they are talking about.
- Or, they do! And what they want is total government control of everything. In fact, AOC
- admitted as much. When she was asked if her Green New Deal would require a massive
- government takeover, she said, "yeah, I have no problem saying that."
- During a radio interview with NPR host Steve Inskeep, Ocasio-Cortez admitted she
- knows her proposal would require a massive government takeover of the economy.
- NPR's Steve Inskeep said "As you know, congresswoman, one reason that people who are
- politically conservative are skeptical of efforts to combat climate change is that it sounds
- to them like it requires massive government intervention which they just don't like," and

- then he asked, "Are you prepared to put on the table that yes, they're actually right, what this requires is massive government intervention?"
- Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez said, right outloud, in front of God and she thought only the NPR audience:
- "It does." "It does. Yeah, I have no problem saying that."

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

- However, the same day, during an interview on MSNBC, Ocasio-Cortez criticized the political Right, saying that the Right was trying to "mischaracterize" her plan. She said,
- 275 "One way that the Right does try to mischaracterize what we're doing as though it's like some kind of massive government takeover."
- She continued. "Uh, obviously what we're trying to do is, well obviously it's not that because what we're trying to do is release the investments from the federal government to mobilize those resources across the country."
- Now, all normal people are furrowing their brow, A. trying to comprehend this convoluted gobbeldygook³, and B. getting the gist of it exclaiming, you've got to be kidding me.
 - So, the right is mischaracterizing her Green New Deal proposal when they claim it will require massive government take over of the American economy — it will destroy capitalism and replace it with virtual socialism — because what she wants to do is, and listen carefully here, "release the investments from the federal government to mobilize those resources across the country." Right? Got it? No? I know, she said nothing. First, what investments are being held by the government right now! Would that be our taxes, our investment of tax dollars — what is she saying? Whatever investments the government is holding that she wants to release she wants released to "mobilize those resources" — what resources? The resources she speaks of in the proposal? Well, if that's the case, she wants a massive redistribution of our tax dollars — and where will she get enough to cover universal pre-paid health care for everyone, free education through university for everyone, and this includes all illegals — whom she does not call illegal since they are descendants of the indigenous peoples of these United States — all of this will be paid for by — well, for starters, by taxing the "rich" 70% on everything they earn above 10 million — and I think I heard her say one time that she wants to tax the wealth of the rich above 10 million dollars — and that's reasonable, right.
- I mean who needs more than 10 million dollars worth of assets. Are you listening to what these people are proposing?
- They want the government to waltz in and take property away everything someone owns over 10 million dollars. That's not just income, that's wealth.
- That won't disturb a great many people I know but it disturbs me deeply.

³ Gobbledygook: noun, language that is meaningless or is made unintelligible by excessive use of abstruse technical terms; nonesense.

- And, I need to close, but I really want to put this out there. I keep hearing people respond to AOC's green new deal like this, well that's great, but how will you pay for it? By the time she runs through all the wealth she would confiscate from the rich, she will then have to turn to the second tier rich and do it again, and then when they run through all that, they'll have to come after the middle class, and then finally after everyone the minute you give the government the right to take private property from those who own it, you have LOST YOUR LIBERTY! It's gone.
- I wish people would quit reacting to her proposal as if it's a "great idea, but it's impractical." NO! It's not a great idea. It's SINFUL. It's wicked thievery, it's STEALING the property that rightly and justly belongs to another. It's not social justice, it's social stealing!
- These people are robbers! They are thieves at heart. They have no respect the PRIVATE PROPERTY and while they are wearing the mask of compassion, encouraging and executing policies that play on evil passions (envy, jealousy, and laziness oohh, I can get theirs for nothing, sexual immorality, indolence) they will finally break us away from any protection (the Declaration, the Constitution, the BIBLE, GOD) and enslave us to their hunger for power and control. It's not who owns the wealth, but who controls it, after all, at the end of the day, that truly has the power.
- Exactly as our founders warned democracy is fine until wicked people of low character find out they can use their vote to take away the wealth of others to fill their own pockets.

 Or something very like that.
- I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them. Call 805.314.2114 or go to brainmassage.net to send me a message.
- God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.