Brain Massage[®]: Show No. 707 Goodbye 2018! / Government Trying To Take The Place Of The Gospel / The Plight Of Trump's Wall In 2019!

Original Air Date: 12.29.18

Jerry Scheidbach



- 1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in 2 Santa Maria, CA—and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.
- 3 4 5 6 7 The last show of 2018: this year we said goodbye to George H. W. Bush, Billy Graham, Charles Krauthammer, Aretha Franklin, Burt Reynolds, Stan Lee, Roy Clark, and many others of note, rich men, poor men, good men, bad men. How will you die? We don't know the names of those noteworthy persons-to-be who were born in 2018 — but we all have a beginning and an ending. While we have little to nothing to do with how we 8 began, we all have a great deal to do with how we end. End well!
- 9 2018 worst celebrity outbursts.
- 10 The most draw-dropping moments of 2018.
- 11 The latest most moronic outbreaks of Trump-derangement syndrome.
- 12 Four victories for faith.
- 13 Trump's Wall, and the shutdown showdown.
- 14 All gearing up toward a clear vision for 2020 (hah) friends, it's time for your Brain
- 15 Massage.
- 16 [TRUTH]
- 17 2018 worst celebrity outbursts: Newbusters publishes a "year in review" and this year 18 wrapped up their series with what they consider the "worst celebrity outbursts" —
- 19 Samantha Bee rated top billing for the list with her vile comment concerning Ivanka: 20 "Ivanka Trump, who works at the White House, chose to post the second most obvious 21 tweet we've seen this week."
- 22 What Tweet is she talking about? Ivanka posted a very sweet picture of her holding her 23 young son, oh, I don't know, maybe he is a year old, foreheads touching — a sweet 24 picture, with the caption My heart, #Sundaymorning. That's it! What's the problem?
- 25 Brian Klaas, Washington Post columnist, gets outraged over this picture calling it 26 "unbelievably tone deaf" — because Ivanka is the President's daughter and works for the 27 President in the White House which makes her share culpability with the President for all 28 his polices — yep, that's how stupid political scientists are these days — but this falls in 29
- line with the new liberal value system.
- 30 Today liberals, the core leadership and vocal followers of the Left, have not only 31 abandoned innocent-until-proven-guilty, but now we see they have subscribed to guilt-
- 32 by-association. So the degeneration of the left continues.
- 33 But, anyway, because Ivanka works for President Trump she is guilty for his crimes
- 34 against humanity — Oh, my, what is Trump up to now?

- Well, it's already an old news, but, according to Brian Klaas "political scientist" who serves as Assistant Professor in Global Politics at University College London, and writes a column for the Washington Post Trump is guilty for "young kids being forcibly ripped from the arms of their parents at the border" and this, according to this astute political observer is "a barbaric policy that Ivanka Trump is complicit in supporting."
- Even though, where do I begin? Even though this policy was created during the Clinton administration, continued during the Bush and Obama administrations, and enforced by all but most vigorously by Clinton and Obama; and ...
- Even though this policy was a liberal effort to keep children from being detained for crimes committed by their parents ... (and get them into the country in foster care homes) ... and
- Even though Trump is the only one of these Presidents who clearly objected to the idea of children being separated from their parents and set out to do what he could to resolve that issue did you hear me say Trump is the only one of these Presidents who expressed his distaste for the policy —
- But it is the law, passed by Liberals for the interests of liberals to advance the liberal agenda but, it is the LAW nonetheless —
- Well, Samantha Bee continues "You know, Ivanka, that's a beautiful photo of you and your child, but let me just say, one mother to another, do something about your dad's immigra tion practices, you feckless c**t. He listens to you! Put on something tight and low-cut and tell your father to f***ing stop it!"
- Wow! *Sammy* Bee has got a stinger! What a nasty woman! To her credit she did apologize the next day. I guess that one stung her back.
 - (Don't get all torqued out! Calling a woman *nasty* is a compliment these days I mean, to the Left. The Left is getting weirder by the day. Sally Field took *tongue-in-cheek* umbrage at Bee for calling Ivanka a c**t. She said, "I like Samantha Bee a lot, but she is flat wrong to call Ivanka a c**t. C**ts are powerful, beautiful, nurturing and honest." Okay! So, according to one lefty Bee was complimenting Ivanka sad *sally*.)
 - Bee, on May 31, 2018, on her show, *Full Frontal*, called the President's daughter a c**t because in her opinion it was distasteful for her to Tweet a photo of herself holding her baby while mothers are having their babies ripped from their arms at the border. Bee is very immature; her reasoning capacity is grossly underdeveloped and, well, maybe we need to give her a pass; it appears Samantha Bee might suffer from some deficiency since this is a regular thing with her saying things that betray a woeful lack of mature understanding about life. Maybe I can help with this Sammy as one father to a mother Ivanka is not exposing her baby to harm by trying to sneak him across the border illegally, breaking US laws. Maybe these mothers share some blame for having their babies separated from them because they are breaking our laws.

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

¹ http://time.com/5292914/ivanka-trump-twitter-immigrant-child-separation/

² https://www.newsbusters.org/print/236150

By the way, talking about border laws that are ridiculous. (I don't like separating children from parents either! However, in the case of illegal border crossing, I don't understand why they don't simply turn them around and send them home. Period! Catch and RETURN is the policy I think makes best sense. As for those seeking Asylum, well, we can only do what we can do. What we CANNOT do is allow them into the country without proper vetting. Period! And if the border is so overwhelmed we cannot process these Asylum requests we will have to allow for the seeker to make an informed consent to be separated until their case can be adjudicated, or else they have to return, or go elsewhere.

I understand that children have difficulty with these hard situations, which is why adults need to be making these decisions, Sam, I hope you will mature sufficiently to understand, but until then — have the decency to not be calling perfectly decent and respectable women vile names. Express your opinion, but, have some class —

Then there is Michelle Wolf's attack on Women, conservative one's, of course — at the White House Correspondents Association Dinner, April 28 — mind you, this is supposed to be funny — okay, so here is what passes for humor on the left these days: "You guy have got to stop putting Kellyanne on your shows. All she does is lie. If you don't give her a platform, she has nowhere to lie. It's like that old saying, if a tree falls in the woods, how do we get Kellyanne under that tree?" — okay, that was the laugh line. We are supposed to laugh at the twist at the end of that line — we are supposed to laugh at the idea of a tree falling on Kellyanne — then Wolf tried to mitigate the obviously obnoxious noxiousness of her statement — "I'm not suggesting she gets hurt. Just stuck. Stuck under a tree."

Then, of course, she had to take a shot at Ivanka — "There's also, of course, Ivanka. She was supposed to be an advocate for women but it turns out she's about as helpful to women as an empty box of tampons." That was the laugh line — Ivanka is as helpful to women as an empty box of tampons. Is that funny to you? Hillary was as helpful to women as an empty box of tampons—maybe that makes you laugh! I don't know.

I remember some very vile and horrible things were said about Michele Obama — and their kids too, by low class CONservatives who think they are being cute. So what's my point? Wait for it!

- Wolf goes on to say, about Ivanka, "So, I guess like father, like daughter."4
- I can think of a venue in which such a "performance" would be both welcome and considered by that audience to be funny it would be a real yuck fest for Trump hating liberals, right? Right!
- This performance was presented to the White House Correspondents Association Dinner. Is that a venue for Trump hate, and mean-spirited gibes meant to demean and hurt?

⁴ Ibid.

³ Ibid.

- 109 Since when did the entire press corps (thank you Obama — for a corpse of journalism it 110 is, truly) become dedicated to the degradation of the President. Wasn't this an event to
- 111 which Trump and his family were invited?
- 112 You see, Liberalism spoils all it touches, including the decorum expected from people we 113 assume have at least the minimal manners to make them suitable for polite company.
- 114 It's one thing to poke fun at someone, it's another thing to rudely insult him or her.
- 115 Running out of time for this segment — here is a real zinger: "More dead children. We 116
- can't continue this madnes! We're done! We're done with your 'the @NRA didn't pull 117 the trigger' — spin. We are done with your pity, thoughts and prayers. You flooded
- 118 America with guns. The gun lobby and gun manufacturers value profit over lives.
- 119 #NoRA." This was a Tweet by the actress Alyssa Milano, responding to the Santa Fe 120 shooting. For a long while this sort of thing would infuriate me (and it still does) but I'm
- 121 beginning to become concerned about these people. Are they really just stupid?
- 122 I'm being serious although I fear some who have been brainwashed by political 123 correctness will fail to appreciate my sincerity in this concern. I've addressed it repeatedly 124 lately, the word stupid, it refers to someone who shows a "great lack of intelligence or 125 common sense."⁵ I do not mean it as a derisive derogatory epithet thrown out there to 126 simply express frustration, anger, or scorn. Truly, liberals today, so many of them, are just 127
- 128 common sense.
- 129 Milano, dear Milano, are you so stupid you don't understand that supporter of the NRA

plain stupid! They betray, repeatedly by their statements, a gross lack of intelligence and

- 130 believe guns SAVE LIVES exponentially more often than they are used to murder anyone.
- 131 Guns protect us! And it's always a gun that shows up to stop the bad guy. Now, we might 132 disagree on this; right? It's the simple facts, but people can have a debate about this issue
- 133 — but Milano, et al, attack the integrity of anyone that disagrees with them. The NRA and
- 134 gun manufacturers care more about "profit" than they do about lives? Really? Do you
- 135 really believe that? Is it just a spewing of hate filled speech to express your frustration over
- 136 the powerlessness of government to change human nature, to make men good, to create
- 137 by laws and government compulsion the utopian dream of Marx?
- 138 Well, that's bad enough! That's wrong headed enough! That's certainly something we
- 139 need to vigorously oppose. There is no way government can change human nature — it
- 140 can only provide checks against it, it cannot change it. Only the GOSPEL can effect a 141
- transformation of the nature of a man. But liberals want to use government to change 142 human nature; and it's impossible anyway, but the real problem is when government
- 143 starts trying to change human nature, it invariably begins stripping all of us of our human
- 144 rights.
- 145 But, Milano, is really nothing more than this — you're just stupid! You don't have the
- 146 mental capacity to reason maturely.

⁵ Apple online dictionary — 2018

- How sad. Our "government education" in this country has failed you, and Bee, and so many others.
- Okay, I do need to end this segment, but I must throw one more into the list here —
- Rapper Cardi B Instagrammed this jewel of stupidity: "Dear Lord you took Michael
- Jackson who was my favorite male singer, you took Whitney Houston who was my favorite female singer, you took Mohammad Ali who was my favorite boxer[.] I just want
- favorite female singer, you took Mohammad Ali who was my favorite boxer[.] I just want you to know that Donald Trump is my favorite [P]resident." Very funny! Among the
- you to know that Donald Trump is my favorite [P]resident." Very funny! Among the
- other not so funny statements in this Instagram from rapper Cardi B "why should [I] feel
- bad[;] this man probably wishes anybody that is not white [dead]."6
- The list continues, but I need to stop here. Look, the point is liberalism, as Savage says, is
- a mental disorder. Reasonable people don't think the way liberals do these days. I think it
- is attributable to a miserably failed education system in our country. But the brilliant
- burst of insight I hope you caught in this monologue was that liberalism thinks
- government can change human nature. The problem with this is that first, it's impossible;
- and second, the attempt always results in tyranny.
- Hold on through the break. I'll be right back.
- Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse,
- your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church
- belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
- liberty.
- 167 The most draw-dropping moments of 2018:
- 168 I appreciate NewsBusters. Here are some excerpts and comments on their 2018's Most
- 169 Jaw Dropping Moments On ABS's The View. Last segment I mentioned the famous
- Savage line: *liberalism is a mental disorder*. Consider these draw-dropping moments on
- 171 The View as evidence that he is right.
- Some liberals are offended that Michael Savage says, "Liberalism is a mental disorder." I
- can't offer an apology, and by that I mean, a defense, for his use of that statement since
- 174 I've not had the privilege to sit down with him and explore his rationale for it; but I have
- listened to him enough to know he is a brilliant man, and so my guess is, he means that
- liberals have lost their ability to think orderly, that is rationally, reasonably which is
- mental disorder; the essential beliefs of modern day liberalism disorders their mind
- 178 interfering with their ability to think clearly, which leads to aberrant and irrational
- 179 behavior.
- 180 But what does it mean when Behar, Sherri Shepherd and Samy Hostin take turns
- insulting the faith of Vice President Mike Pence? Behar said he has "Mental illness"
- because he prays that is, "He talks to Jesus in the White House"? That, to Behar, means
- he has a mental illness.
- 184 Is this equivalent to Savage's *liberalism is a mental disorder*?

⁶ https://www.newsbusters.org/print/236150

Well, no! Michael Savage does not call liberalism a mental illness, or disease. He calls it a mental disorder. I realize there is debate over the question of whether anything like the *mind* actually exists; I believe it does. It used to be a distinction would be maintained between mental disorders (a disorder of the mind) and mental illness (a disease of some physical organ affecting the functions of *mind*). Today, of course, we have arrived at a place where psych science has all but universally denied the existence of anything other than what is physical — therefore, disorders of the mind are considered to originate in some disorder of the body. I think it's the other way around, most of the time. Disorders in the mind (say spirit, and or soul) affect disruptions in the body; although there are occasions when physical illness affects mental functions. It's precisely because it's a complex interface between spirit and body that science cannot sort it all out — they have denied the Creator, so they go on ever learning but never able to come to the truth.

So, as you can see, it gets philosophical, and even theological, and these integrate into the scientific either through a presumption that there is no God or the presumption that there is. In either case, the thinker begins with some sort of presupposition that is faith based; that is, taken by them on faith. Although, the birth, life, death, and resurrection of Christ is all premised on empirical evidence that, as Mr. McDowel tells us, *demands a verdict*. Or, in other words, Christianity, Ms. Behar, is the only religion, out side of Judaism, that rests its veracity on empirical evidence: facts in the physical world giving witness to truth in the spiritual.

205 Okay? Okay!

So, if Savage is saying liberalism is a disordering of the mind induced by false beliefs, that's one thing. However, if he is saying liberalism is the consequence of some physical impairment, that's another. Although, an argument could be made that the sort of thinking we see in liberalism may be connected to the physical consequences of the Fall of man. But that goes a bit too deep into these matters, at least for the present.

- The bottom line is no one is going to round up liberals and haul them off to re-education camps, or label them mentally ill and so have them committed to mental illness hospitals.
- What does Behar mean? Does she actually believe Christianity, praying, is evidence of mental illness? I fear she betrayed a belief that, by the way, she shares in common with many today, that Christians who pray suffer a mental illness. Christians have a legitimate concern that government can be so corrupted as to decide Christians are mentally diseased and in need of re-education, or of internment into a mental health facility under the "care" of doctors who will work to heal them of their sickness.
- Behar's statement out-loud in front of God and everybody should be heard as the shot over the bow in the war of the psychiatric community against Christianity. These two have been in a fight since Darwinism replaced Christianity as the foundation for knowledge and scientific enquiry.
- That debate has turned into a war with the psychiatrists holding sway over the powers of the government add to that the belief that government can, and therefore should,

- direct human nature, and you have a formula for some serious problems in the future of America if we don't see a truly God sent REVIVAL come to America in these last days.
- Consider these statements from secularists as evidence that Michael Savage is right about liberalism:
- During the Kavanaugh hearings, Liberals said "Kavanaugh wants to turn the U.S. into an Apartheid State, and make women "handmaids." Again, Joy Behar and company going nuts over the Justice Kavanaugh nomination. Those who understand the basis of this attack is utter contempt for Christian values and beliefs will immediately see that the attack on Kavanaugh was an attack on Christianity itself.
- Then there is the absurd claim, on The View, that Christine Ford's "truth" matters more than "facts." The minute you separate facts from truth you have a contradiction in terms. Facts aren't facts if they are untrue, and a truth claim that has no correspondence to facts cannot be accepted as True. At least in the world as it was before Darwin.
- But, we have enjoyed some victories for faith: Family Research Council reports that the seven cheerleaders in Texas who were told they could not display Bible verses on their high school rally banners won. The court ruled in their favor.
 - The Air Force Colonel, Leland Bohannon, who was accused of discrimination against a same sex couple because he refused to sign an "optional, unofficial" spouse appreciation certificate. I need to explain this a bit more so you can appreciate it. This Air Force Colonel was presented with retirement certificates to sign for a retiring Airman who, it happens, is a homosexual. He signed all the certificates, except one. The homosexual airman decided to attempt to make a point to this Colonel whom he knew to be a Christian. It was obviously an effort to compel the Colonel to recognize his "spouse." Retiring military can opt to include a recognition of their spouse, but in this case, the Christian Colonel did not feel comfortable signing a certificate recognizing this airman's homosexual marriage. So he passed the cert up to a superior officer who had no qualms, and signed it. This is never good enough for these people who want to FORCE Christians to legitimize their sin. So these bitter, offended, Homosexuals attempted to destroy this man's life and career. Well, the good news is they failed. It was seen for what it was, a meaningless spiteful attack — the guy got his signed certificate, he had no standing, really, to bring a suit, because he was offended by his superior officer. Anyway, this Colonel was reinstated to his position. That's a win for faith.
- Here is another one. The U.S. Supreme Court ruled 7-2 in favor of Colorado baker, Jack Phillips this is the baker who chose not to bake a wedding cake for a same-sex couple, and politely, and graciously, directed them to another baker whom he knew would be glad to have that business. The homosexuals were offended, and sued, and the State took it up, and it because a monster of a problem for this Christian's life. It finally worked it's way to the Supreme Court, and he won which is a win for faith.
- Also, remember the Fire Chief in Atlanta, GA, Kelvin Cochran? He was fired because he expressed his sincere convictions about homosexuality in a book he wrote in which he expressed his religious belief that homosexuality is a sin. He was fired from the Fire

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

- Department in Atlanta, GA. Outrageous! Well, this was finally settled, and Cochran received a 1.2 million dollar settlement from Atlanta City Council the court ruled that the city violated Cochran's right to free speech and that the government cannot discriminate against the religious beliefs of someone on the issue of sexuality and the sanctity of marriage.
- Okay, so we begin turning our attention toward a new year. Will we finally get our wall?
- Trump's Wall: he has been trying to get Congress to pass funding for his wall, but he can't even get it done with his own party holding both houses; how can he expect to get it done when the Dems retake control in January?
- Who can blame any of us who are suspicious that the leadership in the GOP really does not want the wall? At least the Dems are willing to go on record contradicting themselves about border security in their fight against the Wall but it seems to me there are some in the House who either do not have the courage to use the leadership we gave them to get this done, or they secretly don't want the wall and they hide behind the resistance of the Dems to provide political cover for their failure to do what we sent them there to do.
- $\begin{array}{ll} 281 & \text{On the other hand, these guys watch the political seascape carefully} -- \text{maybe you noticed} \\ 282 & \text{that the House flipped back into the control of the party that wants open borders.} \end{array}$
- 283 We can parse this every which way but loose — And I know, it's weird, how that a resent 284 poll says Latinos support for Trump held steady in the last election; and, I know, it's weird 285 that thousands in the Black community, Diamond and Silk, and the WalkOut movement, 286 and so on — with thousands of Blacks turning from the Dem party to vote Republican, 287 and every poll telling us the Americans want border security — all of this along with the 288 alarming increase in concerns about voter fraud (I mean, there are so many dead people 289 voting, and illegals, and, well, we have to get two votes to their every one just to win by a 290 narrow margin) — and the fact that something like 43 Republicans quit (most of them 291 moderate, or anti-Trump types) which weakened the party significantly going into this 292 election, which was by design, by the way; but at the end of the day, and at the bottom 293 line, is the fact that the Dems will control the House beginning next year.
- So Trump is going to the mats for us. He allowed the government to shut down (although everyone is getting all of their holiday pay), and the Dems are smiling because they think this is a win for them.
- If Trump could not get the wall for us when his party controlled both Houses of Congress, what is he going to do when the House leadership flips to the Dems in January?
- He is going to be hamstrung for at least two years trying to get anything done. His Supreme Court picks are likely to be approved since the Republicans continue to hold the Senate; and in fact, they increased their leverage in the Senate.
- But as far as funding for the wall is concerned –
- We need a way to work around this House. We need to get the politicians out of our way to get this done. Politicians are too petty, and selfish, to take care of this.

- I think we need a veteran who understand how to get things done to step in. How about Air Force veteran and triple amputee Brian Kolfage? Yeah, he can do it.
- He launched a GoFundMe campaign to fund the wall by private donations. He has raised over 17 million so far from almost 290k individuals like you and me. This was accoplished in only 9 days.
- The American Border Foundation has raised another near 192k, at www.FundTheWall.com.
- So the liberals are howling you can't just bypass the government like that. You need the government to do everything you can't just make special donations and direct the government to use them in a specific way GOVERNMENT, GOVERNMENT, GOVERNMENT it's the sacred cow of the left. If you don't bow to their government
- 316 you are a heretic and look out —
- But the fact is there is precedent for this. A billionaire some time back donated 7.2 million to rebuild the Washington monument after the 2012 earthquake in VA. I understand that the Bureau of Public Debt gets donations every year. The DHS expressly permist such donations. You can go online to get a document explaining how to give donations to Homeland Security. Remember when Ronald Reagan challenged children to chip in their pennies to help restore Lady Liberty?
- Also, Congress has already voted to build a border wall. See the Secure Fence Act of 2006.
- Don't be fooled by the "virtual wall" claims coming from Dems. Drones and sensors can be turned off or on, depending on who is president, and what vote count the Dems calculate they will need to hold power over us.
- They are counting on socialists coming into this country by the multiple thousands—because immigration, these days, is not about becoming American, it's about transforming America into a socialist dictatorship.
- I agree with Jonathan Moseley, attorney for 21 years who has worked for Judicial watch and Freedom watch, and contributes to Big League Politics the Dems need open borders to survive, America needs to control our borders in order to survive as the country of our Founders. He said, "For Democrats, this is a fight they cannot afford to lose. And for conservatives it is a fight we must win. Whether or not the United States of America continues to exist is on the line."
- This is, truly, the time for all America as founded Patriots to come to the aid of their country.
- I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them. Call 805.314.2114 and leave a message for me on our listener response hotline.

⁷ https://bigleaguepolitics.com/confirmed-the-government-can-build-the-wall-with-brian-kolfages-gofundme-money/

⁸ https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/ files/publications/mgmt_directive_112_02_gifts_to_the_department_of_homeland_security.pdf
9 Ibid.

- 340 341 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the
- CONTACT button and send me an email.
- 342 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.