

Brain Massage: Show No. 683 Quinn The Eskimo: Trump Give NATO A
Trumpin' / The British Connection On Russian Collusion (American Idiot?)

Jerry Scheidbach



Original Air Date: 07.14.18

1 Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in
2 Santa Maria, CA, and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

3 Trump gives NATO a *trumpin'* — time to "pay your fair share" — a conservative twist on
4 "pay to play"? It looked to me like the leaders of NATO were in agreement. This while
5 Trump is sending warning messages to Iran and Russia — what does this mean?

6 Update on the Russian collusion investigation in wake of the Strzok hearing. Why are the
7 emails and text messages pertinent?

8 The Kavanaugh confirmation wars begin — should conservatives hope for the court to
9 overturn Roe? What would be the best "play" for conservatives to call in?

10 Carter says Jesus would approve of some abortions and support same sex marriage.

11 Oh, and that hat I asked for — the MAGA hat signed by Trump — Trump gave it to that
12 kid who had his hat taken by that Liberal Thug — (haha—no hard feelings). Awesome!
13 President Trump heard about the teen whose MAGA hat was stolen by a Trump hating
14 thug who threw the young man's coke into his face — and signed a MAGA hat and had it
15 sent to him. That's great!

16 Okay, my friends! It's time for your brain massage.

17 [TRUTH]

18 Trump gives NATO a *trumpin'* — time to "pay your fair share" — a conservative twist on
19 "pay to play"? It looked to me like the leaders of NATO were in agreement. This while
20 Trump is sending warning messages to Iran and Russia — what does this mean?

21 Every American, who is one, watching the clip of President Trump *trumpin'* the leaders of
22 NATO telling them it's high time NATO members paid their membership dues would
23 have to cheer — but when the Americans, who really aren't, see this they cry in despair of
24 their mighty Quinn but what they don't get is that Trump is our Quinn. (Hmm, that
25 might require the application of cultural hermeneutics —hehe).

26 Okay, I'll indulge you! Remember Dylan's song, Quinn The Eskimo? No? Right! Well,
27 you've heard of Bob Dylan. Most of you! Come on, I just mentioned him, so! The ever
28 enigmatical Dylan wrote a happy little tune with the chorus "When Quinn the Eskimo
29 gets here, everybody's gonna jump for joy" "all the pigeons gonna run to him" and
30 "everybody's gonna wanna doze" — 'cause "you'll not see nothing like the mighty Quinn."
31 (haha — without some context it sounds like jibberish.)

32 Anthony Quinn was a famous actor who was in some hit films in the fifties through the
33 1990s and died in 2001. He starred in a 1960s film titled The Savage Innocents — and the
34 plot of this story is based on the clash of two cultures. Quinn, the Eskimo in the story,

35 receives a Priest as a guest in his home. The culture of the Inuit, according to this movie,
36 was to offer guests food and the pleasure of his wife's company. The priest refused, and
37 enraged by the insult, the Eskimo kills the priest. So, here come the police to investigate,
38 and to arrest *Quinn the Eskimo* who is cast as the hero in this story of the clash of cultures
39 old and new.

40 So, it's like the culture war in which we are engaged today. In one version, Quinn
41 represents the hedonistic culture of paganism, the "way of the world," the morals and
42 values of the current progressive snobs — and Trump represents the priest who comes
43 into their home and disses their cultural values so they want to kill him; but this is where
44 the story departs from that conceived by Swiss novelist Hans Rusch (R-00-SH). Because
45 Trump is dismantling their antichrist cultural apparatus — all these political constructs
46 they have put in place over decades — so the Progs are cying and sighing for their Quinn
47 — they are in despair, in misery; but when Quinn their Eskimo gets here, everybody's
48 gonna jump for joy!

49 But there is an intriguing twist in the plot. For, you see, the truth is Trump is our Quinn
50 — who represents our values: America first, KEEP YOUR PROMISES — OBEY THE
51 LAWS of our LAND — PAY YOUR DUES — and a further twist to this plot is that it's
52 the progressives who have come into OUR HOUSE and DISSED OUR VALUES and have
53 attempted to FUNDAMENTALLY CHANGE AMERICA — to rip us away from OUR
54 CULTURAL HERITAGE to trample upon our cherished beliefs — so in a very real way,
55 Trump is our Quinn; only, thank God he is not an Inuit Eskimo, he is a REAL LIFE RED
56 BLOODED AMERICAN.

57 *And you ain't seen nothing like our mighty Quinn!*

58 So, Trump goes to the NATO Summit and lays it out. The liberals are howling with Yalal
59 (the Hebrew name for Satan) — screaming about how dangerous it is for a President to
60 tell NATO that each member needs to, uh, PAY THEIR DUES. Some of the Progs are
61 members of clubs where, man, if you don't pay your dues, they post your name on the
62 dead-beat board for everyone to see — but Trump challenges NATO members to step up
63 to their responsibility and pay the dues they all agreed to and the libs go crazy. It's surreal!
64 I mean, literally, it's totally phantasmagorical. It's like, unreal man!

65 CNN reports "Following an impromptu victory lap, Trump took at a hastily called news
66 conference at the end of the [NATO] summit to crow over a claim that NATO allies had
67 agreed to increase defense spending 'at levels they never thought of before.'" (By the way,
68 CNN needs to hire some copy editors that know English—this is poorly written even for
69 journalists—I get it, it's literature *in a hurry*, but come on. This is a fragment with fourth
70 grade comma errors. But anyway!)

71 David Adelman is keying in on Trump's assessment of the NATO Summit (by the way,
72 NATO stands for the NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION), so Trump was
73 enthusiastic about the response he got from NATO members when he challenged them to
74 step up to their responsibilities to the mutual defense treaty. The agreement includes a
75 commitment to contribute 2% of the countries GDP to national defense. But here is the
76 key point.

77 I watched the clip where Trump is explaining to the NATO leaders the need for each
78 country to fulfill their obligations under the terms of the treaty. I watched as the
79 members, in the main, nodded in agreement. Germany, which comes short of their
80 obligation, paying only 1.19% of GDP for defense, also has committed fuel resources to
81 Russia that compromises the interests of NATO, and Trump did not hesitate to bring that
82 out and put it on the table. Merkel squirmed a little; but what can she say? It's
83 OBVIOUSLY TRUE. So Trump is *trumpin'* NATO.

84 Okay, so Trump is enthusiastic about the results of the meeting, and in typical Trump
85 fashion, he exults emotionally and uses hyperbole to express his enthusiasm: saying the
86 members of NATO had agreed to increase their defense spending to levels they had never
87 thought before.

88 The French President released a statement in which he said France "reaffirms its
89 commitment to 2% in 2024. That is all." So Adelman says this is a "direct and blatant
90 contradiction of the American President by a leader who was once his best friend in
91 Europe."¹ I don't doubt he intended to reassure his country that he did not make any
92 commitment beyond what had already been stipulated, and I'm also sure he did not get
93 his nose bent over Trump's statement. I don't think he is that petty. But, well, the French!

94 Okay, so the President of France admits France is a welcher — ! But, to be fair, France
95 does contribute 1.78%, the Turks 1.56, Germany 1.19, Italy 1.1 and Canada .99 — and
96 remember this is percentage of GDP so we are talking about a significant welching scam
97 going down on NATO.

98 But here's the thing — that's all Trump asked for, and it's obvious that he is aware of the
99 French agreement made earlier to bring their contribution up to expected levels by 2024,
100 and I think he's good with that; it's also obvious that it is not likely Trump knows what
101 each of the members have "ever thought of" — but the fact is several of the members of
102 NATO are not keeping their obligations according to the terms of the treaty — and that
103 this has been going on for DECADES — and that no one has had the guts to say or do
104 anything about it — until TRUMP!

105 And it certainly is true that Trump is not playing by the "rules of political polite speak"
106 he doesn't abide by the rules of "weasel speak" — there is no smarmy, slicky, oily,
107 greasy, sycophantic, slimy ego stroking with Trump. He is an American. He shoots
108 straight and tells it like it is. He is not a European pretentious aristocrat; he is a plain
109 talking American — and it's about time America had an AMERICAN PRESIDENT.

110 He certainly has publicly shamed these members who have WELCHED on the terms of
111 the treaty — and he is certainly crashing their corrupt culture of lies, deceit, and
112 WELCHING on their obligations — listen, if these members of NATO expect America
113 to be there for them, they are going to have to do their part.

114 You know what? All you Brits singing "American Idiot" (it's a song by a group called
115 Green Day that is billed as an Anti-Trump song that the liberals are trying to get to
116 number one in Britain in advance of Trump's visit there) — (haha) It's sad! Your country
117 is in virtual ruins by a leadership that has sold you out to Sharia-Muslim oppression —

¹ <https://www.cnn.com/2018/07/12/opinions/nato-summit-trump-macron-andelman-opinion/index.html>

118 and some of you are bashing Trump who is trying to make sure that does not happen
119 here. (By the way, have you read the lyric of American Idiot? You would probably agree
120 with almost all of it — it simply depends on what perspective you have — hey, I don't
121 want to be one of those ridiculous American idiots who can't tell difference between a
122 boy and a girl, who thinks the government is the answer to all problems, and that mothers
123 and their doctors conspiring to kill her baby in the womb is something Jesus would
124 sometimes approve of. I don't want to be an American Idiot either; I don't want to be one
125 of those Americans who think the Socialism that has ruined your country, and every other
126 country where it has been tried, would be a good thing for us; I don't want to be an
127 American Idiot that thinks it's just fine for American taxpayers to fund the Anti-American
128 UN and the WELCHERS on NATO — and just, you know, shut up, sit down, and pay up
129 America! So, may I encourage you to quit being a BRITISH IDIOT — and wake up
130 before your Island comes under the control of some Mullah in Iran.

131 Okay, so, sometimes Trump *overspeaks*. Not likely he knows what any or all of the
132 members of NATO "ever thought" — but think about it. How often do you think
133 Germany's leaders have talked together about getting their defense spending in line with
134 the treaty they signed with NATO. Or Turkey, or ... you see what I mean. It's not an
135 unreasonable statement. If anyone takes this to mean he expected any of the members to
136 pay more than the 2% required by the treaty — then they are the idiots! If someone's
137 fragile ego has been dented by Trump's candor, what a bunch whiney wimps! I would
138 much prefer a President who *overspeaks* to the ones before him who SAID NOTHING,
139 who laid Americans at the feet of these other nations to wipe their feet on US. Done with
140 that!

141 Bible THUMP ALERT: The Bible promises that the nation whose God is the LORD
142 would be among the nations that would be "the head, and not the tail; and thou shalt be
143 above only, and thou shalt not be beneath; if that thou hearken unto the commandments
144 of the LORD thy God" Deuteronomy 28:13

145 Okay, that's segment one. Hold on through the break. I'll be right back.

146 Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse,
147 your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church
148 belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our
149 liberty.

150 Update on the Russian collusion investigation in wake of the Strzok hearing. Strzok is the
151 FBI agent that "fixed" the Comey statement on his disposition regarding the Hillary
152 "matter" — he is the subject of an inquiry regarding the influence of political bias in the
153 FBI using their relative positions of responsibility to engage in a conspiracy to influence
154 an election; and, that failing, to execute a coup against the Presidency — I hope you
155 listened, because THAT'S WHAT THIS IS ABOUT.

156 So a Fox News *Commentatorette* rants about Louis Gohmert trying to give religion to
157 Strzok — I watched the exchange between Gohmert and Strzok, and it was a classic
158 example of a clash of opposite cultures, represented by the left and the right. Gohmert
159 chastises Strzok for bringing shame upon the FBI and himself, and accuses him of lying
160 — and of course Strzok sits there with his smug smirk on his weasel face — and Gohmert

161 nails him; *I wonder how many times you looked into the face of your wife and lied about*
 162 *your affair with* — what's her name! I don't, oh yeah, *Page* — ouch! What impact it had
 163 on Strzok, I don't know; but you should have heard the floor explode with outrage — and
 164 now this Fox News *Commentatorette* (hah) snidely criticizes Gohmert for trying to give
 165 Strzok religion — well, that's what he needs. Gohmert is certainly representative of an
 166 older set of values and the reaction of the *Demoncrats* was a perfect illustration of the
 167 ongoing culture crash that is taking place in America right now.

168 Gohmert ran headlong and at full steam into the wall surrounding the newer value system
 169 that has been built upon the foundation our Founders — and that noise you heard from
 170 the left and from the "daughters of Canaan" was the CRASHING OF CULTURES —

171 Issa made Strzok read his emails. I think you have read them. Peter Strzok does not
 172 simply disagree with Trump's political views; his personal animus is venomous. He
 173 mentioned *impeachment* I think two or three times; he promised that he would do all he
 174 could to stop Trump. And all of this was not done on his personal phone. He used his FBI
 175 issued official phone in this way. A case should be made that he was acting in his official
 176 capacity because if he was not, he should have used his personal phone: very
 177 unprofessional to say the least.

178 Why are the emails and text messages pertinent? Of course government employees have
 179 personal opinions about politics. It was unprofessional for him to use his FBI cell and
 180 computer to communicate his personal views; but hey, federal employees do not check
 181 their first amendment rights at the door when they take a federal job. That being said,
 182 however, the reason these texts and emails are pertinent is that they go to the question of
 183 his state of mind while HE WAS DOING OTHER THINGS.

184 Changing the language of Comey's statement in which he described Hillary's behavior as
 185 "grossly negligent" to "extremely careless." "Gross negligence" meets a legal threshold —
 186 it's prosecutable; extreme carelessness would be merely questionable. Anyone knowing
 187 the facts would have to agree her behavior was GROSSLY NEGLIGENT. The only reason
 188 to change this wording would be to PROTECT HILLARY.

189 Strzok is also the guy who was given the now infamous Dossier — he is the one who
 190 carried the ball on this Russian Collusion scam investigation — he was their point man.

191 Now we know the Dossier was phony. Now we know it was Hillary's opposition research
 192 firm, Fusion GPS, that paid to have this phony document created.

193 Therefore, the fact that Strzok failed to vet the document as would normally have been
 194 done, raises questions as to his intent; and the emails and texts speak to his state of mind.
 195 But wait, I'm not done.

196 New revelations have surfaced. The FBI has used stonewalling, and delaying tactics, I
 197 mean they have circled the wagons to keep certain key information from Congress — one
 198 of the things we want to know is what, exactly, triggered the investigation, and second,
 199 what is the information trail of the now debunked so called Trump Dossier?

200 It has come out that the Russian originated document came to the attention of the British
201 before it got into American hands. As you know, Steele, the skunk that Fusion GPS hired
202 to get dirt on Trump, and so created it — was a former British spy. Now we know that
203 someone in Britain contacted Trump's campaign about allegations of Trump-Russia
204 collusion, and contacted the FBI. This was in the Spring of 2016, about a month or so
205 before the investigation was launched in July. The British contact is something the FBI,
206 under Sessions but CONTROLLED ENTIRELY BY OBAMA OPERATIVES MUELLER
207 AND SKUNK Strzok, is hiding from Congress and the American people.

208 We have learned that at this time Strzok went to London and met with these "sources." It's
209 beginning to look like he was read-in to a conspiracy to insure Hillary's election.
210 According to some emails between Strzok and Page, they discussed evidence that the
211 "White House is running this"? That would be the Obama White House.

212 It's getting real tense folks. This is a ticking time bomb that is counting down to a major
213 blow up in Washington.

214 The Strzok hearing, we are told, went badly for both sides. You know, if it's close or a tie
215 — Progressives claim overwhelming victory. If they clearly lose — then it's very close,
216 both sides lost.

217 I think the conservatives in Congress are smart enough to know they are winning. The
218 chairman at one point said he would have Strzok brought back to face charges of
219 contempt. It did not go well for smirky-Strzok. Strzok's girlfriend warned him once that
220 they could not withstand the pressure for too long — and I think I saw the Strzok smirk
221 begin to break down; they are going to collapse — this thing must be EXPOSED.

222 His self-righteous rant about the dignity of the FBI being hurt by the questioning of his
223 actions was disgusting coming out of the foul deceiving lying weasel mouth of that
224 corrupt traitor.

225 But, of course, he thinks what he is doing was in service to the "country that he loves." He
226 knew the Dossier was faked; he was in collusion with some intelligence operatives from
227 Russia and someone in Britain to conspire against an American election and an American
228 President. But he thinks it was justified because he was in the right! The problem today is
229 that there is no longer a shared set of values in America — those who hate America as
230 founded consider themselves American patriots as much as true America AS FOUNDED
231 patriots believe they are true patriots.

232 Both sides believe theirs is the side of "GOOD," and the other is the side of "EVIL." One of
233 the reasons people like Obama, et al, can do what they do and lie with such *conviction* is
234 that they believe in lying for the truth. Their *truth* is what they believe in; and if it requires
235 lying to defend it, so be it.

236 Lincoln said, RIGHT MAKES FIGHT — and it's true, in great measure. It steels resolve,
237 strengthens commitment, and nothing has greater sway upon a man than the firm belief
238 that he is RIGHT.

239 Since we have no, or very little remaining, commonality in morals, and even ethics, and
240 values, Waters can claim GOD IS ON OUR SIDE while we would hear that in amazement
241 — how could God be on the side of homosexual marriage, or baby murder? I could
242 elaborate extensively on this and bring out the difference in viewpoints over how rightly
243 to address poverty, immigration, and so on. We don't have a shared set of values.

244 But here is the point — both sides are convinced they are RIGHT and the other side is
245 WRONG.

246 When someone is wrongly attacking you, that's one thing. The thief might know that he is
247 a thief, and trying to steal from you. But what if that same thief has come to believe that
248 what you have actually is rightfully his, that he is morally righteous to take what you have
249 because he believes you procured it either immorally or unfairly.

250 Now he is no longer a thief; in his mind he is serving justice; his actions are believed by
251 him to be righteous.

252 That's where we are headed: a total collision between two entirely opposite cultures —
253 opposing belief systems.

254 A tweet from someone I don't know, his name is Norm MacDonald, and I will assume he
255 originated the thought, and it goes like this: "The idiot sees the world as Good vs Evil.
256 The cynic sees the world as Evil vs Evil. The truth that no one seems able to see is that
257 the world is, and always has been, a battle of Good vs. Good."

258 He is attempting in a clever way to make the point I just offered above — both sides
259 believe they are on the side of good, even though the contrast could not be more
260 complete.

261 However, what it seems Mr. MacDonald fails to see is that his formula literally erases the
262 concept of evil.

263 He contrasts *good verses evil* (indicating a recognition of both good and evil), *evil versus*
264 *evil* (suggesting there is no good, only evil on both sides of a conflict), and *good versus*
265 *good* (and here is the problem, in this scenario he supposes both sides are good—there
266 is no evil). Or perhaps he considers these are artificial concepts that have no universal but
267 only personal meaning. This describes our battle today.

268 Think about it. First question that always comes up in this debate: is there such a thing as
269 evil. And Hitler always comes first to mind. He believed he was doing the world a favor
270 by getting rid of the Jews—in his view this was "good." However, in no sane universe
271 would it be considered a good thing to murder an entire race of people for no other
272 reason than their race. The conflict with Hitler was not Good versus Good.

273 On an existential level it is clear that evil does exist (Jeffery Dahmer, and we could go on
274 and on). And yet, the men and women fighting against this evil, whether as soldiers in
275 WWII or the police officers tracking Dahmer, were not altogether good — they were not
276 perfect, or sinless. Many imperfect people fought on the side of the GOOD against the
277 side that was EVIL. (A better analogy of this might be the Civil War here in America.

278 Robert E. Lee was a good man on the wrong side of that conflict.) And this leads us to the
279 next proposition:

280 The cynic says it's a battle between evil versus evil. Ill motivations may be found in the
281 hearts of combatants on either side of any disagreement or conflict. So the cynic throws
282 his hands up and says well, all conflicts are ultimately evil versus evil.

283 This person would at least be partially correct.

284 But to say every conflict is a battle between good versus good is the most illogical of the
285 three propositions, and maybe the most dangerous.

286 It justifies both sides in every conflict — it reduces all conflict to a mere pragmatic
287 formula based on opposing rationalizations. Going back to our *Mighty Quinn* analogy
288 presented earlier, this view says that Quinn killing the priest, because the priest dissed his
289 hospitality, was acting in GOODNESS as understood in his culture; and the priest, who
290 refused the Inuit's hospitality on the ground of his moral restrictions against taking
291 another man's wife, was also acting in GOODNESS as understood in his culture — so it
292 was a battle between Good vs. Good — but this is not true.

293 But by what do we know that one is GOOD and the other EVIL?

294 GOOD and EVIL are categories that stand apart from the character of the players or the
295 warriors engaged in the conflict. To make this simple:

296 If an immoral man, by whatever standards of morals you might subscribe too, saves a
297 woman from drowning, we would agree that he served GOOD even if he is not himself
298 good. So the soldier fighting a battle on the side of GOOD is battling for GOOD even if
299 he is not particularly good.

300 And the same thing happens in reverse — a good man whose morals conform to what
301 you would consider righteous or moral can find himself sometimes in a situation where
302 he is fighting FOR THE SIDE THAT IS EVIL. You know, for example all those very fine
303 upstanding citizens who voted for Obama. (haha—Okay, okay, take the Civil War as an
304 example. Again, Robert E. Lee was a good an on the WRONG SIDE OF THAT
305 CONFLICT.)

306 Now, having clarified that, the next question is whether or not there are any absolute
307 criteria by which we may identify GOOD and EVIL?

308 Yes. Our Creator established this in the beginning and I'll preach about it this coming
309 Sunday night.

310 But our Creator made the rules and established the distinctions.

311 By His criteria we can readily declare certain things evil and certain things good. God
312 warned us the day would come when they will call good evil, and evil good: Isaiah 5:20
313 "Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light
314 for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!"

315 The culture war in this country is an argument over what is good and evil. Certain parties
316 have coalesced around a certain set of values that derive from beliefs that are in
317 opposition to the values that derive from the beliefs expressed in the BIBLE.

318 And we are at a strange place. This country was founded upon the Bible, so both sides are
319 claiming the Bible as their source of authority. Jimmy Carter said he believes Jesus
320 would approve of some abortions and that he would accept same sex marriage. He is
321 supposed to be a Christian, so we suppose the Bible shapes or at least influences his
322 moral viewpoint. Maxine Waters recently invoked the Bible, so did Chuck Schumer —
323 she screeched that God is on "THEIR SIDE." So the argument has come full circle back
324 to the Bible and how we understand our CHRISTIAN HERITAGE.

325 I've run out of time, and I would really like to have had the time to fine-tune these
326 thoughts — sorry! Gotta go!

327 Hey, come on out to the Lighthouse this Sunday. I'm preaching on The Chronicles of
328 Jacob: Lessons Learned In The House Laban. Believe me the title does not do it justice.
329 We are digging out of these great Scripture stories insights that are truly mind blowing
330 but more importantly, faith building.

331 I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them.
332 It's LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE ON and you will ride like Revere and spread
333 the warning, (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say LIGHTS
334 OFF — which means you reject what I'm saying and disagree strongly with me. So, what
335 will it be today, lights on, or lights off?

336 Email me by going to our web site at branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the
337 CONTACT button and send me an email.

338 God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church!