Jerry Scheidbach Page 1 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

Segment.01 Hello. I'm Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

Wisconsin brings it to California—Trump took a thumping. He will seek revenge in New York. Did you hear he canceled a trip to California to concentrate on New York—hmmm, is Cruz making some headway on his home turf.

Huh? How does Wisconsin bring it to California~well, in terms of delegate count, let's put it this way, often by the time we get to vote in the Primary her in beautiful CA, it's pretty much decided; but Cruz's win in Wisconsin makes the California delegates particularly important, even if New York is conceded to Trump. So, come on CA—let's do the right thing.

What's the deal with a brokered convention and a possible rules change — I mean, who cares about the rules, right? Trump doesn't—so why should his supporters. (Hey, Trump does not care about the rules—except when they favor him. Now, folks, I need you to understand something. I'm not a Democrat, so I don't go for that majority rules bit—like our Founders, the only tyranny worse than a Dictatorship is the tyranny of the majority. I go for the rules rule bit. I believe in a Representative Republic, not a Democracy. Oh, and why won't the Brain Masseur deal with Cruz's negatives—like the idea that he is in bed with Monsanto, a company that everybody knows wants to kill people with poisoned food. It's amazing, there is a company who sells food for people to eat and they want to kill their customers—hmmmm! That does not sound like a workable business plan! Break out your tin foil hats folks, we are gonna start demythologizing some of your favorite

Jerry Scheidbach Page 2 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

conspiracies—as we administrate another, stimulating BRAIN MASSAGE!

## [TRUTH]

Wisconsin—thank you! I am very proud of Scott Walker—Walker for VP. Actually, when the Republican field began to fill with candidates, my choice was Walker. Unfortunately, he waffled a couple of times, and finally had to step out of the race—the pressure of a national candidacy sometimes overwhelms otherwise very good men—I think Walker tried to hard to be all things to all men and lost his focus. Nevertheless, he is a good man, and under the wings of someone like Cruz, I think he would get his national legs.

I don't know how many listeners we have in the city of New York, but I do know we have above 800 podcast listeners in the State—Choose Cruz. If you are offended at his comment about New York City values, hey, I'm a prune picker born and bred—a California native—I get the "he's from California" stink eye at least once or twice every time I visit Texas, or Oklahoma, and even in Georgia, and Virginia, S. Carolina, anyway, you get the idea.

I understand that my State, as a whole, has a reputation for being the "LEFT" coast for a reason—and I often tease with my southeastern friends that I'm here pushing right as hard as I can to keep this LEFT Coast from falling off into the Pacific. Har har.

When I hear snide remarks about Hollywood values, or California fruits-and-nuts, it does not tweak me, or offend my pride—I have enough peripheral awareness to understand that California is not monolithically liberal as her reputation might suggest—but there is no question, California leans hard left—but that does not mean

Jerry Scheidbach Page 3 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

everyone in California is a Democrat, or that all Democrats here are Demoncrats. So I don't get offended when someone learns I'm from California and assumes the stereotype — stereotypes develop for a reason—so, be reasonable, New York conservatives. Of course we know you are out there—besides, that New York values statement actually originated with Trump—he is the one who explained his pro-choice position as being reflective of New York values, and Cruz simply picked up on that—.

And he is right, we all know what he means by the statement just like we all know what is meant when someone refers to California as the land of fruits and nuts—while we are not all fruits, nor are we all nuts, we are certainly overrepresented in those categories.

Cruz was canceled from an appearance in Bronx over his position on immigration? Think Trump would be welcome?

Anyway, let's see, okay, here, we'll look at the threat of a brokered convention—what, exactly, does that mean? Is Sean right? He says if the candidates roll into Cleveland with less than the necessary 1237 to wrap it up, the nomination should default to whoever has the most delegates? Does Sean have a bit O Democraticism in his blood? Does he really understand the difference between a Republic and a Democracy? He says he does, but his take on this issue belies his insistence to the contrary.

Once again, I'm not angry at Sean, or Rush, for that matter, even though I disagree strongly with both of them regarding Trump—and by the way, thank you a thousand times over for all the Trump supporters who send material to me bashing Cruz and pumping Trump—and Cruz does have some negatives—we'll begin looking

Jerry Scheidbach Page 4 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

at them today—however, one thing I notice is that Trump supporters NEVER address any one of these four things:

First, Trump's call for nationalized health care, fully funded by the taxpayer, exactly what Obama originally wanted, and what Hillary, and Bernie are calling for.

Second, Trump's approval of the Obama Bank bailouts and take over of Chrysler along with his statement that he believes government can and should at times take over private business.

Third, Trump's idea that the government should use eminent domain to take property from private citizens to give it to another private citizen if it serves the interests of government.

Fourth, his past record of buying influence in government, violating immigration laws, all passed off as "doing business" when it's this sort of thing that we are usually screaming about big business buying influence in government—this guy is part of the establishment game—and you are convinced he is not. What master-duping job this man has done on Americans—unreal!

Fifth, his repeated message — shall I say, warning — that he intends to go to Washington to cut deals with the Democrats, which is exactly why we are angry with the establishment right now.

Sixth, all reasonable assessments of Trumps chances against Hillary come together on one point — he has as many negatives as she does which makes him the best chance she has for winning in November. You've been duped by the MSM, the Democrats, and the GOPers so many times, you would think you'd be able to see

Jerry Scheidbach Page 5 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

that the strategy here is to block Cruz from Crusing into the White House—

Reminds me of what happened with Obama. Trump is Obama White.

Hey, let's start addressing the Cruz negatives. Let's start with the conspiracy theory issues, and this addresses Heidi's involvement with Goldman Sachs, her involvement with the Council on Foreign Relations, and Cruz's friendly attitude toward companies like Monsanto. My opening comment will, I hope, help you understand where I'm coming from on this.

But first, I have begun a series of messages to be preached from my pulpit addressing the issue of conspiracy theories from a biblical perspective—the title of the series will be The MASTER CONSPIRACY — I started it last week. Since I'm out of town this week, I'll pick it up next Sunday night.

So, biblically speaking, the first thing I need you to understand about my attitude toward conspiracy theories is that, 1. there is absolutely no question but that there is a conspiracy to bring all the world under a one world government ruled by a dictator under the power of Satan. Oh yeah! That'll put a tin foil hat on a lot of you!

But, really—since Nimrod began his kingdom of Babel so many millennia ago — certain parties have been on a quest to take dominion over all the resources of the world and bring it under their power. Various motivations are used to manipulate the players in this conspiracy—altruism, philanthropy, but mostly, greed serves as the undertow beneath this movement whatever the surface motivations might be. But many, if not most, are

Jerry Scheidbach Page 6 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

manipulated into playing along by appealing to their altruism, and philanthropy—

From a biblical perspective, the spirit behind this conspiracy is called the Prince and the Power of the air — aka, Satan — you know, Lucifer — the guy named in the dedicatory page of Saul Alinsky's book "Rules for Radicals." The Devil, whether recognized as a symbolic figurehead for radicals, or perceived as an actual personality, or entity, Lucifer is the one that many of our power hungry types like to honor, either to tweak hated Christians, to playfully ridicule them, or to show their antichrist sentiment—often by flashing the Satanic Gesture—looks a little like the sign language gesture for I Love You! — but with the thumb tucked in, signifying the horns of the Devil—here is a picture of Trump flashing the Satanic gesture with a New York homey—



Now, in truth, you have to look at the context for this sort of thing—the Texans have used this gesture for years to salute fellow University of Texas at Austin fans, it's called the Hook' Em Horns—a gesture pointing to their mascot, the Texas Longhorn Bevo—but, in this pix with Trump flashing the sign it's clear it has nothing to do with football—but, anyway—for those who are interested in conspiracy theories, it's a sign gesture tied to the Illuminati, so, apparently, your man Trump is an Illuminatist—hey, I have a picture of him flashing the sign with both hands, purposely

Jerry Scheidbach Page 7 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

flashing this particular sign—it's not an inadvertent wave where the camera caught the hand in a form that sort of looks like—you've seen the pictures—but now, Trump is grinning big flashing the Illuminati sign with both hands with some tatted Rock dude —

So, oh, it only means Party On — great! So your Presidential pick is all "party on" — there is this thing in the Bible that talks about reveling — and if you limit the meaning of the gesture to "party on" — it's a call to reveling — and we all know who is the one that induces people to "party hearty" complete with the drunken stupor that generally goes along with that—anyway—Trump is an Illuminatist — he flashes the Satanic Gesture with tatted Rock Rebels — that's your man!

But seriously—if we are going to get into conspiracy theories, what exactly makes you connect Cruz to this or that conspiracy to bring in a one-world government, in service to Satan—but Trump is not?

Is it because Cruz is in favor of international trade and favors encouraging trade with our Pacific Rim neighbors whereas Trump talks about "bringing manufacturing back to America" — by use of Tariffs and other Taxes — but Cruz wants to bring manufacturing back to America by encouraging free trade and getting the government to quit the policies that are sending our companies overseas, which is something I don't hear Trump saying — what maddens me is that Trump can spout off about punishing other countries by imposing tariffs and taxes — all of which goes into the price of goods and services that the LITTLE GUY PAYS FOR — I wish somehow I could get my friends to understand that the Trump approach will only serve to drive up prices for goods here

Jerry Scheidbach Page 8 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

— whereas, the Cruz plan will attract businesses to return their manufacturing back to America, stimulate job growth, and so on, without using GOVERNMENT to do it, but by getting government out of the way. Don't you see, Donald is a BIG GOVERNMENT guy who thinks GOVERNMENT is the answer to everything—Trump's idea is not that government is the problem and we need to get it out of the way—his idea is that government is the solution only it needs better management.

Well, I've gone over my time for this segment. I'll be right back.

Yeah, I know, maybe the photo was photo-shopped; but I can tell you that if such a picture existed of Cruz—you would never hear the end of it. Trump betrays a whole lot more characteristics in keeping with the love-of-money-root-of-all-evil type than Cruz does.

Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our liberty.

Okay, let's pick it up where I left off. Hopefully you understand that I am aware of the abundance of evidence supporting many of these theories and that some of them really are not theories—I mean, there is sufficient documentation to call them conspiracies - period. Also, I hope you understand that I view these as all coming under the rubric (now that's an interesting word—essentially it means the heading of a document) so I believe all these actual conspiracies to pull the world together into a global government subordinating our individual liberties to some UN Charter type

Jerry Scheidbach Page 9 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

deal is Satanic at its core—however, well, I can't reveal the whole deal now, but what I'm wanting you to understanding up front is that most of the people pushing this forward are unaware they are being pawns in that game and second, and this one is a bit more subtle and takes some time to get your head around, in some cases it involves doing the right thing—and when doing the right thing is hijacked by Satan to serve some nefarious purpose, we must go ahead and do the right thing, trusting God will ultimately overrule Satan's effort to use the right thing to serve an evil end.

Conspiracies — Heidi worked for Goldman Sachs, was a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, and Cruz is supportive of scientifically engineered food. I dealt with TPA, TPPA etc. a while back.

Some people know, for a fact, without any doubt in their mind at all, that Monsanto is poisoning people for profit—similarly to how the tobacco industry and alcohol industry knowingly continues to produce and promote products known to cause cancer, all sorts of liver diseases, kidney failure, and with alcohol a large array of social problems related to compromised sobriety.

Now, personally, I cannot say I've studied in depth the science involved in genetically modified organisms (GMOs) — but I do understand it all enough to say it's organic activity — we are talking about genetics not synthetics. And they are not introducing alien spores into the food — they are not manufacturing alien genes in laboratories that they inject into the food. It's not entirely unlike the cross breeding of certain berries to create the Boysenberry — every time you eat a Boysenberry, or enjoy a piece of Boysenberry pie — you are eating a GMO.

Jerry Scheidbach Page 10 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

As for labeling, look, I think people should know what they are eating. So, I'm fine with labeling — but the idea that Monsanto is like the tobacco or alcohol industry, purposely producing and peddling products they know are harmful to people is patently absurd. I'm open to any information I don't have—and willing to accept proof—but I can't find any "proof" that Monsanto is involved in any conspiracy to kill people.

And let me tell you something else—some of you have not paid attention to where some of these conspiracy theories originate. Some of them originate with wacked out lefties who hate corporate America unless they can get their hands into their pockets.

How about a "reverse conspiracy" theory? Try leftist phony ideologues who attempt to use these attacks on corporate America as a shake down to either force them out of business, favoring some other business enterprise, or in an attempt to blackmail them into coughing up some bucks for their organization—often filtering that money into the pockets of other anti-American operations all attempting to undermine our National Sovereignty.

You know, like the EPA and all their concern about salamanders — or the radical anti lumber—industry wackos, and anti-whaling wackos where when you dig in deeper you find out these organizations are actually funded by anti-American interests from abroad.

And that's one of my problems with the conspiracy approach to all of this—

First, you have people swallowing the camel of an obvious biggovernment, government is the answer, only it needs better management, life-time liberal, with nationalistic socialist values

Jerry Scheidbach Page 11 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

(government controlling property) together with a narcissistic, bullying personality (matching the profile of Hitler) while straining at gnats like Cruz supports free trade with our neighbors and that makes us suspicious he is a closet globalist—in other words, the gnat of an unproved suspicion based on almost no evidence verses the camel of proof supported concerns about Trump.

I'll be dealing with all of this in upcoming shows—

Hey, before I go, I want to address this business that if we do have a brokered convention, then the nomination ought to by right go to the candidate who at that time has the most delegates.

I've been watching the transformation of the American political ideology from Republicanism to Democracy with concern for many years.

Recently, Obama made a statement that he thinks voting for President ought to be as easy as voting for the winner on American Idol. He envisions a straight Democracy where a simple majority decides. I'll be many of you listening to me are amazed and think I've lost my mind, that of course the majority rules.

First, the Constitution was constructed and the Bill of Rights amended to it precisely because we believe in the rule of LAW and not the rule of the MOB. MOB rule versus the rule of LAW.

Second, we don't have a simple majority decides the Presidency right now. Gore won the popular vote, but Bush won the most electoral delegates in what is called the Electoral College. He was the duly elected President—Gore lost! Why? Because that's the way the Constitution was set up.

Jerry Scheidbach Page 12 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

Why? It protects the interests of minority communities, and allows them to have a real say in elections. Otherwise, we might as well simply have New York, Los Angeles, Chicago, Atlanta, you know what I mean—just have the major most populated cities vote because they would decide every election, and it does not take long at all for you to figure out why the Democrats would just love that idea. So they can make it sound like they want the "voice of the people" to decide—but the fact is, that approach virtually eliminates the voice of the PEOPLE. In order to give all communities a shot at having real influence in the decisions about rulers, our system is the best.

The Republicans and the Democrats both recognize this and have set up their nominating rules accordingly. The DEMS have something called super-delegates, who are unelected delegates to their conventions free to vote however they choose. That does not sound like a "voice of the people" type of system, does it? Sounds like the party wants to ultimately control who gets the nod.

The Republicans actually have a much more fair system. However, here are a few things people typically don't understand.

First, these political parties are not PART OF THE GOVERNMENT. I think they sort of like people assuming that—but the GOP and the DNC are not government agencies, they are private clubs. Their purpose is to promote their agenda through the promotion of certain candidates for political office.

So, political parties have no responsibility to honor the vote of the people for who will be their candidate.

Second, this system makes the candidate beholden to the party—in fact, they become the titular head of their party while in office,

Jerry Scheidbach Page 13 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

actually, I think they are considered the head of their party when holding the office of the President.

Third, they have rules, and the power to make and change rules.

Now, having established those realities, another question arises. Is it fair for the GOPers to purposely work to subvert the nomination process—to actively engage in maneuvers aimed at getting the nominee they want even if it goes against the wishes of the people?

Well, I think any reasonable mind would say no! Therefore, if the GOP exercises their prerogative to throw out the rules and pick their own nominee—and that would be massive. They would have to get rid of or rewrite rule 40 (which says a candidate must minimally win 8 states to be nominated), and any hope of that happening is pretty slim since the rules committee will be comprised of delegates who have already been committed to a candidate by the voters. Obviously, none of Trump's delegates would go for that, and none of Cruz's delegates would go for that—at least that seems highly unlikely. So the Aristos would have to massively overturn the whole system if they want to insure they get their choice—

Kasich clearly has some sort of inside information encouraging him to believe he has a chance—so, it's possible the GOPers are planning to strong arm the delegates to change rule 40 and then strong arm them to support a clean slate approach—tired of Trump and Cruz, let's go Kasich.

Now, I can tell you that will create a revolt so intense that I'm sure the GOP will not survive as viable political party. It will be third party time—and it might even be a four party situation—it will be a disaster.

Jerry Scheidbach Page 14 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

I trust Cruz will pick up the delegates he needs to win the nomination within the current rules. If Trump wins by current rules, I'm casting my vote for Cruz anyway—I wont vote for a socialist. It's not an anger vote, it's a principled decision for me—can't vote for a narcissistic nationalistic socialist.

What if neither wins outright—and what if the GOPers leave the rules in place—you know, the rules that were in place when the race began. Changing the rules now is rather like changing the rules of a horse race after the shot has been fired and the horses have left the gate. So, suppose they leave the rules in place.

Should the convention be required to give the nomination to the one with the most delegates at that time? Well, what if Cruz has the most at that time? What if Trump has the most at that time? Does that change your answer, or mine? Actually, it does not. My answer is the same in either case.

The RULES rule, not the MOB — look, consider.

First, the rule is that the candidate must win a minimum of 8 states to receive the nomination. So, that narrows it down to Trump and Cruz. Next, he must have a minimum of 1237 delegates. If neither achieves that number, it means they did not qualify on what is called the first ballot.

Now, the rules kick in. The rules say at this point, the delegates are freed to decide. That's the way it works. And, by the way, there is wisdom in this.

For example, we know that a lot of votes came in early because of early voting rules in some states. By the time we have come to the end of the primaries, a whole lot more information is out there

Jerry Scheidbach Page 15 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

about these candidates. If neither candidate qualified, in the best of all worlds, we would need to redo the voting—to find out what the people want. That is impractical — so what they do in this case, is they give it to the voter's representatives to break the deadlock. Suddenly, the delegates become very influential—before, they merely voted as they were told — but now, according to the rules, they are responsible to look at the candidates and make the best choice they can.

Now, here is my statement on this. These candidates knew the rules, or should have known the rules going in. You can't change the rules after the start gates open and the race begins. Some people think rules should yield to the majority — not me. Trump's supporters are already threatening to riot, to form a mob and attempt to force their will in service to their mobster boss — that's who they are; but that's not who we are. But it should tell you what we are dealing with here.

Trump has never had more than about 35% of the Republican vote—and you can't see why it would be inappropriate to simply give it to Trump because he has the most delegates? You've got to think this thing through.

Okay, gotta wrap it up. Talking about conspiracies — it is certain that in the Bible God has revealed a conspiracy to create a one-world government that began with Nimrod — maybe even earlier, in the heavenlies when Satan rebelled against God and set out to usurp His place among mankind.

Many are unwitting participants in this conspiracy, some participate knowingly—and often identify themselves by flashing the Satanic hand gesture — like Trump did — uh huh!

Jerry Scheidbach Page 16 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

Anyway—guess what? I'm not sure who is doing this, but I understand the gates from an ancient Temple to Baal are going to be set up in Times Square on April 19th. This date is significant because, I'm told, it begins a thirteen—day festival of blood sacrifice to the BEAST. Oh, wait, here is the actual press notice:

"On April 19, 2016, in cooperation with national and international cultural heritage preservation organizations, and in conjunction with World Heritage Week 2016, the Institute for Digital Archaeology will install a monumental scale reconstruction of Palmyra's Triumphal Arch on Trafalgar Square. Through this project — and others like it scheduled throughout 2016 in cities both inside and outside the Middle East — the IDA seeks to provide an optimistic and constructive response to the ongoing threats to history and heritage that have captured headlines over the past year. Our aim is to highlight the potential for the triumph of human ingenuity over violence by offering innovative, technology-driven options for the stewardship of objects and architecture from our shared past."

It's all tied in to the Illuminati — it's going to be a very interesting look at conspiracies as we examine them in light of Scripture, so don't miss my Issachar Reports on the Bible and Conspiracy theories beginning Sunday night the 17th.

Listen, God complained Israel had set up kings, but not by Him. Because of this, He gave them over to oppressors. He gave us guidelines for voting in Deuteronomy 17:15-17 and elsewhere. If you use your God given privilege to set up rulers but don't do it by God's law, you end up with oppressors every time.

Jerry Scheidbach Page 17 Last Opened: 5/10/16

Air Date: 04-09-16

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them. You say LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning, (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say LIGHTS ARE OFF — which means you reject what I'm saying and disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or lights off?

Call 1-888-770-8000, that's 1-888-770-8000 and leave a message on our listener response hotline, it's a free call to anyone within the Continental US. From outside the country, or for those who prefer it, you can email me by going to our web site at thebrainmassageshow.com. That's thebrainmassageshow.com.

If you are in a hurry, you can give me a lights-on or a lights-off and then hang up. If you will, please leave a message, tell me what you think of the show, positive or negative, either way.

God bless you. God save America! I'll see you in Church.