

Segment.01 Hello. I'm Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse, and your brain masseur—get ready for your brain massage.

Why would Rush Limbaugh defend a candidate, namely, Trump, who has said he wants universal, single payer, national health care, would use eminent domain to steal private property from a widow, supports Obama's bank bailouts and takeover of Chrysler, drops "F" bombs in public rallies, and calls on his supporters to physically assault protestors—? It's something I call the Rush Oblique — I'll explain it in this show, and show why it's a big mistake!

Almost every week I get material from Trump supporters, Christians, and non-Christians, but mostly Christians. They send me the latest gossip against Cruz, and whatever might hype Trump—mostly. When it comes to Trump, the message is pretty straightforward: 1. he is an outsider, 2. everyone that we consider to be enemies of conservatism hates him (MSM, GOP Aristos, the LEFT), 3. he is his own man, 4. he is the only candidate that can beat Hillary (although, I must say, these have pretty much stopped coming in), 5. he is a successful businessman (probably one of the better reasons, but how he obtained his success is something most Christians don't feel comfortable talking about, using, some would say, abusing, the bankruptcy laws, and trafficking in strip clubs and casinos), 6. he has actually worked in the real world, not like the typical politician (I'll buy that one; but listen, clerking for Rehnquist on the Supreme Court is, after all, a real job), 7. and then there are the statements he has made regarding the borders, Islam, and so forth (all of which Cruz has made and articulated a better more implementable plan), 8. whatever latest poll showed

Trump trouncing everybody and winning (although, that's beginning to change too), and 9. whatever might make Cruz look badly (some of which I'll address later).

I've noticed most of the Trump hype I get does not focus on his policies, his knowledge of foreign affairs, or his knowledge of the Constitution, or the inner workings of our government—it's almost always about his latest zinger — or how successful he has been and is being in this race.

Some are more thoughtful than others. Last week I received a mild complaint from a dedicated Christian, a faithful listener and supporter of the Brain Massage show, who felt that I should at least look at the criticisms of Cruz and candidly address them. And I agree.

He presented a very well thought out explanation of why some are willing to support Trump albeit not without reservations—I don't know of any Christian who supports Trump without reservations. Much of the letter repeated stuff I've heard before, vetted, and dismissed. However, there are some points made in this email that deserve a response, and I think my listeners also deserve more clarification on why I totally dismiss Trump as a "CON"servative, and why I am convinced he is a liberal masquerading as a conservative.

But this writer did his research, documented his concerns, as much as documentation is available, and I found this letter to be fairly representative of concerns I've heard expressed by my listeners. So I'm going to begin answering the questions posed in this letter.

But, here is the problem. The Brain Massage radio/podcast show is about applying biblical principles in understanding current events,

taking the sword of the Spirit into the arena of public debate and scoring some points for the Kingdom—therefore, the shows are prepared in response to the topics trending at the time of show prep—consequently, there is often no time to dwell on a topic of interest to me or my listeners, especially given the limited time we have, 29 minutes, once each week.

Nevertheless, I intend to answer these issues causing some of my listeners to be suspicious of Cruz — fully, honestly, candidly — but, today, for example, we are talking about Trump's campaign wheels beginning to wobble, his missteps (attacking Heidi, and answering tingle legs Chris' hypothetical question about abortion), and the wallop it looks like he is going to take in Wisconsin, and, in light of all this, his running to the GOP Aristos for help—hear about the secretive meeting between Trump and the GOP Establishment? (Gonna make a deal?) This, with Rush, Hannity, Newt, and now Nugent propping up Trump because, hey, don't you understand that he might be the GOP nominee? Right! And nothing matters except we stop Hillary. Hmmm!

And I can tell you right now, by the time I get done relieving the prophet boil these things have stirred up in me—I'll have used up my time.

Finally, I really believe at least some of the issues raised by my friend are likely to become far more a part of the fight for this nation after Cruz wins the nomination and we enter into the home stretch in this race for the White House.

So, here is what I propose. I will prepare a special show dedicated to answering all of these questions and post them on my church website—and make them available to all of my friends via

Facebook, Twitter, Linked In, Grassfire network, etc. etc. etc. And then I'll break them down and use them in future segments of the Brain Massage show as they become the focus of conservation in the, uh, I guess we'll call it the PUBLIC SQUARE — or the Public Ring! Fair enough?

Now, for this broadcast, I want to start with a BIBLE THUMP ALERT — [Bible Thump Alert]

"Trust in the LORD with all thine heart, and lean not unto thine OWN UNDERSTANDING, in all thy ways acknowledge HIM and He shall direct thy paths." Proverbs 3:5-6

Okay, my friends, it's time for your Brain Massage:

[TRUTH]

One of the many strange things that I've seen develop in this primary is the phenomenon of genuine, credentialed conservatives that, in my view, have been duped by "the Donald."

I've lamented often about the likes of Rush and Hannity who appear to be okay with a Trump nomination. I've watched, from inside the movement, and also from the grandstands, and from the sidelines — I've looked at this from every perspective I can — and I can't say I'm particularly surprise; disappointed, yes; surprised, No!

My message Sunday night explains why I'm not surprised—I'll expose the MASTER CONSPIRACY behind all conspiracies.

Today, let's begin by rehearsing what we know for sure about the Donald, because that's the real issue here. Who is Donald Trump? If, as many assert, he is simply a successful businessman that is a political outsider, whose only interest is in bringing his business

acumen to the White House to straighten out the mess politicians have made, who is useful also as a slap in the face of political correctness, and a major break from politics as usual—well, that would be one thing. However, it's like the old saying, facts are stubborn things.

Here are the FACTS: and I mean these are things that are not in the category of conspiracy concerns; these are not inferences drawn from specious testimony — these are undisputed facts:

Fact no. 1 — Donald Trump said he is a liberal when it comes to Health Care and has repeatedly stated his intention is to push for universal coverage via national health care. This is the same position taken by Obama, Hillary, and Bolshevik Bernie.

Fact no. 2 — Donald Trump attempted to buy a property next to one of his to use for a parking lot and whatever — and the widow refused to sell it to him. So he used his money and influence to get the government to use eminent domain to take the property from that widow with the understanding it would then be sold to him. This failed! But he has said, repeatedly, that he believes this is right, and that the government should take property from private citizens and give it to another private citizen if doing so increases tax revenues for the government, as he explained it, to benefit all those who depend on government—

Fact no. 3 — Donald Trump supported all of Obama's Bank bailouts, including the take over of Chrysler and said he believes government can and sometimes should take over private business.

Fact no. 4 — Donald Trump, as a businessman, gave thousands to liberals and to conservative candidates, crawled around in bed with both sides, and admits this was done as a businessman, to benefit

his business interests. Obviously, his political ideology is either non-existent or subordinated totally to his self-interests.

In other words, he did not give to candidates because he believed in their politics; but, at least if we believe what he tells us, he gave to them because he hoped for some benefit to his business.

That he will compromise his own values for a buck is clear. He talks a tough game on enforcing immigration laws but violated those laws when it benefited him in his business interests. He talks a tough game about bringing manufacturing back to America, but uses overseas manufacturing for his own products—why? It's simple. For the Donald, the almighty DOLLAR is more important than his ethical and moral values.

Fact no. 5 —he knows very little about the Constitution: many examples may be presented, but here is one that he has thrown into our faces repeatedly: he believes eminent domain should be used to take property from a private citizen to be given to another private citizen—namely, himself.

Fact no. 6 — Donald Trump has said that he, Bill, and Hillary are great friends. He has said that he is friends with Reid, and Pelosi—said he gets along great with Chuck Schumer, in fact, I don't know of a liberal Trump has not fraternized with—I don't know of any liberal Trump has not said he could get along with and "cut a deal with." (And yet you call him the "outsider." Well, he is an "outsider" only in every sense that Cruz is — the Establishment hates him — or do they? Trump is meeting with the Establishment Aristos, perhaps to "cut a deal." To say, look, help me stop Cruz, and, don't worry, all this talk about closing the

borders, hey, we can work that out—WATCH IT! You are dealing with a SNAKE!)

And that gets me started on the inferences I take from these observations, and you tell me if you think I'm being unreasonable.

Add it up! National health care (Liberalism), use of government to transfer wealth (Liberalism), government taking over private business, or using tax payer's money to bail out failed businesses (Liberalism), Friendships with the enemies of our Constitutional Republic, repeatedly declaring that he is interested in making a deal with them when he gets into office—(you see, many of you are not listening)—this is LIBERALISM also, because that's what they want from conservative leadership—a willingness to cut a deal—so, here we go again, (Liberalism). Trump is a liberal, masquerading as a conservative behind a "close the border" mask that he holds over his liberal face with his left hand while reaching out with his right hand, with the finger gesture saying come on, let's CUT A DEAL with Pelosi, Schumer, and the rest of the open borders crowd—including those on the GOP side—with whom he is trying to cut a deal right now.

So, why is Rush defending Trump, protecting him, propping him up?

Rush's audience usually, in the main, get him — I mean the left have never understood him — but his audience has been with him for decades — and they usually raise an eyebrow and figure Rush is up to one of his interesting obliques<sup>1</sup> (what is that? — an oblique is a military maneuver in which you purposely weaken a part of your line (let's see, the line, militarily speaking would be your

---

<sup>1</sup> 565.0.1-Oblique order - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia (4/1/2016) [http://www.irondogstudios.com/tactics\\_gen02.html](http://www.irondogstudios.com/tactics_gen02.html) (4/1/2016)

array of troops or forces that are deployed in an attack against an enemies array of troops or forces), so, an oblique is a maneuver in which you purposely weaken one segment of your line to reinforce our double up the power in another section of your line — then, when you attack, you attack at an angle — called an oblique angle — somewhere between 0 and 90 degrees — in other words, it's not straight forward.

Rush has often employed *obliques* in his rhetorical attacks on liberals and used them very effectively—but like any maneuver, there are weaknesses associated with the oblique and the greatest danger is someone exploiting the weakness created in one segment of the line of your attack—this is getting way to technical for Radio — I almost need a chalkboard here — but, here is the point.

Rush wants Cruz — don't kid yourself about this! He is not an idiot. He knows, and he has repeatedly said, Cruz is you best choice for a Constitutional conservative candidate—how many times does he have to say that before we hear him? Look, there is no question in my mind that Rush will breath a huge sigh of relief if, and when, we tire of Trump, and Cruz cruises into the home stretch. Then we'll have to deal with the GOP Aristos and MSM turning all their attacks directly onto Cruz. Already, there is a call to dump Trump and Cruz, to wipe the slate clean and start fresh with someone else. Karl Rove—in fact, is calling for that right now. Expect to hear more of that!

Now, on the other hand, we have all watched and many of us cringe, while Rush props up Trump, Hannity right along with him. Beck and Levin have come out strong against Trump and for Cruz and they are to be commended. No one doubts the credentials of

Levin, not even Rush or Hannity would denounce Levin—he has masterfully detailed the case against Trump—but Rush and Hannity are playing it differently. Why? Let's start with elRushbo!

Rush told us today—actually, he has said this many times—but it is arguable that today he made it perfectly clear.

He is dedicated to defeating the Democrats, and right now, that means stopping Hillary, period! Nothing else is more important. And many conservatives agree—although Rush is taking quite a beating for this right now. This is the Rush oblique — his angled attack on the left's effort to get the White House in 2016. He is angling his rhetoric to avoid allowing Trump to get so badly hurt that if he does become the GOP nominee we have no hope of stopping Hillary. He sees the massive array of Trump support, and does not want take it on straight on—he is running an oblique. If you are paying attention, he says plenty that shows his preference for Cruz, and he if you listen, he practically mocks Trump support — but carefully avoiding a straight on confrontation with Trump supporters—he says to concerned conservatives stuff like—I hear what you are saying about Trump, and you want me to say it, but it makes no difference—he has the support—he very well might be the nominee—we've got to deal with that reality—so, Mr. Rush, don't think for a moment that I, the Brain Masseur, do not see what you are doing or why.

Here is the problem I have with the Rush oblique.

By supporting and defending Trump, you might well be frustrating the Democrats, and ticking off the GOP rats: but that's all about PARTY POLITICS—and the weakness in the Rush Oblique is that

in the end of the day, if Trump wins, WE LOSE, and ironically, the LIBERALS he is trying to defeat actually WIN!

You can get blinded by a myopic concentration on what appears to you to be the immediate threat. A quarter back cannot take his eye off downfield; he must be able to concentrate his attention on his receivers downfield. If he gets too focused on the immediate rushers, he cannot do his job. Obviously, he has to be aware of the rush—but a good quarterback must be able maintain downfield awareness.

Never thought I'd see it happen, but Rush has gotten so focused on defeating the Democrats he has become nearsighted. Listen to what he is saying, it's like he is in a near trance like obsession with defeating Hillary—and unable to see, for some reason, that by supporting Trump he is conceding the real battle. Interestingly, he has become focused on the limited machinations of PARTY POLITICS instead of staying focused on a grand strategy for our war for America as founded—no one in their right mind can possibly see Trump as a champion for America as Founded—for America as envisioned by the Founders, or as a ideologically committed Constitutional conservative. He knows that.

I am coming up on my break. Oh, good night—went over. That creates all sorts of production problems — sorry team! I'll be right back. Hold on, it gets even better—

Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our liberty.

Hey, did you get the Rush Oblique thing? Hopefully that helps you understand where Rush is coming from. Essentially, he wants Cruz, and he is bewildered, as we all are, that Trump has gotten so much support—like I've said, I've written a letter to my self explaining all of this and I'm going to read it after the election is done and it will shock you. But, here, Rush does not want to destroy any chance we have at stopping Hillary and the Democrats deconstruction of our Republic. I don't doubt his motivations here at all. But his oblique fails precisely on the very point he said is his reason for doing it. Why? Because a Trump vote is a cave in to Socialism—if he does get the nomination, Americans have a Socialist on both tickets, and a conscientious Christian conservative who believes his or her vote belongs to God and not to any PARTY or to any leader of a political movement, I think will be forced to write in Cruz or some other genuine conservative but they cannot vote for Trump. I've outlined this several times—

First precept, God decides who gets the kingdom (Daniel 4:17, 25, etc.) This has been transferred to Jesus Christ, King of kings and Lord of lords — Matthew 28:18 where Jesus proclaimed: "all power is given unto me in Heaven and in earth.

Second precept, God has allowed us to "set up kings" but requires us to do it "by [Him]" (Hosea 8:4—"they have set up kings, but not by Me."), and he has given us specific instruction regarding the criteria we are supposed to use in choosing rulers in Deuteronomy 17:15-17.

Third precept, because Israel refused to set up their rulers by God, that is, according to His instructions, God, who finally decides who gets the kingdom, turned them over to oppressors.

Fourth precept, all of this applies to us since it happened to them and was written down for us upon whom the ends of the world are come (I Corinthians 10:11; Romans 15:4).

Fifth a principle based on these precepts: God gives the kingdom to Whom He will based on what He is doing with HIS PEOPLE — He always acts in this world with reference to what He is doing with HIS OWN PEOPLE — II Chronicles 7:14 is an example. "If my people, who are called by MY NAME ..."

And so, it comes down to this. I have been given a stewardship responsibility to cast my vote guided by the precepts of God. I do not lean on my own understanding—and figure out how I might strategize and manipulate the system, and compromise my convictions, and so forth. All of that is the devil's game.

I look at a candidate based on the biblical criteria I have been given by God, and understanding that in the end of the day HE WILL DECIDE — I vote accordingly. I leave the ultimate decision to HIM—because, well, it's His anyway! I vote under the watchful eye of my God—and I do it according to His expressed will. And I know that He does not want me to vote for a strip club owning, business man who uses his influence and money to corrupt politicians with bribes, and use government power to take property from private citizens for his personal use, who thinks of government as if it takes the place of God in our personal lives in many different ways, including his ideas about universal nationalized health care—no way I want government doing to health care what it has done with education—make it a political tool in the hands of politicians—you gotta be kidding me—anyway, you get the point.

So, with Hannity, it's pretty much the same. Like I've said, he tracks with Rush. One thing with Hannity that I find interesting is that he chides us (and, by the way, I'm not mad at Rush or Hannity—I'm simply pointing out where and why I disagree with them); Hannity chides us for saying NEVER TRUMP — as if we are siding with the GOP Aristos in that. He chides us saying if Trump gets the nomination and for that reason we stay home we have given the election to Hillary. Well, I don't think Christians should stay home. They should vote. They have a duty before God to cast their vote. But I don't think a Christian can vote for Trump without wiping his or her feet on their conscience while doing it.

As for this giving the election to Hillary—that might be true. But here is the problem. If Trump wins, Hillary has won—if not to become the President, to have a President who is her buddy, and who agrees with her a whole lot more than Cruz does. It's stop loss move for the Liberals to get Trump on the GOP ticket.

Look, a CONservative Socialist or a Liberal socialist—is still a socialist. But Hannity thinks we are caving in to the Dems if we refuse to vote for Trump when I say we are caving in to the Dems if we do. We are, uh, Demmed if we do, and Demmed if we don't.

And yet, Hannity says he draws the line at a brokered convention in which the nomination is "stolen" from Trump; and he says if that happens, Hannity stays home, or votes third party.

Okay, my friend. So you draw the line at PRINCIPLE—right? Yeah. It's all about PRINCIPLE—well, in PRINCIPLE I cannot vote for Trump. You had better wake up and hear us! Don't tell me I'm supporting the GOP Aristos in this — that's a lie! They don't

want Cruz any more than they want Trump — in fact, let's see what sort of DEAL TRUMP makes with them, shall we?

So, I draw the line at Trump—not because it's Trump, but because Trump is a socialist—and I'm against Socialism.

The REAL battle here is not the right wing of the GOP against Democrats — it's Conservatism against Liberalism — Trump is a liberal. Conservatism does not win with TRUMP. Whatever core values he has, they are more ideologically liberal than conservative — he is a big government, deal making, values compromiser with a classic liberal view of the role of government in our lives. Okay, gotta move toward conclusion:

You know, Ted Nugent put out a tweet lately that many are saying Trumpets Trump—

"At this time I do not endorse Donald Trump anymore than I endorse Ted Cruz as I admire both gentlemen. But these points are SO [expletive] special!"

Now, for times sake, I can't run through the list then come back with my comments, so I'll have to work my responses in as we proceed — to know when I'm offering my personal response, you'll hear this — Uh! Haha!

"Obama is against Trump [Uh, and Cruz)

The Media is against Trump [Uh, Except Fox, and, it's a pretty safe bet the Media hates Cruz even more]

The establishment Democrats are against Trump — [Uh, right! That's why they are doing everything in their power to get him the GOP nomination—especially all those liberal dems crossing over to vote for him.]

The establishment Republicans are against Trump [Uh, Right, but they fear Cruz, and, what's with the secret meet and greet between Trump and the Establishment Aristos—Hahaha, man, Trump is making a DEAL with the Establishment against Cruz—yeah, Cruz starts beating the Trumpster and he runs off with the GOP Aristos to "cut a deal"—that's your man, Trump, the deal cutter. Don't you get it? Cruz is the only man in this race that all of these, in the end, will coalesce against.] □The Pope is against Trump [Uh, but he really loves the Cruz' especially that bit about the borders] □The UN is against Trump □The EU is against Trump □China is against Trump □Mexico is against Trump □Soros is against Trump □Black Lives Matter is against Trump □[MoveOn.Org](#) is against Trump □— [Uh, all of these want your focus on Trump because the guy they really fear is Cruz] Koch Bro's are against Trump [Uh, wait, this is weird. But I've noticed a lefty-twitch in Nugent before, it's slight, but shows up occasionally — the LIBERAL HATRED for the conservative principled Koch brothers ranks up there with Satan's hatred for God] □Hateful, racist, violent Liberals are against Trump [Uh, right, like the KKK, for example]□Bonus points

Cher says she will leave the country

Mylie Cyrus says she will leave the country

Whoopi says she will leave the country

Rosie says she will leave the country

Al Sharpton says he will leave the country

Gov. Brown says California will build a wall

Sounds like the kinda president the US needs!"

Hah! These characters never keep their promises—I think most of them promised to leave when Bush was president, but they didn't. Catch that? When Bush was president—so! And, of course, we all know how much these people love Cruz, especially that bit about him being a Christian—that really endears him to these folks.

So, that's quite a list Mr. Nugent! — Let's see, how about another list. Let's list the people Trump says are his friends, and with whom he is ready to "cut a deal."

Nancy Pelosi, Chuck Schumer, oh, and don't forget, HILLARY CLINTON — great buds! They certainly agree on many things — like, the economy does better under Democrats, and universal nationalized health care — oh, and that bit about using the power of government to take property from private citizens and transferring it to other private citizens. THE ONLY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM IS TRUMP WANTS TO USE GOVERNMENT POWER TO ENRICH THE RICH AND IS OPEN ABOUT IT — HILLARY WANTS TO DO THE SAME THING, ONLY PRETEND IT'S ABOUT HELPING THE POOR —But, wait, think about that! Actually THAT IS THE EXACT SAME EXCUSE TRUMP GAVE FOR WANTING TO USE GOVERNMENT POWER TO TAKE A WIDOW'S PROPERTY SO HE COULD GET HIS GREEDY HANDS ON IT — yeah, it was all about all the wonderful things the extra tax revenue could be used for to help the community!

Bottom line—"Trust in the Lord with all your heart, and lean not to your own understanding, in all your ways (including how you vote) acknowledge Him, and He shall direct your paths" including how you vote.

Brain Massage®: Show No. 565 –

Jerry Scheidbach

Page 17

Last Opened: 4/2/16

Air Date: 04-02-16

---

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch and now you let me know if you see them. You say LIGHTS ON if you see the LIGHTS ARE ON and you will ride like Revere and spread the warning, (essentially, it means you agree with what I'm saying) or you say LIGHTS ARE OFF — which means you reject what I'm saying and disagree strongly with me. So, what will it be today, lights on, or lights off?

Call 1-888-770-8000, that's 1-888-770-8000 and leave a message on our listener response hotline, it's a free call to anyone within the Continental US. From outside the country, or for those who prefer it, you can email me by going to our web site at [thebrainmassageshow.com](http://thebrainmassageshow.com). That's [thebrainmassageshow.com](http://thebrainmassageshow.com).

If you are in a hurry, you can give me a lights-on or a lights-off and then hang up. If you will, please leave a message, tell me what you think of the show, positive or negative, either way.

God bless you. God save America! I'll see you in Church.